

## **BAB 5**

### **KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN**

#### **5.1. Kesimpulan**

Berlandaskan analisis data yang telah dilakukan pada penelitian ini, kesimpulan yang didapatkan adalah:

1. Atribut dalam skema tarif parkir dinamis yang signifikan memengaruhi peluang keputusan responden adalah atribut tarif parkir saat datang dan diskon parkir.
2. Atribut tarif parkir saat datang dan pemasukan atau uang saku responden menjadi atribut yang paling signifikan memengaruhi model. Tarif parkir saat datang yang lebih mahal, akan secara signifikan menurunkan peluang responden untuk memilih parkir lebih besar. Semakin besar pemasukan atau uang saku responden, maka akan menaikkan peluang responden untuk memilih parkir lebih besar.
3. Kenyamanan posisi parkir UNPAR memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap peluang keputusan responden untuk parkir. Semakin tinggi tingkat kenyamanan yang dirasakan oleh responden, maka akan menaikkan peluang responden untuk parkir hingga 35,03%.

#### **5.2. Saran**

Untuk pengembangan penelitian, beberapa saran yang dapat disampaikan adalah sebagai berikut:

1. Penelitian lebih lanjut dapat memvariasikan level dari setiap atribut yang sudah diteliti dalam penelitian ini.
2. Penelitian lebih lanjut dapat mengembangkan atribut yang belum digunakan pada penelitian ini, yaitu seperti waktu bebas tarif parkir, keanggotaan parkir, dan fasilitas parkir.

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Agresti, A. (2002). Categorical Data Analysis. John Wiley & Sons. *Inc., Publication, 15, 24.*
- Anastasiadou, M., Dimitriou, D. J., Fredianakis, A., Lagoudakis, E., Traxanatzi, G., & Tsagarakis, K. P. (2009). Determining the Parking Fee Using the Contingent Valuation Methodology. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development, 135(3), 116–124.* [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9488\(2009\)135:3\(116\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9488(2009)135:3(116))
- Annas, S., Aswi, A., Abdy, M., & Poerwanto, B. (2022). Binary Logistic Regression Model of Stroke Patients: A Case Study of Stroke Centre Hospital in Makassar. *IJSA: Indonesian Journal of Statistics and Its Applications, 6(1), 161–169.*
- Antolín, G., Ibeas, Á., Alonso, B., & dell’Olio, L. (2018). Modelling parking behaviour considering users heterogeneities. *Transport Policy, 67, 23–30.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2018.01.014>
- Artetxe, A., Beristain, A., & Grana, M. (2018). Predictive models for hospital readmission risk: A systematic review of methods. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 164, 49–64.*
- Barata, E., Cruz, L., & Ferreira, J.-P. (2011). Parking at the UC campus: Problems and solutions. *Cities, 28(5), 406–413.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2011.04.001>
- Bond, A., & Steiner, R. (2011). Sustainable Campus Transportation through Transit Partnership and Transportation Demand Management: A Case

- Study from the University of Florida. *Berkeley Planning Journal*, 19(1).
- <https://doi.org/10.5070/BP319111492>
- Bridgelall, R. (2014). Campus parking supply impacts on transportation mode choice. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 37(8), 711–737.
- <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081060.2014.959354>
- Brown-West, O. G. (1996). Optimization Model for Parking in the Campus Environment. *TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH RECORD*, 1564, 46–53.
- den Boer, A. V. (2015). Dynamic pricing and learning: Historical origins, current research, and new directions. *Surveys in Operations Research and Management Science*, 20(1), 1–18.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sorms.2015.03.001>
- Dewi, A. F., & Pratiwi, R. (2021). Analisis Regresi Logistik Biner pada Pengaruh Harga, Kualitas Pelayanan dan Promosi terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dalam Menggunakan Jasa Layanan Grab di Kabupaten Lamongan. *Inferensi*, 4(2), 77–84.
- Eboli, L., & Mazzulla, G. (2008). A Stated Preference Experiment for Measuring Service Quality in Public Transport. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 31(5), 509–523. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081060802364471>
- Ferguson, E. (1990). Transportation Demand Management Planning, Development, and Implementation. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 56(4), 442–456. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944369008975448>
- Fuady, S. N., & Dirgahayani, P. (2018). KAJIAN PENGELOLAAN FASILITAS PARKIR DI KAWASAN PENDIDIKAN: STUDI KASUS KAMPUS INSTITUT TEKNOLOGI BANDUNG. *Plano Madani : Jurnal*

- Perencanaan Wilayah dan Kota*, 7(1), 1–11.  
<https://doi.org/10.24252/planomadani.v7i1a1>
- Hair, J. F. (2009). *Multivariate data analysis*.
- Hensher, D. A. (1994). Stated preference analysis of travel choices: The state of practice. *Transportation*, 21(2), 107–133.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01098788>
- Hilbe, M. (2015). *Practical Guide to Logistic Regression Taylor & Francis Group*.
- Hosmer Jr, D. W., Lemeshow, S., & Sturdivant, R. X. (2013). *Applied logistic regression* (Vol. 398). John Wiley & Sons.
- Islam, M. N., & Pramanik, A. (2016). Comparison of design of experiments via traditional and Taguchi method. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Systems*, 15(03), 151–160.
- Ison, S., & Mulley, C. (Eds.). (2014). *Parking: Issues and policies* (First edition). Emerald.
- Karna, S. K. (2012). *An Overview on Taguchi Method. Volume*, pp.11–18.
- Karna, S. K., Singh, R. V., & Sahai, R. (2012). Application of Taguchi method in indian industry. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 2(11), 387–391.
- Kelly, J. A., & Clinch, J. P. (2009). Temporal variance of revealed preference on-street parking price elasticity. *Transport Policy*, 16(4), 193–199.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2009.06.001>
- Krishnaiah, K., & Shahabudeen, P. (2012). *Applied Design of Experiments and Taguchi Methods*; PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.: Delhi, India.

- Kroes, E. P., & Sheldon, R. J. (1988). Stated Preference Methods: An Introduction. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, 22(1), 11–25. JSTOR.
- Kuo, H.-C., & Wu, J.-L. (2009). A new approach with orthogonal array for global optimization in design of experiments. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 44(4), 563–578. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-008-9357-z>
- Lei, C., & Ouyang, Y. (2017). Dynamic pricing and reservation for intelligent urban parking management. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 77, 226–244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2017.01.016>
- Litman, T. (2003). The Online TDM Encyclopedia: Mobility management information gateway. *Transport Policy*, 10(3), 245–249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-070X\(03\)00025-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-070X(03)00025-8)
- Litman, T. (2006). Parking Management: Strategies, Evaluation and Planning. *Victoria Transport Policy Institute*.
- Litman, T. (2018). How More Efficient Parking Pricing Can Help Solve Parking and Traffic Problems, Increase Revenue, and Achieve Other Planning Objectives. *Transport Policy*, 35.
- Murray, G., Krueger, T., Transportation Research Board, Transit Cooperative Research Program Synthesis Program, & Transportation Research Board. (2008). *Transit Systems in College and University Communities* (p. 14201). National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/14201>
- Osborne, M. J. (2004). *An introduction to game theory* (Vol. 3, Issue 3). Oxford university press New York.

- Parker, C., Scott, S., & Geddes, A. (2023). *Snowball Sampling*.  
<https://doi.org/10.4135/9781526421036831710>
- Qian, Z. (Sean), & Rajagopal, R. (2015). Optimal dynamic pricing for morning commute parking. *Transportmetrica A: Transport Science*, 11(4), 291–316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23249935.2014.986671>
- Ross, P. J. (1988). *Taguchi techniques for quality engineering: Loss function, orthogonal experiments, parameter and tolerance design*.
- Safitri, A., Sudarmin, N. M., & Nusrang, M. (2019). Model Regresi Logistik Biner pada Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka di Provinsi Sulawesi Barat Tahun 2017. *Jurnal VARIANSI*, 1(2), 1–6.
- Saharan, S., Bawa, S., & Kumar, N. (2020). Dynamic pricing techniques for Intelligent Transportation System in smart cities: A systematic review. *Computer Communications*, 150, 603–625.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2019.12.003>
- Saputra, I., Herdiana, S., & Oktaviana, W. (2017). Penerapan Sistem Parkir Progresif (On Street) dalam Mempengaruhi Efektivitas Transport Demand Management Studi Kasus: Pusat Kota Bandung. *Jurnal Online Institut Teknologi Nasional*.
- Setiawan, R. (2004). *PENERAPAN MANAJEMEN TRANSPORTASI KAMPUS SEBAGAI UPAYA MENGURANGI PENGGUNAAN MOBIL (STUDI KASUS UNIVERSITAS KRISTEN PETRA)*.
- Sholikhin, R., & Mudjanarko, S. W. (2017). ANALISIS KARAKTERISTIK PARKIR DI SATUAN RUANG PARKIR PASAR LARANGAN

- SIDOARJO. *Teknika: Engineering and Sains Journal*, 1(2), 145.  
<https://doi.org/10.51804/tesj.v1i2.150.145-150>
- Stein, P. P., & Rodrigues Da Silva, A. N. (2018). Barriers, motivators and strategies for sustainable mobility at the USP campus in São Carlos, Brazil. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 6(3), 329–335.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2017.11.007>
- Tezcan, H. (2012). Using parking pricing as a travel demand management tool at a university campus: An example for Istanbul Technical University. *Transportation Letters*, 4(3), 181–192.  
<https://doi.org/10.3328/TL.2012.04.03.181-192>
- Valerio, M. A., Rodriguez, N., Winkler, P., Lopez, J., Dennison, M., Liang, Y., & Turner, B. J. (2016). Comparing two sampling methods to engage hard-to-reach communities in research priority setting. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 16(1), 146. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-016-0242-z>
- Vidovic, N., & Simicevic, J. (2023). The impact of parking pricing on mode choice. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 69, 297–304.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2023.02.175>
- Winters, P. L. (2000). Transportation demand management. *Transportation in the New Millennium*.