

BAB IV

PENUTUP

4.1. Kesimpulan

Mengenai sikap diam, dapat ditarik kesimpulan bahwa sikap diam memiliki makna yang berbeda dalam setiap tahap pembentukan kontrak internasional. Dalam tahap penawaran awal dan penawaran kembali yang bersifat materiel (*counter-offer*), sikap diam umumnya tidak cukup diartikan sebagai penerimaan. Namun, sikap diam terhadap penawaran dan *counter-offer* dapat diartikan sebagai penerimaan jika terdapat: (1) kesepakatan antara para pihak, (2) praktik antara para pihak, atau (3) kebiasaan dagang tertentu yang mengindikasikan bahwa sikap diam sebagai penerimaan. Hal ini juga berlaku untuk perubahan atau pengakiran kontrak. Akan tetapi, sikap diam dalam pengakhiran kontrak yang bermakna penerimaan wajib disertai indikasi dari keengganan pihak penerima tawaran untuk melanjutkan kontrak. Di sisi lain, sikap diam terhadap perubahan imateriel dapat secara otomatis dianggap sebagai suatu penerimaan. Dengan demikian, makna sikap diam dalam pembentukan kontrak perdagangan internasional dapat berbeda-beda sehingga harus diinterpretasikan secara kasus per kasus.

Mengenai jangka waktu yang wajar, dapat ditarik kesimpulan bahwa jangka waktu suatu penerimaan suatu kontrak perdagangan internasional merujuk pada jangka waktu yang sudah ditetapkan oleh pemberi penawaran. Jika tidak ada waktu yang ditetapkan, penerimaan harus dilakukan dalam jangka waktu yang wajar. Meskipun UPIICC dan CISG secara eksplisit mengatur bahwa penentuan waktu yang wajar dapat mempertimbangkan jenis komunikasi yang digunakan, peran jenis komunikasi dalam menetapkan waktu yang wajar di era modern menjadi kurang signifikan. Alat komunikasi elektronik yang cenderung instan menyebabkan pertimbangan jangka waktu yang wajar berfokus pada aspek-aspek yang dibutuhkan para pihak sebagai pertimbangan dalam memasuki suatu kontrak. Dengan demikian, pola pikir tentang jangka waktu yang wajar berubah dari tiga elemen (waktu untuk memberikan penawaran, pertimbangan, dan memberikan penerimaan) menjadi satu elemen: waktu yang dibutuhkan untuk memberikan pertimbangan.

4.2. Saran

Secara keseluruhan, sikap diam dan jangka waktu yang wajar membutuhkan interpretasi yang cukup rumit dalam pembentukan kontrak internasional. Oleh sebab itu, penulis berpendapat bahwa penerima penawaran dalam kontrak perdagangan internasional sebaiknya mengomunikasikan penerimaan atau penolakan secara jelas terhadap penawaran, modifikasi imateriel, modifikasi materiel, maupun pengakhiran kontrak. Dengan menunjukkan penerimaan atau penolakannya secara jelas, pemberi penawaran dapat mengantisipasi langkah berikutnya yang harus ia ambil dan terhindar dari kesalahanpahaman. Di sisi lain, mengingat komunikasi penerimaan atau penolakan harus diberikan dalam jangka waktu wajar, pemberi penawaran sebaiknya memberikan jangka waktu yang jelas dalam penawaran. Dengan demikian, para pihak dapat terhindar dari interpretasi jangka waktu wajar yang juga cukup rumit.

Selain itu, penulis menyadari masifnya perkembangan dalam hukum Indonesia mengenai pembentukan kontrak melalui pernyataan atau tindakan. Akan tetapi, hukum Indonesia masih belum cukup memerhatikan pembentukan kontrak melalui sikap diam. Oleh sebab itu, penulis berharap interpretasi sikap diam beserta jangka waktu yang wajar dalam kontrak perdagangan internasional ini dapat menjadi pertimbangan bagi perkembangan yurisprudensi dan hukum di Indonesia.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Act Governing the Choice of Law in Civil Matters Involving Foreign Elements (diamandemen pada 26 Mei 2010), diakses pada 10 November 2023 melalui <<https://law.moj.gov.tw/ENG/LawClass/LawAll.aspx?pcodes=B0000007>>.
- Alan Redfern, Martin Hunter, dkk, *Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration* (Oxford University Press, 2015).
- Alejandro Garro José dan Antonio Moreno Rodríguez, *Ius Comparatum – Global Studies in Comparative Law* (Springer Nature Switzerland, 2021).
- Austrian Fabrics Case, *Austrian Seller v. Swiss Buyer* [1992] Court of First Instance Basel-Stadt, Putusan Nomor P4 1991/238.
- Bacon I Case, *Italian Seller v. German Buyer* [1991] Landgericht Bielefeld (District Court Bielefeld), Putusan Nomor 15 O 201/90.
- Badan Pembinaan Hukum Nasional Kementerian Hukum Dan Hak Asasi Manusia, *Naskah Akademik Hukum Perdata Internasional* (Kementerian Hukum dan Hak Asasi Manusia 2014).
- Bayu Seto Hardjowahono, *Dasar-Dasar Hukum Perdata Internasional* (Edisi ke-5, PT Citra Aditya Bakti).
- Belkis Vural, ‘Formation of Contract According to the CISG’ (2013) 2013(1) Ankara Bar Review 127.
- Berthold Goldman, ‘The Applicable Law: General Principles of Law – *The Lex Mercatoria*’ dalam *Contemporary Problems in International Arbitration* (Martinus Nijhoff 1986).
- Calzados Magnanni v. Shoes General International S.a.r.l.* [1999] Court of Appeal Grenoble, Putusan Nomor 97/03974.
- Canva, ‘Uji coba gratis Anda berakhir’ (Help Canva 2023), diakses pada 24 Januari 2024 melalui <<https://www.canva.com/id/help/unfamiliar-charge/>>.
- Ch. Pamboukis, ‘The Concept and Function of Usages in the United Nations Convention on the International Sale of Goods’ (2005) 25(105) Journal of Law and Commerce 107.
- Charles P. WHite, ‘The Causes and Extent of Price Fluctuations’ (1928) 139(1) American Academy of Political and Social Science 7.
- Christiana Fountoulakis, ‘The Parties’ Choice of ‘Neutral Law’ in International Sales Contracts’ (2006) 7(3) European Journal of Law Reform 303.
- Christiane Baumeister and Lutz Kilian, ‘Forty Years of Oil Price Fluctuations: Why the Price of Oil May Still Surprise Us’ (2016) 30(1) Journal of Economic Perspectives 139.
- Christina Ramberg, ‘CISG Advisory Council Opinion No. 1 Electronic Communications Under CISG’ (2003) CISG Advisory Council 1.

CME Cooperative Maritime Etaploise S.A. v. Bos Fishproducts Urk BV [1997] District Court Zwolle, Putusan Nomor HA ZA 95/640.

Convention Relating to a Uniform Law on the Formation of Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (mulai berlaku 1 Juli 1964).

Danish Lingerie Case, Danes Seller v. German Buyer [2010] Court of Appeal Dresden, Putusan Nomor 10 U 269/10.

Egyptian Cotton II Case, *FCF S.A. v. Adriafil Commerciale S.r.l.* [2000] Swiss Federal Supreme Court, Putusan Nomor 4C.105/2000.

Ever-Tite Roofing Corporation v. Green [1955] Louisiana Court of Appeal, Putusan Nomor 8381.

Fatma Salah, ‘New Approval Required for Government Contracts and Arbitration Agreements in Egypt’ (Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 21 Februari 2021), diakses pada 24 Oktober 2023 melalui <<https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2021/02/21/new-approval-required-for-government-contracts-and-arbitration-agreements-in-egypt/>>.

Filanto, S.p.A. v. Chilewich International Corp. [1992] U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, Putusan Nomor 91 Civ 3253 (CLB).

French Chocolate Products Case, *French Seller v. German Buyer* [1995] Court of Appeal Frankfurt am Main, Putusan Nomor 9 U 81/94.

Friedrich K. Juenger, ‘The Lex Mercatoria and Private International Law’ (2000) 60(4) Louisiana Law Review 1133, hlm. 1138; Stuart E. Thiel, ‘Choice of Law and the Home-Court Advantage: Evidence’ (2000) 2(2) American Law and Economics Review 291.

Gary B. Born, *International Commercial Arbitration* (Edisi Ketiga, Kluwer Law International 2021).

Gary Kenji Nakata, ‘Filanto S.p.A. v. Chilewich Int'l Corp.: Sounds of Silence Bellow Forth under the CISG's International Battle of the Forms’ (1994) 7(1) The TransnationalLawyer 141.

Gasoline and Gas Oil Case, *Hungarian Buyer v. Austrian Seller* [2001] Austrian Supreme Court, Putusan Nomor 1 Ob 77/01g.

Geneva Pharmaceuticals Technology Corp. v. Barr Laboratories, Inc. [2002] U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, Putusan Nomor 99CIV3607(RWS).

Gyula Eörsi, ‘Problems of Unifying Law on the Formation of Contracts for the International Sale of Goods’ [1979] 27(2/3) The American Journal of Comparative Law, 311.

Henry Deeb Gabriel, ‘The Advantages of Soft Law in International Commercial Law: The Role of UNIDROIT, UNCITRAL, and the Hague Conference’ (2009) 32(3) Brook. J. Int'l L 655.

Industrias Magromer Cueros y Pieles S.A. v. Sociedad Agrícola Sacor Limitada [2008] Chilean Supreme Court, Putusan Nomor 1782-2007.

Ingeborg Schwenzer dan Florian Mohs, ‘Old Habits Die Hard: Traditional Contract Formation in a Modern World’ (2006) 6(6) IHR 239.

Ingeborg Schwenzer, Pascal Hachem, and Christopher Kee, *Global Sales and Contract Law* (Oxford University Press 2012).

International Centre for Dispute Resolution Arbitration Rules (mulai berlaku 1 Maret 2021).

International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), *ICC Dispute Resolution 2020 Statistics* (ICC 2021).

International Chamber of Commerce Arbitration Rules (mulai berlaku 1 Januari 2021).

International Institute for the Unification of Private Law (UNIDROIT), *UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts* (UNIDROIT 2016)

International Institute for the Unification of Private Law (UNIDROIT), *Official Commentary on the UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts* (UNIDROIT 2016).

International Institute for the Unification of Private Law (UNIDROIT), ‘About UNIDROIT - Purpose’ (UNIDROIT 2021), diakses pada 19 Oktober 2023 melalui <<https://www.unidroit.org/about-unidroit/overview/>>.

International Institute for the Unification of Private Law (UNIDROIT), ‘Overview’ (UNIDROIT 2021), diakses pada 19 Oktober 2023 melalui <<https://www.unidroit.org/instruments/international-sales/ulis-1964/overview/>>.

International Trade Centre (ITC), United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), dan United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE), ‘Technical note on WTO TFA Article 7.9: Perishable goods’ dalam *Getting Down to Business: Making the Most of the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement* (UNCTAD 2021).

Iroko Wood Case, *Nigerian Seller v. German Buyer* [1994] Court of Appeal Cologne, Putusan Nomor 22 U 202/93.

Italian Shoes XVII Case, *Italian Seller v. German Buyer* [1995] Court of Appeal Frankfurt am Main, Putusan Nomor 5 U 209/94.

JIO Minerals FZC and others v. Mineral Enterprises Ltd [2010] Singaporean Court of Appeal, Putusan Nomor Civil Appeal No 72 of 2010.

John O. Honnold, *Uniform Law for International Sales Under the 1980 United Nations Convention* (Edisi Ketiga, Kluwer Law International 1999).

Johnny Ibrahim, *Teori dan Metodologi Penelitian Hukum Normatif* (Bayu Media Publishing 2006), hlm. 57.

Joseph M. Perillo, ‘Unidroit Principles of International Commercial Contracts: The Black Letter Text and a Review’ (1994) 63(2) Fordham Law Review 281.

Karl Marxen, ‘Commercial Letters of Confirmation and the CISG’ (2014) 2014(2) European Journal of Commercial Contract Law 17.

Korean Act on Private International Law (diamandemen pada 4 Januari 2022), Pasal 46(2); Estonian Private International Law Act (diadopsi pada 27 Maret 2002, mulai berlaku 1 Juli 2002).

Larry A. DiMatteo, *International Sales Law: A Global Challenge* (Cambridge University Press 2014).

Lisa Spagnolo, ‘CISG Advisory Council Opinion No. 16: Exclusion of the CISG under Article 6’ (2014) CISG Advisory Council 1.

M. Pilar Perales Viscasillas, ‘Modification and Termination of the Contract (Art. 29 CISG)’ (2005) 25(6) Journal of Law and Commerce 167.

Machines I Case, *USA Buyer v. Italian Seller* [2002] ICC International Court of Arbitration, Putusan Nomor 11333 (Interim Award).

Margaret L. Moses, *The Principles and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration* (Cambridge University Press 2008).

Michael Joachim Bonell, ‘The Law Governing International Commercial Contracts and the Actual Role of the UNIDROIT Principles’ (2018) Unif. L. Rev 1.

Mohamed Omran, Ibrahim Badr, dkk, ‘Cotton Policy Performance in Egypt’ (2002) Beltwide Cotton Conference.

Muller Ecole et Bureau v. Federal Trait [2001] French Supreme Court, Putusan Nomor Q 99-16.118.

MünchKomm/Westermann, *Münchener Kommentar zum Bürgerlichen Gesetzbuch* (C.H.BECK 1984).

OHADA *Acte Uniforme Relatif Au Droit Commercial Général* (mulai berlaku 22 Juni 2015).

Peraturan Umum Mengenai Perundang-Undangan Untuk Indonesia (*Algemene Bepalingen van wetgeving voor Indonesie*) (diadopsi dan mulai berlaku 30 April 1847).

Peter Schlechtriem and Ingeborg Schwenzer, *Commentary on the UN Convention on the International Sale of Goods* (4th edn, International Commercial Law 2016).

Petra Butler dan Bianca Mueller, ‘Acceptance of an Offer under the CISG’ dalam Schwenzer, Pereira dan Tripodi, *CISG and Latin America: Regional and Global Perspectives* (Eleven International Publishing 2016).

PlayStation, ‘Playstation Network Terms of Service and User Agreement’ (PSN Terms of Service, December 2023), diakses pada 24 Januari 2024 melalui <<https://www.playstation.com/en-id/legal/psn-terms-of-service/>>.

Possehl (HK) Ltd. v. China Metals & Minerals Import & Export (Shenzhen) Co. [2005] Higher People's Court Guangdong Province.

Printed Works for CD Covers Case, *Dutch Seller v. German Buyer* [2006] Court of Appeal Frankfurt am Main, Putusan Nomor 26 Sch 28/05.

PT Dwi Damai v. PT Philips Indonesia dan PT Philip Electronics Singapore Pte. Ltd [2008]
Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia, Pengadilan Tingkat Kasasi, Putusan Nomor 2178 K/Pdt/2008.

PT Dwi Damai v. PT Philips Indonesia dan PT Philip Electronics Singapore Pte. Ltd [2012]
Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia, Peninjauan Kembali, Putusan Nomor 716 PK/Pdt/2012.

Rapeseed Dregs Case, *Sweden Buyer v. Chinese Buyer* [2002] China International Economic & Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC), Putusan Nomor CISG/2002/02.

Regulation (EC) Number 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council (diadopsi pada 4 Juli 2008, mulai berlaku 17 Juni 2008).

Shou Yu Chong, ‘Choice of law governing a contract where its existence is in dispute: Clarifications from the Singapore International Commercial Court in Lew, Solomon v. Kaikhushru Shiavax Nargolwala’ (2021) 33 Singapore Academy of Law Journal 662.

Simon Greenberg, Christopher Kee, dan J. Romesh Weeramantry, ‘Applicable Substantive Law’ dalam *International Commercial Arbitration: an Asia-Pacific Perspective* (Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2010).

Stefan Vogenauer, *Commentary on the UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts* (2nd edn, Oxford University Press 2014).

Stockholm Chamber of Commerce Arbitration Rules (mulai berlaku 1 Januari 2023).

Subekti, *Hukum Perjanjian*, Jakarta: PT Intermasa (2005).

Tonnellerie Ludonnaise SA v. Anthon GmbH & Co. Maschinenfabrik [2009] French Supreme Court, Putusan Nomor T 08-12.399.

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (diadopsi pada 2021).

UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985) (diamandemen pada 2006).

UNIDROIT Principles, hlm. 1-2; Pasal 1(2) Hague Conference on Private International Law (HCCH), *Principles on Choice of Law in International Commercial Contracts* (HCCH 2015).

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law, ‘Status: UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006’, diakses pada 2 November 2023 melalui https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/arbitration/modellaw/commercial_arbitration/status.

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law, *Digest of Case Law on the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods* (United Nations 2016).

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law, *Hague Conference on Private International Law, International Institute for the Unification of Private Law, UNCITRAL, Hague Conference and UNIDROIT Legal Guide to Uniform Instruments in the Area of International Commercial Contracts, with a Focus on Sales* (United Nations 2021).

United Nations Conference on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods, ‘United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods’ (International Trade and Development 1 Januari 1988), diakses pada 15 Oktober 2023 melalui <https://treaties.un.org/Pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=X-10&chapter=10&clang=en>.

United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (diadopsi pada 11 April 1980, mulai berlaku 1 Januari 1988).

Winnie Jo-Mei Ma, ‘The Law Applicable to the Substance of Arbitral Disputes: Arbitrators’ Choice in Absence of Parties’ Choice’ (2015) 8(2) *Contemp. Asia Arb. J* 185.

Wolfgang Hahnkamper, ‘Acceptance of an Offer in Light of Electronic Communications’ (2005) 25(6) *Journal of Law and Commerce* 147.

World Intellectual Property Organization Arbitration Rules, Schedule of Fees and Costs (mulai berlaku 1 Juli 2021).

Youtube, ‘Mempelajari uji coba dan promosi YouTube Premium’ (Pusat Bantuan Youtube 2024), diakses pada 24 Januari 2024 melalui <https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/10324204?hl=id&ref_topic=12155486&sj_id=17942651030553291937-AP#zippy=%2Ccara-kerja-ujicoba>.

Zakiyah, *Hukum Perjanjian: Teori dan Perkembangannya* (Banjarmasin: Lentera Kresindo, 2015).