

## **BAB 5**

### **KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN**

#### **5.1. Kesimpulan**

Setelah melakukan penelitian mengenai analisa fleksibilitas umpan tahap metanasi pada proses *power to gas* dengan model TREMP, maka kesimpulan yang didapatkan adalah sebagai berikut.

1. Model reaktor RGibbs mampu mensimulasikan reaksi metanasi yang diharapkan meskipun stoikiometri reaksi yang terbentuk tidak dispesifikasi dalam simulasi seperti model REquil.
2. *Recycle ratio* minimum yang diperlukan agar temperatur keluaran reaktor pertama tidak melebihi batas maksimum dari katalis nikel akibat perubahan *feed ratio* adalah 0,74.
3. Penurunan tekanan operasi relatif hanya sedikit berpengaruh terhadap performa reaktor namun akan meningkatkan kebutuhan volume setiap aliran dan unit proses.
4. Konfigurasi TREMP menggunakan tiga reaktor menghasilkan tingkat fleksibilitas umpan tertinggi pada kondisi umpan gas karbon dioksida berlebih dengan rentang *feed ratio* sebesar 0,25-0,5.
5. Pada kondisi umpan gas hidrogen berlebih, jumlah reaktor yang diperlukan hanya satu reaktor ketika *feed ratio* jauh dari rasio stoikiometri ( $F_{CO_2}/F_{H_2}=0.1-0.21$ ) dan dua reaktor ketika *feed ratio* mendekati rasio stoikiometri ( $F_{CO_2}/F_{H_2}=0.22-0.25$ ).
6. Semakin besar perbedaan *feed ratio* dengan rasio stoikiometri reaktan, beban pemisahan sisa reaktannya akan semakin besar.
7. Teknologi proses pemisahan sisa reaktan gas hidrogen cenderung lebih rumit dan mahal dibandingkan dengan pemisahan gas karbon dioksida.

#### **5.2. Saran**

Berdasarkan kesimpulan tersebut, maka saran yang dapat diberikan untuk penelitian selanjutnya adalah sebagai berikut.

1. Melakukan peninjauan ketika sistem dinamis dengan adanya kontrol sistem untuk mengetahui *range feed ratio* yang diperlukan serta jenis kontrol yang paling sesuai.

2. Melakukan peninjauan terhadap sumber umpan gas karbon lain seperti biomassa dan lain sebagainya.
3. Melakukan riset mengenai kinetika katalis yang sesuai untuk reaksi metanasi pada proses *power to gas*.

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Al-Malah, K. I. M. (2017). *Aspen Plus Chemical Engineering Textbook*.
- Arena, U., Gregorio, F. Di, & Santonastasi, M. (2010). A techno-economic comparison between two design configurations for a small scale, biomass-to-energy gasification based system. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 162(2), 580–590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.05.067>
- Balan, O. M., Buga, M. R., & Bildea, C. S. (2016). Conceptual design, performance and economic evaluation of carbon dioxide methanation plant. *Revista de Chimie*, 67(11), 2237–2242.
- Bartholomew, C. H. (2001). Mechanisms of catalyst deactivation. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 212(1–2), 17–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X\(00\)00843-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(00)00843-7)
- Biollaz, Serge M.; Schildhauer, T. J. (2016). *Synthetic natural gas from coal, dry biomass, and power-to-gas applications*. Wiley Online Library. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119191339.ch4>
- Biswas, S., Kulkarni, A. P., Giddey, S., & Bhattacharya, S. (2020). A Review on Synthesis of Methane as a Pathway for Renewable Energy Storage With a Focus on Solid Oxide Electrolytic Cell-Based Processes. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 8, 229. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FENRG.2020.570112/BIBTEX>
- Bremer, J. (2020). *Advanced Operating Strategies for Non-Isothermal Fixed-Bed Reactors Exemplified for CO 2 Methanation*. August.
- Brisse, A., Schefold, J., & Zahid, M. (2008). High temperature water electrolysis in solid oxide cells. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33(20), 5375–5382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.07.120>
- Brooks, R. (2020). *Methane vs Hydrogen vs CH4-H2 Mixes*. RBAC, Inc. <https://rbac.com/methane-vs-hydrogen-vs-ch4-h2-mixes/>
- Bruns, B., Herrmann, F., Polyakova, M., Grünwald, M., & Riese, J. (2020). A systematic approach to define flexibility in chemical engineering. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing and Processing*, 2(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/amp2.10063>
- Cehn, Y. W. (1988). The Kinetics of Methanation on Nickel Catalysts. *International Journal of Engineering*, 1(1), 7–18.
- Curran, Q. C., Allaire, D., & Willcox, K. E. (2018). Sensitivity analysis methods for mitigating uncertainty in engineering system design. *Systems Engineering*, 21(3), 191–209. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sys.21422>
- Cusamano, J.A., Dalla Betta, R.A., Levy, R. B. (1976). Scientific resources relevant to the catalytic problems in the conversion of coal. In *Energy Research and Development Administration [ERDA]*.
- De Lasa, H., Salaices, E., Mazumder, J., & Lucky, R. (2011). Catalytic steam gasification of biomass: Catalysts, thermodynamics and kinetics. *Chemical Reviews*, 111(9), 5404–5433. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200024w>
- Doesburg, E. B. M., Orr, S., Ross, J. R. H., & Van Reijen, L. L. (1977). Effect of temperature of reduction on the activity and selectivity of a coprecipitated Ni-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst for the Fischer-Tropsch and methanation reactions. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications*, 20, 734–735. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C39770000734>
- Eisenlohr, K. H., Moeller, F. W., & Dry, M. (1974). Influence of certain reaction parameters on methanation of coal gas to SNG. [4 refs]. *Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Fuel Chem., Prepr.; (United States)*, 19:3.
- Farla, J. C. M., Hendriks, C. A., & Blok, K. (1995). Carbon dioxide recovery from industrial processes. *Climatic Change*, 29(4), 439–461. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01092428>
- Gahleitner, G. (2013). Hydrogen from renewable electricity: An international review of power-to-gas pilot plants for stationary applications. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 38(5), 2039–2061. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.12.010>

- Götz, M., Lefebvre, J., Mörs, F., McDaniel Koch, A., Graf, F., Bajohr, S., Reimert, R., & Kolb, T. (2016). Renewable Power-to-Gas: A technological and economic review. *Renewable Energy*, 85, 1371–1390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.07.066>
- Götz, M., Lefebvre, J., Schollenberger, D., Bajohr, S., Reimert, R., & Kolb, T. (2014). Novel methanation concepts for the production of substitute natural gas. *International Gas Research Conference Proceedings*, 3(January), 2313–2320.
- Götz, M., Ortloff, F., Reimert, R., Basha, O., Morsi, B. I., & Kolb, T. (2013). Evaluation of organic and ionic liquids for three-phase methanation and biogas purification processes. *Energy and Fuels*, 27(8), 4705–4716. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ef400334p>
- Gulf Coast Environmental Systems. (2021). *Hydrogen Separation*.  
<http://www.gcesystems.com/hydrogen-separation.html>
- Halder Topsøe. (2009). From solid fuels to substitute natural gas (SNG) using TREMP. In *Technical Report, Halder Topsøe*.
- He, Q. (2014). *Uncertainty and Sensitivity Analysis Methods for Improving Design Robustness and Reliability*.
- Held, M., Schollenberger, D., Sauerschell, S., Bajohr, S., & Kolb, T. (2020). *Power-to-Gas : CO 2 Methanation Concepts for SNG Production at the Engler-Bunte-Institut*. 5, 595–602.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201900181>
- Hu, D., Gao, J., Ping, Y., Jia, L., Gunawan, P., Zhong, Z., Xu, G., Gu, F., & Su, F. (2012). Enhanced investigation of CO methanation over Ni/Al 2O 3 catalysts for synthetic natural gas production. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 51(13), 4875–4886.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ie300049f>
- Iglesias G., M., De Vries, C., Claeys, M., & Schaub, G. (2015). Chemical energy storage in gaseous hydrocarbons via iron Fischer-Tropsch synthesis from H2/CO2 - Kinetics, selectivity and process considerations. *Catalysis Today*, 242(Part A), 184–192.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2014.05.020>
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2005). IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage. In *Deep Eutectic Solvents: Synthesis, Properties, and Applications* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527818488.ch15>
- J. Newton. (2014). Power-to-Gas and Methanation e pathways to a “Hydrogen Economy.” *14TH ANNUAL APGT WORKSHOP*.
- Jeong, Y., Park, J., & Han, M. (2021). Design and Control of a Fixed-Bed Recycle Reactor with Multicatalyst Layers: Methanation of Carbon Dioxide. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.0c05784>
- Kok, E., Scott, J., Cant, N., & Trimm, D. (2011). The impact of ruthenium, lanthanum and activation conditions on the methanation activity of alumina-supported cobalt catalysts. *Catalysis Today*, 164(1), 297–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2010.11.011>
- Kopyscinski, J., Schildhauer, T. J., & Biollaz, S. M. A. (2010). Production of synthetic natural gas (SNG) from coal and dry biomass - A technology review from 1950 to 2009. *Fuel*, 89(8), 1763–1783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2010.01.027>
- Krishna, R., Titus, E., Salimian, M., Okhay, O., Rajendran, S., Rajkumar, A., G. Sousa, J. M., C. Ferreira, A. L., Campos, J., & Gracio, J. (2012). Hydrogen Storage for Energy Application. *Hydrogen Storage*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/51238>
- Kunii, D., Levenspiel, O. (1991). *Fluidization Engineering*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Butterworth-Heinemann. Stoneham.
- Lee, G. D., Moon, M. J., Park, J. H., Park, S. S., & Hong, S. S. (2005). Raney ni catalysts derived from different alloy precursors part II. CO and CO2 methanation activity. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 22(4), 541–546. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02706639>
- Leonzio, G. (2016). Process analysis of biological Sabatier reaction for bio-methane production. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 290, 490–498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.01.068>
- Leonzio, G. (2017). Design and feasibility analysis of a Power-to-Gas plant in Germany. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 162, 609–623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.168>

- Maa, P. S., & Bailie, R. C. (1973). Influence of particle sizes and environmental conditions on high temperature pyrolysis of cellulosic material—i (theoretical). *Combustion Science and Technology*, 7(6), 257–269. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00102207308952366>
- Mills, G. A., & Steffgen, F. W. (1974a). Catalysis Reviews : Science and Engineering Catalytic Methanation. *Catalysis Reviews*, 8(1), 159–210.
- Mills, G. A., & Steffgen, F. W. (1974b). Catalytic methanation. *Catalysis Reviews*, 8(1), 159–210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01614947408071860>
- Murena, F. (2020). *Synthesis Gas Methanation and Its Modelling by Aspen Plus Software Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II Faculty Of Engineering. October.*
- National Center for Biotechnology Information. (2021a). *PubChem Compound Summary for CID 297, Methane*. <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Methane>
- National Center for Biotechnology Information. (2021b). *PubChem Compound Summary for CID 783, Hydrogen*. <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Hydrogen>
- Nguyen, T. T. M., Wissing, L., & Skjøth-Rasmussen, M. S. (2013). High temperature methanation: Catalyst considerations. *Catalysis Today*, 215, 233–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2013.03.035>
- Ni, M., Leung, D. Y. C., Leung, M. K. H., & Sumathy, K. (2006). An overview of hydrogen production from biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 87(5), 461–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2005.11.003>
- Porubova, J., Bazbauers, G., & Markova, D. (2011). Modeling of the Adiabatic and Isothermal Methanation Process. *Environmental and Climate Technologies*, 6(1), 79–84. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10145-011-0011-5>
- Powell, J. B., & Langer, S. H. (1985). Low-temperature methanation and Fischer-Tropsch activity over supported ruthenium, nickel, and cobalt catalysts. *Journal of Catalysis*, 94(2), 566–569. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517\(85\)90222-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517(85)90222-2)
- Qin, H., Guo, C., Wu, Y., & Zhang, J. (2014). Effect of La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> promoter on NiO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in CO methanation. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 31(7), 1168–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-014-0013-7>
- Reytier, M., Di Iorio, S., Chatroux, A., Petitjean, M., Cren, J., & Mougin, J. (2014). *Stack Performances in High Temperature Steam Electrolysis and Co-Electrolysis*.
- Riese, J., & Grünwald, M. (2020). Challenges and Opportunities to Enhance Flexibility in Design and Operation of Chemical Processes. *Chemie-Ingenieur-Technik*, 92(12), 1887–1897. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.202000057>
- Ritchie, H., & Roser, M. (2020). *Emissions by sector*. Our World in Data. <https://ourworldindata.org/emissions-by-sector>
- Rönsch, S., Matthischke, S., Müller, M., & Eichler, P. (2014). Dynamische Simulation von Reaktoren zur Festbettmethanisierung. *Chemie-Ingenieur-Technik*, 86(8), 1198–1204. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201300046>
- Rönsch, S., Schneider, J., Matthischke, S., Schlüter, M., Götz, M., Lefebvre, J., Prabhakaran, P., & Bajohr, S. (2016). Review on methanation - From fundamentals to current projects. *Fuel*, 166, 276–296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.10.111>
- Saito, M., & Anderson, R. B. (1980). The activity of several molybdenum compounds for the methanation of CO. *Journal of Catalysis*, 63(2), 438–446. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517\(80\)90098-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517(80)90098-6)
- Salih, S. (2015). THE MODELING OF PETROLEUM COKE GASIFICATION USING ASPEN PLUS SOFTWARE. In *Theses*. Southern Illinois University Carbondale.
- Schaaf, T., Grünig, J., Schuster, M. R., Rothenfluh, T., & Orth, A. (2014). Methanation of CO<sub>2</sub> - storage of renewable energy in a gas distribution system. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, 4(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-014-0029-1>
- Schlereth, D. (2015). Kinetic and reactor modeling for the methanation of carbon dioxide. *PhD Thesis*. <https://mediatum.ub.tum.de/doc/1241448/file.pdf>
- Seemann, M. C. (2007). *Methanation of biosyngas in a fluidized bed reactor* [ETH Zurich Research Collection]. <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-005413270>

- Sethi, A. K., & Sethi, S. P. (1990). Flexibility in manufacturing: A survey. *International Journal of Flexible Manufacturing Systems*, 2(4), 289–328. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00186471>
- Sibai, A. El. (2019). *Model-based optimization and experimental investigation of CO<sub>2</sub> methanation*.
- Songolzadeh, M., Soleimani, M., Takht Ravanchi, M., & Songolzadeh, R. (2014). Carbon dioxide separation from flue gases: A technological review emphasizing reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/828131>
- Tremel, A., Gaderer, M., & Spliethoff, H. (2013). Small-scale production of synthetic natural gas by allothermal biomass gasification. *International Journal of Energy Research*, 37(11), 1318–1330. <https://doi.org/10.1002/er.2933>
- Tunå, P. (2008). Substitute natural gas from biomass gasification. SGC Rapport 187. *Technology*.
- Ulleberg, Ø., Nakken, T., & Eté, A. (2010). The wind/hydrogen demonstration system at Utsira in Norway: Evaluation of system performance using operational data and updated hydrogen energy system modeling tools. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(5), 1841–1852. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.10.077>
- Van Der Laan, G. P., & Beenackers, A. A. C. M. (1999). Kinetics and Selectivity of the Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis: A Literature Review. *Catalysis Reviews - Science and Engineering*, 41(3–4), 255–318. <https://doi.org/10.1081/CR-100101170>
- Zhang, G., Sun, T., Peng, J., Wang, S., & Wang, S. (2013). A comparison of Ni/SiC and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyzed total methanation for production of synthetic natural gas. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 462–463, 75–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2013.04.037>