

BAB VI

KESIMPULAN DAN REKOMENDASI

6.1 Kesimpulan Penelitian

Dalam periode 2014-2022, sebanyak 153 inovasi pelayanan publik berkontribusi pada pencapaian SDGs Nomor 4 dengan total 610 poin (44.30%). Dari data yang disajikan, kesimpulan penelitian yang dapat diambil adalah bahwa inovasi pelayanan publik memiliki kontribusi yang cukup baik dalam pencapaian Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Nomor 4, yang berkaitan dengan pendidikan berkualitas. Meskipun mayoritas inovasi tersebut bukan berasal dari sektor pendidikan, kontribusi mereka terhadap aspek-aspek spesifik dari SDGs Nomor 4 menunjukkan relevansi yang penting. Inovasi-inovasi tersebut, yang sebagian besar berasal dari sektor lain, mampu memberikan kontribusi yang kreatif terhadap pencapaian tujuan pendidikan berkualitas. Meskipun jumlahnya mungkin terlihat rendah dalam kaitannya dengan jumlah inovasi secara keseluruhan, penting untuk diingat bahwa peran mereka dalam mendukung pencapaian SDGs Nomor 4 tidak boleh diabaikan.

Kesimpulannya, inovasi pelayanan publik memiliki peran yang kritis dalam mendukung pencapaian tujuan pendidikan berkualitas di bawah SDGs. Meskipun jumlahnya tidak dominan dari sektor pendidikan, kontribusi kreatif mereka harus diakui dan diperhatikan dalam upaya mencapai tujuan ini.

6.2 Rekomendasi

Rekomendasi untuk Pemerintah:

1. Kolaborasi Antar-Sektor: Mendorong kerjasama lintas sektor untuk

menggalang lebih banyak inovasi dari sektor-sektor lain yang dapat memberikan kontribusi nyata pada tujuan pendidikan. Ini bisa dilakukan melalui insentif kolaborasi dan program kemitraan antar-sektor.

2. Investasi dalam Pendidikan Inklusif: Memperkuat investasi dalam pembangunan dan perbaikan infrastruktur pendidikan untuk memastikan akses yang lebih luas dan merata, khususnya untuk memfasilitasi sekolah inklusif dan aman.

Rekomendasi untuk Penelitian Lanjutan:

3. Analisis Mendalam tentang Efektivitas Inovasi: Melakukan penelitian lebih lanjut untuk menilai secara mendalam efektivitas masing-masing inovasi dalam konteks pencapaian tujuan pendidikan berkualitas. Ini akan membantu dalam mengevaluasi inovasi mana yang paling efektif dan mengapa.
4. Studi Kasus Secara Terperinci: Melakukan studi kasus mendalam tentang beberapa inovasi yang berhasil dalam konteks pendidikan. Hal ini dapat memberikan wawasan mendalam tentang faktor-faktor kunci kesuksesan dan hambatan yang dihadapi dalam mengimplementasikan inovasi tersebut.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adipat, S., & Chotikapanich, R. (2022). Sustainable Development Goal 4: An Education Goal to Achieve Equitable Quality Education. Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 174-183.
- Alegre, J., Chiva, R., & Lapiedra, R. (2005). A Literature-Based Innovation Output Analysis: Implications For Innovation Capacity. International Journal of Innovation Management, 9(4), 1-15.
- Alonso, J. and Andrews, R. (2022). Can public-private innovation partnerships improve public services? evidence from a synthetic control approach. Public Administration Review, 82(6), 1138-1153.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13514>
- Asenahabi. (2019). Basics of Research Design: A Guide to selecting appropriate research design. International Journal of Contemporary Applied Researches, 1-8.
- Bachri, A. and Setiani, A. (2018). The influence of creativity and learning innovation on entrepreneurial mentality and its implications for learning outcomes. Dinamika Pendidikan, 12(2), 148-158.
<https://doi.org/10.15294/dp.v12i2.13563>
- Bergh, J., Faber, A., Idenburg, A., & Oosterhuis, F. (2006). Survival of the greenest: evolutionary economics and policies for energy innovation. Environmental Sciences, 3(1), 57-71.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15693430500481295>
- Bertheussen, B. (2015). Cultivating spreadsheet usage in a finance subject through learning and assessment innovations. International Journal of Innovation in Education, 3(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijiie.2015.074698>
- Bovis, C. (2010). Public-private partnerships in the 21st century. Era Forum, 11(3), 379-398. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12027-010-0169-5>
- Bowen, G. (2009). Document Analysis as a Qualitative Research Method. Qualitative Research Journal, 27-40.
- Brewis, E. (2018). Fair access to higher education and discourses of development: a policy analysis from indonesia. Compare a Journal of Comparative and International Education, 49(3), 453-470.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2018.1425132>
- Contreras, J. and Rodríguez, Y. (2016). Incentives for wind power investment in colombia. Renewable Energy, 87, 279-288.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.10.018>

- Coombs, R., Narandren, & Richards. (1996). A literature-Based Innovation Output Indicator. *Research Policy*, 403-413.
- Coombs, R., Narandren, p., & Richards, A. (1996). A literature-based innovation output indicator. *Research Policy*, 25(1), 403-413.
- Creswell. (2002). *ducational Research. Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. London: Pearson Education.
- Deby, L., Putri, M., & Mutiarin, D. (2018). Efektifitas Inovasi Kebijakan Publik; Pengaruhnya pada Kualitas Pelayanan Publik di Indonesia. Yogyakarta: Prosiding Konferensi Nasional Ke- 8 Asosiasi Program Pascasarjana Perguruan Tinggi Muhammadiyah.
- Deni, S., Deni, A., & Husain, T. (2022). The grand model of bureaucratic reform in strengthening government innovation: a review of north maluku province, indonesia. *Journal of Public Policy and Administration*, 6(4), 165. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jppa.20220604.12>
- Gonzalez, R., Llopis, J., & Gascó, J. (2013). Innovation in public services: the case of spanish local government. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(10), 2024-2033. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.02.028>
- Hadi, R. (2022). Innovation of the three in one service program of the population and civil registration service of bandar lampung city. *Neorespublica Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 4(1), 135-144. <https://doi.org/10.52423/neores.v4i1.53>
- Hanushek, E. and Woessmann, L. (2008). The role of cognitive skills in economic development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 46(3), 607-668. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.46.3.607>
- Hertog, P., Aa, W., & Jong, M. (2010). Capabilities for managing service innovation: towards a conceptual framework. *Journal of Service Management*, 21(4), 490-514. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09564231011066123>
- Himmel, M. and Siemiatycki, M. (2017). Infrastructure public–private partnerships as drivers of innovation? lessons from ontario, canada. *Environment and Planning C Politics and Space*, 35(5), 746-764. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2399654417701430>
- Holovko, O. and Sakevych, L. (2020). Analysis of the factors that determine the features of the organization of public-private partnership in the innovation activity of ukraine. *Green Blue and Digital Economy Journal*, 1(2), 39-44. <https://doi.org/10.30525/2661-5169/2020-2-7>

- Hsieh, J., Chiu, H., Wei, C., Yen, H., & Cheng, Y. (2013). A practical perspective on the classification of service innovations. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 27(5), 371-384. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jsm-10-2011-0159>
- Jonathan, R., Ruliana, T., & Siswanto, E. (2017). Quality Of Public Services. *Research Journal of Accounting and Business Management*, 1(1), 14-28.
- Kementerian PANRB (2014b) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2014. 1st edn. Edited by M. Imanuddin, S. Hartini, and Tim Transformasi. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi. Available at: <https://sinovik.menpan.go.id/index.php/unduh>.
- Kementerian PANRB (2015) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2015. 1st edn. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi. Available at: <https://sinovik.menpan.go.id/index.php/unduh>.
- Kementerian PANRB (2016) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2016. 1st edn. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi. Available at: <https://sinovik.menpan.go.id/index.php/unduh>.
- Kementerian PANRB (2017) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2017. Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi. Available at: <https://sinovik.menpan.go.id/index.php/unduh>.
- Kementerian PANRB (2018) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2018. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi. Available at: https://sinovik.menpan.go.id/uploads/unduhan/Buku_TOP_99_2018_Dua_Ba_hasa.pdf
- Kementerian PANRB (2019) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2019. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi.
- Kementerian PANRB (2020) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2020. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi. Available at: https://sinovik.menpan.go.id/uploads/unduhan/Buku_TOP_99_20201.pdf.
- Kementerian PANRB (2020) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2021. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi.
- Kementerian PANRB (2020) Top 99 Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Tahun 2022. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi.

- Kormyshkin, Y. (2022). Public participation in the decision-making process at the level of territorial communities. Ukrainian Black Sea Region Agrarian Science, 26(3). [https://doi.org/10.56407/2313-092x/2022-26\(3\)-2](https://doi.org/10.56407/2313-092x/2022-26(3)-2)
- Kvale. (1996). Interview Views: An Introduction to Qualitative Research Interviewing. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Labudová, L. and Jánošová, D. (2021). Globalization and its impacts on communication in self-government. SHS Web of Conferences, 92, 08012. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20219208012>
- LAN. (2017). pengukuran Indeks Persepsi Inovasi Pelayanan Publik (1st ed.). Jakarta: Pusat Inovasi Pelayanan Publik Lembaga Administrasi Negara.
- Lichtman, M. (2017). Qualitative Research for the Social Sciences. New York: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Lopes, A. V., & Farias, J. S. (2020). How can governance support collaborative innovation in the public sector? A systematic review of the literature. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 88(1), 114–130.
- Maharani, D. and Andhika, L. (2021). Rational intervention public policy for public service innovation. Policy & Governance Review, 5(2), 182. <https://doi.org/10.30589/pgr.v5i2.383>
- Mahpudin. (2022). Inovasi Pelayanan Publik: Pengalaman dari Kota Cilegon, Indonesia. Matra Pembaruan JurnalInovasi Kebijakan, 6(2), 107-117.
- Mansikkasalo, A. and Söderholm, P. (2012). Energy efficient and low-carbon technology in process industries: innovation, diffusion and the role of public policy. 2012 9th International Conference on the European Energy Market. <https://doi.org/10.1109/eem.2012.6254743>
- Maruapey, M. (2019). Governance innovations and population data recording in southeast aceh regency. Journal of Socioeconomics and Development, 2(2), 107. <https://doi.org/10.31328/jsed.v2i2.1053>
- Maryam, N. S. (2016). Mewujudkan Good Governance Melalui Pelayanan Publik. Jurnal Ilmu Politik dan Komunikasi, 6(1), 1-18.
- Melander, L. and Arvidsson, A. P. (2022). Green innovation networks: a research agenda. Journal of Cleaner Production, 357, 131926. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131926>
- Mertzanis, C. and Said, M. (2019). Access to skilled labor, institutions and firm performance in developing countries. International Journal of Manpower, 40(2), 328-355. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijm-11-2017-0301>
- Morton, S., Pancheon, D., & Squires, N. (2017). Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and their Implementation. British Medical Bulletin, 81-90.

- Mulajaya, R., & Christiani, C. (2017). Inovasi - Inovasi Di Kabupaten Purbalingga. Mimbar Administrasi, 1(1), 70-85.
- Mulgan, G. (2007). "Ready or Not? Taking Innovation in the Public Sector Seriously." NESTA.
- Mulgan, Geoff. (2006). Social Innovation: what it is, why it matters, how it can be accelerated.
- Nemec, J., Svidroňová, M., & Kovács, É. (2019). Welfare co-production: hungarian and slovak reality. Nispacee Journal of Public Administration and Policy, 12(2), 195-215. <https://doi.org/10.2478/nispa-2019-0019>
- Nisar, M. A. and Masood, A. (2022). Imagining an otherwise global public administration. Administration & Society, 55(2), 326-345. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00953997221131769>
- OECD. (2014). Measuring Innovation in Education. A New Perspective (1st ed.). Paris: OECD.
- Oktariyanda, T., Niswah, F., & Kurniawan, B. (2021). Form of public service innovation in toward future of government in surabaya city: study of the "6 in 1" population administration program. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.21-10-2020.2311935>
- Osborne, S. P., & Brown, K. (2013). "The New Public Governance? Emerging Perspectives on the Theory and Practice of Public Governance." Routledge.
- Prabawati, N. P., Pascarani, D., Nyoman, N., & Supriliyani, N. W. (2015). Peran Perwakilan Ombudsman Republik Indonesia Provinsi Bali dalam Pengawasan Penyelenggaraan Pelayanan Publik (Studi Kasus : Pelayanan Publik Bidang Pendidikan di Kota Denpasar). Citizen Charter, 1(2), 1-12.
- Pramadya, L. (2016). Implementasi Program Campus Social Responsibility Dinas Sosial Kota Surabaya (Studi Pada Kegiatan Pendampingan Mahasiswa Asuh Terhadap Anak PMKS). Publika Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Negara, 4(2), 1-13.
- Pratama, A. B. (2020). The landscape of public service innovation in Indonesia A comprehensive analysis of its characteristic and trend. Public service innovation in Indonesia, 17(1), 25-40.
- Saleh, C., Ibad, S., Mindarty, L., & Hariyono, B. (2023). Public service innovation process of smart kampung program at banyuwangi. Jurnal Studi Komunikasi (Indonesian Journal of Communications Studies), 7(1), 229-248. <https://doi.org/10.25139/jsk.v7i1.5854>

- Sari, D. (2019). Analisis Inovasi Pelayanan Publik “Kelas Perahu” Kabupaten Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan. *Jurnal SAP*, 3(3), 225-232.
- Savitri, R., Cahyarini, B., Ahad, M., Firmansyah, N., Gusparirin, R., & Samsara, L. (2023). Indonesian public service innovation trends: an analysis based on public service innovation competition top innovations 2014-2019. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i5.12995>
- Sogstad, M., Lodgaard, E., & Magnussen, S. (2020). Public-private innovation in health and social care: the implications of transaction costs. *Nordic Journal of Social Research*, 11(1), 129-150. <https://doi.org/10.7577/njsr.2260>
- Strauss; Corbin. (1990). *Basics of Qualitative Research, Grounded Theory Procedures and Techniques*. New York: Sage Publications.
- Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suranto, S., Darumurti, A., & Eldo, D. H. (2022). *Portrait of Public Services Innovation in Indonesia in 2018-2020*. (hal. 116-121). Yogyakarta: Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.
- Susanto, A., & Danoebroto, S. W. (2021). Designing STEM-based AJarMat activities for the study from home program. *Journal of Physics*, 1957 (012023), 1-10.
- UN. (203). The 17 Goals. Dipetik Mei 10, 2023, dari <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal4>
- UNDP. (2020). *Innovation in Public Service Delivery for the Sustainable Development Goals*. USA: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
- UNESCO. (2016). *Unpacking Sustainable Development Goal 4: Education 2030*. Paris: UNESCO.
- Unterhalter, E. (2019). The Many Meanings of Quality Education: Politics of Targets and Indicators in SDG4. *Global Policy Volume*, 39-51.
- Utami, I. T. (2023). Analisis Hubungan Responveness, Responsibility dan Accountability Terhadap Tingkat Kepuasan Masyarakat. *Metta: Jurnal Ilmu Multidisiplin*, 3(1), 1-14.
- Walker, R. (2003). Evidence on the management of public services innovation. *Public Money & Management*, 23(2), 93-102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2003.10874830>
- Walker, R., Jeanes, E., & Robert, R. (2001). *Managing Public Service Innovation*. Bristol: The Policy Press.

- Walker, R., Jeanes, E., & Rowlands, R. (2002). Measuring innovation – applying the literature-based innovation output indicator to public services. *Public Administration*, 80(1), 201-214. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00300>
- Wynen, J., Boon, J., Kleizen, B., & Verhoest, K. (2019). How multiple organizational changes shape managerial support for innovative work behavior: evidence from the australian public service. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 40(3), 491-515. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371x18824388>
- Yalçın, M. and Koşar, D. (2023). Evaluation of policies to provide educational equality of opportunity in turkiye.. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3031018/v1>
- Zhou, M., Wang, F., & Wang, K. (2019). Destination service encounter modeling and relationships with tourist satisfaction. *Sustainability*, 11(4), 960. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11040960> [Original source: <https://studycrumb.com/alphabetizer>]
- Andyani, S. N. (2021, July). *What AreThe Public Values Created ByIndonesianICT-Based Public ServiceInnovations?* <https://repository.unpar.ac.id/handle/123456789/13415>