

International Politics:
Perspectives from Philosophy and Political Science

1

Christian Neuhäuser/Christoph Schuck (eds.)

Military Interventions

Considerations from Philosophy and Political Science



Nomos

Christian Neuhäuser/Christoph Schuck (eds.)

Military Interventions

Considerations from Philosophy and Political Science

International Politics:
Perspectives from Philosophy and Political Science
edited by

Prof. Dr. Christian Neuhäuser, TU Dortmund University
Prof. Dr. Christoph Schuck, TU Dortmund University
Volume 1

Nomos


<p>Introduction: Military Interventions in the Crosshairs of Interdisciplinary Research <i>Christian Neuhäuser and Christoph Schuck</i></p> <p>Rights or Duties to Intervene? <i>Uwe Steinhoff</i></p> <p>The Battle for the Better Argument: Military Interventions between Norms and Interest <i>Matthias Heise and Christoph Schuck</i></p> <p>Is There a Duty to Militarily Intervene to Stop a Genocide? <i>Uwe Steinhoff</i></p> <p>The Legitimacy of Military Interventions: An Analysis of Preemptive and Preventive Warfare and its Current Relevance <i>Christopher Beuher</i></p> <p>Dilemmas for Disaster Relief—The Cases of Myanmar, Haiti and Aceh through the Lens of National Sovereignty and International Intervention <i>Kathrin Rucktäschel and Steve Schlegel</i></p> <p>Challenging Interventionism in a Pluralist World</p> <p>Beyond Military Interventions? The Shanghai Cooperation Organisation and its Quest for <i>cuius regio, eius dico</i> <i>Enrico Fels</i></p>	<p>9</p> <p>59</p> <p>27</p> <p>59</p> <p>81</p> <p>107</p> <p>129</p> <p>149</p> <p>7</p>
--	--

Table of Contents

Turkmenistan's Policy of Neutrality: Between a Weak Military Force and the Anticipation of Potential Military Interventions by Diplomatic Means
Zarifa Mamedova 193

Can an Illegal Humanitarian Intervention Be Justified for Moral Reasons Alone?
Reinold Schmücke 217

States and Non-State Actors

Democratic War and Liberal Violence: On Ending the "Silent Treatment" Between Democratic War Research and Governmentality Studies
Götz Hermann and Andreas Vasilache 239

Post Military Intervention: Protecting and Empowering Women in Post-Conflict Timor-Leste
Sylvia Yazid 263

Who May Act? Legitimate Authority and Military Humanitarian Intervention
Anna Goppel 285

Military Interventions, Private Military Companies and Citizen Responsibility
Christian Neuhäuser 307

Contributors

327

Introduction:
Military Interventions in the Crosshairs of
Interdisciplinary Research
Christian Neuhäuser and Christoph Schuck 193

Whenever normative criteria are applied, it is generally agreed that the purpose of military interventions must be to put a stop to serious abuses of human rights. For this reason, such actions are often called "humanitarian interventions."¹ However, the term is misleading for two reasons: First, it makes it sound as if the intervention itself were being conducted in a humanitarian way, which in no way describes how wars are actually conducted.² Second, it fails to distinguish between military and other—possibly non-military—forms of intervention, such as a conditional policy of sanctions or incentives. We find it more helpful to refer to "military interventions for the purpose of stopping serious abuses of human rights." That this leads us as editors to use the general term "military interventions" rather than "humanitarian interventions," while some of our authors continue to use the latter term in their papers, illustrates one of the important difficulties the topic faces—the lack of universally accepted terminology.

But in addition to—and even beyond—the seemingly academic question of appropriate terminology, the topic of military interventions urgently requires attention. By now it is all too clear that the dream of a lasting world peace following the end of the Cold War has not come true. The "end of history" which Francis Fukuyama proclaimed with nearly boundless optimism—anticipating the victory of liberal, i.e. democratic, sys-

¹ See also: *Ayub, Fatima/Kouvo, Sari* (2008): Righting the Course? Humanitarian Intervention, the War on Terror and the Future of Afghanistan, in: International Affairs 84 (4), 64–57, and: *Farber, Tom J.* (2004): Humanitarian Intervention Before and After 9/11, in: Holzgrefe, J.L., Keohane, Robert O. (ed): Humanitarian Intervention. Ethical, Legal, and Political Dilemmas, 3rd edition, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 53–90.
See *McMahon, Jeff* (2009): Killing in War, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Post Military Intervention:
Protecting and Empowering Women in Post-Conflict
Timor-Leste

Sylvia Yazid

Introduction¹

To intervene or not and in what form, whether it is military or humanitarian, are the questions that need to be asked prior to making the decision to conduct an intervention in a conflict situation. Even when an intervention was eventually decided, conducted, and ended, there are still possibilities for its legality to be debated. This paper, however, is not going to join this debate on the legality of military or humanitarian intervention. It is more concerned about the conditions after the intervention is decided to be conducted, particularly how it is implemented and what results it has brought.

There are a number of phrases commonly used to define humanitar-

...and a number of places commonly used to define humanitarian intervention, such as “use of force,” “across state borders” and “violation of human rights.” Holzgrefe, for example, defines humanitarian intervention as “[t]he threat or use of force across state borders by a state (or group of states) aimed at preventing or ending widespread and grave violations of the fundamental human rights of individuals other than its own citizens, without the permission of the state within whose territory force is applied” (Holzgrefe/Keohane 2003: 18). However, as described in the previous paper, the view on humanitarian intervention has shifted from a heavy emphasis on the state as the actor and its possible rights to intervene, towards the international community as the actor and the obligation to prevent violation of human rights and guarantee the security of the world population. As stated by the United Nations Development Programme, there are four objectives of humanitarian and recovery interventions, which are “to protect civilians from harm,” “to save lives,” “to enhance resilience to and

I would like to acknowledge the significant contribution in the research process for this paper from Agatha Lydia Natania, student of International Relations Department, Paralyangan Catholic University, a receiver of Erasmus+ Student Exchange Scholarship to study at the Department of Philosophy and Political Science, TU Dortmund University from April until July 2016.

Contributors

Dr. Christian Neuhäuser is Professor of Practical Philosophy at the Department of Philosophy and Political Science at TU Dortmund University. His research focuses on the philosophy of international politics and business ethics.

Dr. Kathrin Rucktäschel is a Researcher at the Department of Philosophy and Political Science at TU Dortmund University. Her research focuses on environmental security and international cooperation.

Steve Schlegel is a Researcher at the Department of Philosophy and Political Science at TU Dortmund University. His research focuses on peace and conflict studies as well as security policy in Central Asia.

Dr. Reinold Schmücker is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Münster. His research interests include political philosophy and the philosophy of law.

Dr. Christoph Schuck is Professor of Political Science at the Department of Philosophy and Political Science and currently Dean of the Faculty of Human Sciences and Theology at TU Dortmund University. His research focuses on international security and theories of Islamism.

Dr. Uwe Steinhoff is Associate Professor at the Department of Politics and Public Administration at the University of Hong Kong. His research focuses on just war theory and the ethics of violence.

Dr. Andreas Vasilache is Professor of European Studies at the Faculty of Sociology at Bielefeld University and Director of the Centre for German and European Studies (CGES/ZDES). His research spans on international relations and political theory, focussing i.a. on security studies and governmentality approaches.

Dr. Sylvia Yazid is a Senior Lecturer as well as Head of the Department of International Relations at Parahyangan Catholic University (UNPAR), Bandung/Indonesia. Her research focuses on the role of NGOs in Southeast Asia and migration issues.