

BAB V

Kesimpulan Dan Saran

5.1 Kesimpulan

Setelah peneliti melakukan pembahasan dan perhitungan pada Bab IV yang melibatkan hasil rekapitulasi dari 431 (empat ratus tiga puluh satu) sampel menggunakan uji statistik *software* SPSS 23 dan *Structural Equation Modeling-Partial Least Square* (SEM-PLS) 3.0. Bab ini penulis menyampaikan kesimpulan penelitian yang berjudul ‘Analisis *Political Brand Equity* sebagai Determinan *Voting Intention* pada konstituen muda di Kota Bandung’, akan menjelaskan tentang bagaimana pengaruh dari *Political Socialization Process, Party Knowledge (party brand awareness dan party brand association), party perceived quality* terhadap *voting intention* pada konstituen muda di Kota Bandung sebagaimana sesuai dengan perumusan masalah dan tujuan penelitian yang sudah disampaikan pada Bab I.

5.1.1 Deskripsi Variabel *Political Socialization Process, Party Knowledge (party brand awareness dan party brand association), party perceived quality* dan *voting intention*

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian yang tercantum pada bab sebelumnya dapat diketahui bahwa penilaian responden terhadap *Party Socialization* masuk dalam kategori baik. Dari hasil perhitungan tersebut menunjukkan bahwa media elektronik sebagai agen sosialisasi politik memiliki peranan yang penting dalam menyampaikan

kepada pemuda. kemudian agen sosialisasi politik kedua yang penting untuk diperhatikan adalah berkaitan dengan tingkat religius seseorang. Pendidikan juga dipandang sebagai satu variabel penting dalam kegiatan menjelaskan tingkah laku politik, dan terdapat banyak pembuktian tidak langsung yang menyatakan pendidikan itu penting sebagai agen sosialisasi politik (Galston, 2001)¹¹⁰. Dari hasil kuesioner pada pemuda di Kota Bandung, siklus hidup merupakan salah satu agen sosialisasi politik yang harus dicermati oleh partai politik. Ada prinsip utama dalam proses sosialisasi bahwa apa yang dipelajari awal adalah yang terbaik dipelajari dan struktur pembelajaran kemudian. Peran dari keluarga (terutama ayah) juga memiliki pengaruh terhadap partisipasi dalam politik dan keputusan dalam pemilihan partai politik tertentu. sedangkan perbedaan jenis kelamin memiliki pengaruh yang tidak terlalu baik terhadap partisipasi dalam politik dan pemilihan pada partai politik tertentu.

Penilaian responden terhadap *Party Brand Awareness* masuk dalam kategori baik. Hal ini memperlihatkan bahwa konstituen muda di Kota Bandung dapat dengan mudah mengenali simbol atau logo dari partai politik yang akan mereka pilih, konstituen muda Kota Bandung juga dapat dengan mudah mengenali partai yang mereka pilih di antara partai pesaing lainnya dan dapat dengan cepat mengingat partai politik yang telah dipilih. Kemudian konstituen muda Kota Bandung telah memiliki kemampuan untuk mengenali satu merek di atas merek lain walaupun konstituen muda Kota Bandung belum memperoleh informasi yang cukup memadai mengenai program yang ditawarkan oleh partai politik.

Penilaian responden terhadap *Party Brand Association* masuk dalam kategori baik. Walaupun konstituen muda Kota Bandung sulit untuk menggambarkan seperti apa

partai politik yang dipilih, logo dari partai politik yang dipilih oleh responden memiliki keakraban yang baik dengan respondem untuk diingat kembali. dan beberapa atribut dari partai politik yang terkait pada karakteristik juga diperlukan untuk kinerja produk atau layanan supaya dapat dikenali oleh responden.

Penilaian responden terhadap *Party Perceived Quality* masuk dalam kategori baik. Dari hasil tersebut dapat dilihat bahwa responden yang merupakan konstituen muda memiliki penilaian yang baik terhadap kualitas yang mereka rasakan terhadap partai politik yang mereka pilih. Karena kualitas yang dirasakan oleh responden didasarkan pada persepsi dan apa yang penting bagi individu konsumen dan persepsi kualitas sebagai elemen terpenting bagi pelanggan untuk menilai suatu merek. Dengan pemahaman persepsi konsumen terhadap kualitas suatu merek seharusnya akan membantu partai politik untuk mengelola merek mereka dengan sukses pada pasar yang ramai.

Penilaian responden terhadap *Voting Intention* masuk dalam kategori baik. melihat dari hasil ini maka dapat disimpulkan bahwa pilihan responden sudah memiliki kepastian tanpa adanya keraguan untuk beralih. penelitian ini juga memperlihatkan bahwa terdapat kemungkinan pemilihan pada masa depan dapat terjadi dan dapat diketahui dari pernyataan ini bahwa posisi merek saat ini cukup kuat untuk memotivasi pemilih untuk melakukukan pemilihan pada partai dan responden dengan kemauan sendiri memiliki kehendak atau niat untuk memberikan informasi terkait partai politik pilihannya kepada teman atau rekan sejawat atau anggota keluarganya.

5.1.2 Pengaruh dari *Political Socialization Process* terhadap *Party Knowledge (party brand awareness dan party brand association)*, dan *party perceived quality*

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa *Political Socialization Process* berpengaruh terhadap *Party Brand Awareness* untuk konstituen muda di Kota Bandung dan signifikan secara statistik. Sehingga semakin baik *Political Socialization Process* maka *Party Brand Awareness* juga akan meningkat dan sebaliknya semakin rendah *Political Socialization Process* maka *Party Brand Awareness* akan mengalami penurunan. Hal ini menunjukkan bahwa tingkat religius seseorang, gender, dan pengalaman hidup sebagai *agent* sosialisasi politik merupakan sesuatu yang perlu diperhatikan oleh partai politik dalam sosialisasinya kepada konstituen muda khususnya di Kota Bandung. Hal tersebut bisa membuat para konstituen muda untuk mengenali partai yang akan dipilih, dengan melihat logo ataupun simbol, sehingga mereka bisa memikirkan partai politik pilihan mereka ketika hendak melakukan hak pilihnya.

Political Socialization Process juga berpengaruh terhadap *party brand association* dan signifikan secara statistik. Sehingga semakin baik *Political Socialization Process* maka *Party Brand Association* juga akan meningkat dan sebaliknya semakin rendah *Political Socialization Process* maka *Party Brand Association* akan mengalami penurunan. Hal ini menunjukkan bahwa para konstituen muda di Kota Bandung mudah untuk mengingat logo ataupun simbol partai politik yang akan dipilih kelak dan mereka sama sekali tidak kesulitan memilih partai politik tersebut ketika nanti ketika proses pemilu akan berlangsung. Tetapi masih mengalami kesulitan dalam melihat karakteristik partai politik tersebut.

Kemudian *Political Socialization Process* juga berpengaruh terhadap *Party Perceived Quality* dan signifikan secara statistik. Sehingga semakin baik *Political Socialization Process* maka *Party Perceived Quality* juga akan meningkat dan sebaliknya semakin rendah *Political Socialization Process* maka *Party Perceived Quality* akan mengalami penurunan. Hal ini menunjukkan bahwa para konstituen muda di Kota Bandung sudah dapat memilih partai politik yang dianggap memiliki kredibilitas yang baik untuk dipilih, partai politik tersebut bisa membuat negara menjadi aman, tenteram dan membuat rakyatnya semakin sejahtera, partai politik ini diharapkan bisa melibatkan aspirasi mereka dan melibatkan rakyat dalam membuat kebijakan, partai politik ini dipersepsikan memiliki kemampuan untuk melaksanakan program mereka dan memberikan pelayanan sesuai yang telah dijanjikan.

5.1.3 Pengaruh dari *Party Knowledge (party brand awareness* dan *party brand association*), dan *party perceived quality* terhadap *voting intention*

Dari hasil pengujian yang terdapat pada bab sebelumnya menunjukkan bahwa *Party Brand Awareness* berpengaruh terhadap *Voting Intention* secara parsial dan signifikan secara statistik. Sehingga, semakin tinggi *Party Brand Awareness* maka *Voting Intention* juga akan meningkat dan sebaliknya semakin rendah *Party Brand Awareness* maka *Voting Intention* akan mengalami penurunan. Hal ini menunjukkan bahwa semakin mudah seseorang mengenali partai politik yang dipilihnya ini daripada partai politik pesaing maka mereka berpotensi untuk bisa memilih partai tersebut dikemudian hari, semakin mudah mereka mengingat simbol dan logo partai dalam benak mereka maka semakin kuat juga mereka memilih partai politik yang mereka pilih

tersebut daripada partai politik lain maka kesempatan mereka untuk memilih partai politik tersebut akan semakin besar ketika pemilu terdekat ini akan berlangsung

Party Brand Association juga berpengaruh terhadap *Voting Intention* secara parsial dan signifikan secara statistik. Sehingga semakin tinggi *Party Brand Association* maka *Voting Intention* juga akan meningkat dan sebaliknya semakin rendah *Party Brand Association* maka *Voting Intention* akan mengalami penurunan. Hal ini menunjukkan bahwa partai politik yang memiliki karakteristik secara spesifik/tertentu akan semakin mudah di ingat khususnya untuk konstituen muda di Kota Bandung, semakin mudah mereka mengingat kembali partai politik tersebut dalam benak mereka bisa dalam bentuk simbol atau logo dari partai politik tersebut maka hal ini bisa membuat mereka memilih partai politik pilihan mereka ini dibandingkan dengan partai politik yang lain.

Variabel *Party Perceived Quality* berpengaruh juga terhadap *Voting Intention* secara parsial dan signifikan secara statistik. Sehingga semakin baik *Party Perceived Quality* maka *Voting Intention* juga akan meningkat dan sebaliknya semakin rendah *Party Perceived Quality* maka *Voting Intention* akan mengalami penurunan. Hal ini menyatakan bahwa partai politik yang memiliki kredibilitas yang baik, jujur dan dapat dipercaya akan membuat konstituen muda di Kota Bandung memilih dalam pemilu sekarang dan memiliki potensi pilihan pada pemilu selanjutnya. Partai politik yang bisa menampung aspirasi rakyat, melaksanakan programnya dengan baik dan memberikan pelayanan sesuai yang dijanjikan oleh partai tersebut maka membuat minat para konstituen muda di Kota Bandung memilih partai politik tersebut pada pemilu yang sekarang dan akan datang sebagai pilihan pertama mereka dan mereka pun memiliki

keinginan untuk merekomendasikan hal ini kepada sanak saudara ataupun orang dekat di lingkungan mereka.

Dan dilihat secara simultan variabel *Party Brand Awareness*, *Party Brand Association* dan *Party Perceived Quality* bersama-sama memberikan pengaruh sebesar 37,2% terhadap *Voting Intention*.

5.2 Saran

Sebagai penutup dari disertasi ini, penulis memberikan saran kepada para penentu pengambil kebijakan partai politik khususnya yang melihat *Political Brand Equity* sehingga bisa memfokuskan diri pada konstituen muda di Kota Bandung untuk melakukan hal sebagai berikut:

1. Para pengambil kebijakan pada partai politik untuk segera membentuk *brand equity* pada partai mereka sehingga partai tersebut bisa menentukan langkah-langkah dalam membuat strategi *Political Socialization Process*, *Party Knowledge* (*party brand awareness* dan *party brand association*), *party perceived quality* yang sesuai dengan kondisi, situasi dari partai politik tersebut sehingga tercipta *Political Brand Equity* yang baru di masyarakat sehingga partai ini bisa meningkatkan minat (*voting intention*) masyarakat untuk memilih partai ini pada pemilu di masa yang akan datang.
2. Penelitian ini adalah salah satu karya akademik yang menemukan korelasi positif antara kesadaran merek, asosiasi merek, persepsi kualitas, dan niat untuk melakukan pilihan. Meskipun terdapat penelitian serupa yang membahas dampak komponen ekuitas merek pada niat pilih kontituen, penyelidikan tentang

partai politik masih jarang. Oleh karena itu, penelitian ini secara khusus bertujuan untuk memberikan pemahaman yang lebih baik tentang pengaruh komponen ekuitas merek ini terhadap niat pilih konstituen muda terhadap partai politik. Penelitian ini berguna untuk penelitian lanjutan bagi akademisi, di mana penelitian ini merupakan penelitian awal tentang *political brand equity* pada partai politik di Indonesia khususnya untuk konstituen muda di Kota Bandung, penelitian ini bisa menjadi lebih menarik lagi apabila mengganti *focus* dan *locus* untuk penelitian di masa yang akan datang. Karena dalam penelitian ini latar belakang responden juga kurang. Dimana responden dalam penelitian ini adalah orang muda dengan rentang usia 17-30 tahun yang berdomisili di kota Bandung. Dengan demikian, kurangnya keragaman wilayah dan etnis dapat mempengaruhi hasil mengingat bahwa responden dari wilayah dan usia yang berbeda mungkin memiliki perilaku pemilihan yang berbeda berdasarkan preferensi, kebutuhan, dan perhatian mereka saat membuat keputusan pemilihan. Oleh karena itu, penelitian yang akan datang dapat mempelajari kelompok usia yang lebih luas sehingga pengaruh kelompok usia terhadap niat pemilihan pada partai politik dapat dievaluasi. Pada penelitian selanjutnya dapat dilakukan penelitian yang dapat merancang hipotesis penelitian dengan menggunakan variabel moderasi ataupun mediasi untuk melihat keterkaitan hubungan antar variabel. Hal ini ditujukan agar dapat mengembangkan pengetahuan dan pemahaman lebih mendalam mengenai *Political Brand Equity* khususnya untuk bisa meningkatkan variabel *voting intention*. Penulis merekomendasikan melakukan penelitian

dengan menganalisis variabel baru khususnya tentang variabel *brand* image yang bisa dimasukan kedalam teori dari *Political Brand Equity*.

3. Harus ditekankan bahwa dalam lingkungan partai politik yang sangat kompetitif saat ini, manajemen merek yang kuat menjadi langkah penting dalam mengamankan pangsa pasar yang besar. Dengan demikian, berdasarkan hasil dari penelitian ini, partai politik dapat mengenali pentingnya komponen ekuitas merek pada niat pilih konstituen. Penelitian ini lebih lanjut memberikan partai politik dengan pemahaman tentang tren pasar dan preferensi konsumen. Dalam lingkungan partai politik yang serba cepat dan bersaing ini, penting bagi partai untuk bergerak seiring dengan tren saat ini dan preferensi konstituen dalam membangun pemasaran yang efektif dan efisien yang memenuhi kebutuhan dan keinginan konstituen. Dengan demikian, makalah ini dapat memfasilitasi pertarung politik dalam meningkatkan keterpilihan dan pangsa pasar mereka dengan menawarkan fitur merek yang tepat yang merangsang perilaku pembelian konsumen.
4. Telah terungkap bahwa variable yang diuji dalam penelitian ini memiliki pengaruh positif terhadap niat pemilihan dari konstituen muda kota Bandung. Secara khusus, kualitas yang dirasakan ditemukan menjadi elemen paling signifikan dalam mempengaruhi niat pemilihan konstituen muda terhadap partai politik. Oleh karena itu, jelas bahwa partai politik harus menetapkan atribut kualitas yang dirasakan yang penting bagi partai politik dan konstituen sehingga keunggulan kompetitif dapat diperoleh dari pesaing. Namun demikian, peran penting yang dimainkan oleh faktor-faktor lainnya tidak boleh diabaikan. *Party*

Brand Association membantu konstituen untuk menghasilkan citra merek positif atau negatif yang selanjutnya memengaruhi hubungan yang telah dibangun konsumen dengan merek tersebut, yang selanjutnya memengaruhi niat pemilihan konstituen. *Party Brand Awareness* sama pentingnya karena komunikasi dan transaksi apa pun tidak akan terjadi jika tidak ada kesadaran merek.

5. Kesimpulan dan saran akhir dengan semakin ketatnya persaingan politik, perilaku, preferensi, dan kebutuhan konstituen harus diidentifikasi dan dipahami untuk merancang produk yang akan terus memuaskan konsumen. Membangun merek yang kuat tidak diragukan lagi menjadi langkah penting untuk memenangi kompetisi dan partai politik menonjol dari kerumunan.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Aaker, D. A. (1991). Managing Brand Equity. *Journal of Marketing*.
2. Aaker, D. A., & Keller, K. L. (1990). Consumer Evaluations of Brand Extensions. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1252171>
3. Abdel Halim, S. M. (2011). Improving EFL majors' critical reading skills and political awareness: A proposed translation program. *International Journal of Educational Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2011.11.003>
4. Agarwal, M. K., & Rao, V. R. (1996). An empirical comparison of consumer-based measures of brand equity. *Marketing Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00435740>
5. Ahmad, N. (2017). Political Marketing Management of Parliament Under the Presidential Government System: A Lesson Learned from the Indonesia House of Representative Post-New Order Soeharto. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2014.959692>
6. Ahmad, N., & Popa, I.-L. (2014). The Social Media Usage and the Transformation of Political Marketing and Campaigning of the Emerging Democracy in Indonesia. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-04666-2_7
7. Ahmad Aliyudin.A, Political marketing partai “nasdem” menuju pemilu 2014 (Strategi DPW Partai NasDem Kaltim Dalam Mencitrakan Diri Sebagai Partai Gerakan Perubahan), eJournal Ilmu Komunikasi, 2013, 1 (4): 166-182
8. Ahmed, M. A., Lodhi, S. A., & Ahmad, Z. (2017). Political Brand Equity Model: The Integration of Political Brands in Voter Choice. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2015.1022629>
9. Ali, F., Rasoolimanesh, S. M., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Ryu, K. (2018). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) in hospitality research. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-10-2016-0568>
10. Almond, G. A., & Verba, S. (2015). *The civic culture: Political attitudes and democracy in five nations. The Civic Culture: Political Attitudes and Democracy in Five Nations*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/40198974>
11. Ambler, T., & Barwise, P. (1998). The trouble with brand valuation. *Journal of Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.1998.25>
12. American Marketing Association. (1973). *Journal of Marketing Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224377301000402>
13. Anderson, Christopher J. 1996. “Political Action and Social Integration.” *American Politics Quarterly* 24 (1): 105–125.

14. Andi alimuddin and seniwati, The internet and social media in political participation in indonesia, International Journal of Management and Applied Science Volume-2, Issue-8
15. Andrea Hilmawan Apriliansyah, Political marketing caleg terpilih partai nasdem pada pemilu legislatif dprd kota surabaya tahun 2014, Jurnal Politik Muda Vol. 4 / No. 1 / Published: 2015-01
16. Andrew J. Dubrin. (2012). Leadership: Research Findings, Practice and Skills. In *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*.
17. Arif Sugiono, “Membongkar” kemenangan sby – boediono (perspektif political marketing), Jurnal Ilmiah Administrasi Publik dan Pembangunan, Vol.3, No.7, Juli-Desember 2009
18. Arndt, J. (1983). The Political Economy Paradigm: Foundation for Theory Building in Marketing. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251398>
19. Arofah, K., & Nugrahajati, S. D. (2014). Political Marketing Conducted by Legislative Candidates in Indonesia: Study of Public Transportation as Transit Media in Medan on Presidential Election 2014. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.10.272>
20. Atilgan, E., Aksoy, S., & Akinci, S. (2005). Determinants of the brand equity: A verification approach in the beverage industry in Turkey. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02634500510597283>
21. Bagozzi, R. P., & Dholakia, U. M. (2006). Antecedents and purchase consequences of customer participation in small group brand communities. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2006.01.005>
22. Baines, P. R., & Egan, J. (2001). Marketing and political campaigning: Mutually exclusive or exclusively mutual? *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13522750110364541>
23. Baines, P. R., Harris, P., & Lewis, B. R. (2002). The political marketing planning process: improving image and message in strategic target areas. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02634500210414710>
24. Baines, P. R., Worcester, R. M., Jarrett, D., & Mortimore, R. (2005). Product Attribute-Based Voter Segmentation and Resource Advantage Theory. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1362/026725705775194102>
25. Baker, C., Nancarrow, C., & Tinson, J. (2005). The mind versus market share guide to brand equity. *International Journal of Market Research*.

26. Bal, A. S., Pitt, L., Berthon, P., & DesAutels, P. (2009). Caricatures, cartoons, spoofs and satires: Political brands as butts. *Journal of Public Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.334>
27. Bambang Sukma Wijaya, Analisis Strategi Komunikasi Pemasaran Politik Calon Presiden Amerika Serikat 2008, Analisis Strategi Komunikasi Pemasaran Politik Calon Presiden Amerika Serikat 2008
28. Banaeianjahromi, N., & Smolander, K. (2016). Understanding obstacles in enterprise architecture development. In *24th European Conference on Information Systems, ECIS 2016*.
29. Banerjee, S., & Ray Chaudhuri, B. (2016). Factors responsible behind political brand preference: an empirical study on Indian voters. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-05-2015-0095>
30. Bang, H. P. (2009). Yes we can: Identity politics and project politics for a late-modern world. *Urban Research and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17535060902979022>
31. Bartle, John and Dylan Griffiths. 2002. ‘Social-Psychological, Economic and Marketing Models of Voting Behaviour Compared’. In *The idea of Political Marketing*, eds. N. J. O’Shaughnessy and S. C. M Henneberg. Westport: Praeger Publishers.
32. Bartolini, S., & Mair, P. (1990). Identity, competition, and electoral availability: the stabilisation of European electorates 1885-1985. *Identity, Competition, and Electoral Availability: The Stabilisation of European Electorates 1885-1985*.
33. Basu, A., & Wang, J. (2009). The role of branding in public health campaigns. *Journal of Communication Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13632540910931409>
34. Bauer, H., Heinrich, D., & Martin, I. (2007). How to Create High Emotional Consumer-Brand Relationships? The Causalities of Brand Passion. *Proceedings of the Australian & New Zealand Marketing Academy Conference*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1216-7>
35. Belk, R. W. (1988). Possessions and the Extended Self. *Journal of Consumer Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209154>
36. Bendixen, M., Bukasa, K. A., & Abratt, R. (2004). Brand equity in the business-to-business market. *Industrial Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2003.10.001>
37. Bergstrom, A., Blumenthal, D., & Crothers, S. (2002). Why Internal Branding Matters: The Case of Saab. *Corporate Reputation Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.crr.1540170>

38. Best, S. J., & Krueger, B. S. (2005). Analyzing the representativeness of internet political participation. *Political Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-005-3242-y>
39. Blackwell, R.D., Miniard, P.W., Engel, F.J. (2001), Consumer Behaviour. Orlando: Harcourt College Publishers.
40. Blais, A., Gidengil, E., Nevitte, N., & Nadeau, R. (2004). Where does turnout decline come from? *European Journal of Political Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2004.00152.x>
41. Blythe, J. 2001 Essentials of Marketing: Pearson Educational Ltd, Chapter 6, Products, Branding and Packages
42. Bong na, W., Marshall, R., & Keller, K. L. (1999). Measuring brand power: Validating a model for optimizing brand equity. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10610429910272439>

43. Boo, S., Busser, J., & Baloglu, S. (2009). A model of customer-based brand equity and its application to multiple destinations. *Tourism Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2008.06.003>
44. Bottomley, P. A., & Doyle, J. R. (1996). The formation of attitudes towards brand extensions: Testing and generalising Aaker and Keller's model. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116\(96\)00018-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116(96)00018-3)
45. Bou-Llusar, J. C., Camisón-Zornoza, C., & Escrig-Tena, A. B. (2001). Measuring the relationship between firm perceived quality and customer satisfaction and its influence on purchase intentions. *Total Quality Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09544120120075334>
46. Brennan, R., Egan, J., & Baines, P. R. (2003). Market" Classification and Political Campaigning: some strategic implications. *Journal of Political Marketing*.
47. Brennan, R., & Henneberg, S. C. (2008). Does political marketing need the concept of customer value? *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02634500810902820>
48. Brent, E., & Leedy, P. D. (1990). Practical Research: Planning and Design. *Teaching Sociology*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1318509>
49. Brewer, A., & Zhao, J. (2010). The impact of a pathway college on reputation and brand awareness for its affiliated university in Sydney. *International Journal of Educational Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513541011013033>
50. Brownlie, D., & Saren, M. (1995). On the commodification of marketing knowledge: Opening themes. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.1995.9964373>
51. Buehler, M., & Tan, P. (2007). Party-Candidate Relationships in Indonesian Local Politics: A Case Study of the 2005 Regional Elections in Gowa, South Sulawesi Province. *Indonesia*.
52. Burton, S., & Netemeyer, R. G. (1992). The effect of enduring, situational, and response involvement on preference stability in the context of voting behavior. *Psychology & Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.4220090205>
53. Butler, D. M., & Powell, E. N. (2014). Understanding the party brand: Experimental evidence on the role of valence. *Journal of Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022381613001436>

54. Butler, P., & Collins, N. (2001). Payment on delivery - Recognising constituency service as political marketing. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eum0000000005956>
55. BPS. (2018). Kota Bandung dalam Angka- Bandung Municipality in figures 2017. ISSN 0215.2320. BPS Kota Bandung.
56. Caldwell, N., & Freire, J. R. (2004). The differences between branding a country, a region and a city: Applying the Brand Box Model. *Journal of Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.bm.2540201>
57. Chang, H. H., & Liu, Y. M. (2009). The impact of brand equity on brand preference and purchase intentions in the service industries. *Service Industries Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642060902793557>
58. Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance: The role of brand loyalty. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.2.81.18255>
59. Chen, Y. S., & Chang, C. H. (2012). Enhance green purchase intentions: The roles of green perceived value, green perceived risk, and green trust. *Management Decision*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741211216250>
60. Cheng-Hsui Chen, A. (2001). Using free association to examine the relationship between the characteristics of brand associations and brand equity. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420110410559>
61. Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach for structural equation modeling. In *Modern methods for business research*.
62. Choi, N. (2007). Elections, parties and elites in Indonesia's local politics. *South East Asia Research*. <https://doi.org/10.5367/000000007782717731>
63. Chou, H. Y. (2014). Effects of endorser types in political endorsement advertising. *International Journal of Advertising*. <https://doi.org/10.2501/IJA-33-2-391-414>
64. Christodoulides, G., & de Chernatony, L. (2010). Consumer-based brand equity conceptualisation and measurement: A literature review. *International Journal of Market Research*. <https://doi.org/10.2501/S1470785310201053>
65. Christopher, P., Dianne, D., & Khanyapuss, P. (2016). Political brand identity: An examination of the complexities of Conservative brand and internal market engagement during the 2010 UK General Election campaign. *Journal of Marketing Communications*.

66. Clarke, H. D., Sanders, D., Stewart, M. C., & Whiteley, P. (2004). *Political Choice in Britain*. Political Choice in Britain. <https://doi.org/10.1093/019924488X.001.0001>
67. Clow, K. E., Kurtz, D. L., Ozment, J., & Soo Ong, B. (1997). The antecedents of consumer expectations of services: an empirical study across four industries. *Journal of Services Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08876049710171704>
68. Cobb-Walgren, C. J., Ruble, C. A., & Donthu, N. (1995). Brand equity, brand preference, and purchase intent. *Journal of Advertising*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.1995.10673481>
69. Cosgrove, K. M. (2015). Political branding in the modern age. In *Routledge Handbook of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203349908.ch9>
70. Coxall, B. (1992). *Contemporary British Politics*. Contemporary British Politics. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-19867-2>
71. Creswell, J. W. (2010). Mapping the developing landscape of mixed methods research. *SAGE Handbook of Mixed Methods in Social & Behavioral Research*, 2, 45–68.
72. Dalton, R. J. (2012). Apartisans and the changing German electorate. *Electoral Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2011.06.005>
73. Dalton, R. J., & Wattenberg, M. P. (2003). *Parties Without Partisans*. Parties Without Partisans. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0199253099.001.0001>
74. Dalton, R. J., Wattenberg, M. P., Dalton, R. J., & Wattenberg, M. P. (2003). Unthinkable Democracy. In *Parties Without Partisans*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0199253099.003.0001>
75. Dalton, R. J., & Weldon, S. (2007). Partisanship and party system institutionalization. *Party Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068807073856>
76. Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2018). Chapter 3 Predictions from Partial Least Squares Models. In *Applying Partial Least Squares in Tourism and Hospitality Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-78756-699-620181003>
77. Davies, G., & Mian, T. (2010). The reputation of the party leader and of the party being led. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561011020453>
78. Davies, J. C. (1965). The Family's Role in Political Socialization. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000271626536100102>

79. Davis, S. (2002). Brand Asset Management 2: How businesses can profit from the power of brand. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07363760210433654>
80. Dawar, N., & Pillutla, M. M. (2000). Impact of product-harm crises on brand equity: The moderating role of consumer expectations. *Journal of Marketing Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.37.2.215.18729>
81. Day, G. S. (1994). The Capabilities of Market-Driven Organizations. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251915>
82. De Carvalho, B. L., De Fátima Salgueiro, M., & Rita, P. (2016). Accessibility and trust: The two dimensions of consumers' perception on sustainable purchase intention. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2015.1110210>
83. de Chernatony, L., & Dall'Olmo Riley, F. (1998). Defining A "Brand": Beyond The Literature With Experts' Interpretations. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1362/026725798784867798>
84. de Chernatony, L., & McDonald, M. (2012). *Creating powerful brands: In consumer, service and industrial markets*. *Creating Powerful Brands: In Consumer, Service and Industrial Markets*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780080476919>
85. Dean, D., & Croft, R. (2001). Friends and relations: long-term approaches to political campaigning. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eum0000000006482>
86. Dean, D., Croft, R., & Pich, C. (2015). Toward a Conceptual Framework of Emotional Relationship Marketing: An Examination of Two UK Political Parties. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2014.990849>
87. Dede Maryani, The Analysis Of Political Marketing Mix In Influencing Image And Reputation Of Political Party And Their Impact Toward The Competitiveness Of Political Party (The Survey Of Voters In West Java Province), International journal of scientific & technology research volume 4, issue 11, november 2015
88. Devasagayam, P. R., Buff, C. L., Aurand, T. W., & Judson, K. M. (2010). Building brand community membership within organizations: A viable internal branding alternative? *Journal of Product and Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10610421011046184>

89. Djuyandi, Y., & Herdiansah, A. G. (2018). Political Participation of Youth in the West Java Regional Election (Pilkada) in 2018. *Jurnal Bina Praja*. <https://doi.org/10.21787/jbp.10.2018.195-207>
90. Dodds, W. B., Monroe, K. B., & Grewal, D. (1991). Effects of Price, Brand, and Store Information on Buyers' Product Evaluations. *Journal of Marketing Research*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3172866>
91. Dostie-Goulet, E. (2009). Social networks and the development of political interest. *Journal of Youth Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676260902866512>
92. Downer, L. (2016). It's the Equity Stupid! Protecting the Value of the Partisan Brand. *Journal of Nonprofit and Public Sector Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10495142.2016.1131485>
93. Doyle, P. (1987). Marketing and the british chief executive. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.1987.9964033>
94. Drucker, P. F. (2012). *Management: Tasks, responsibilities, practices*. *Management: Tasks, Responsibilities, Practices*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780080939063>
95. Ediraras, D. T., Rahayu, D. A., Natalina, A., & Widya, W. (2013). Political Marketing Strategy of Jakarta Governor Election in The 2012s. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.06.480>
96. Einstein, M. (2007). *Brands of faith: Marketing religion in a commercial age*. *Brands of Faith: Marketing Religion in a Commercial Age*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203938874>
97. Erdem, T., & Swait, J. (1998). Brand equity as a signaling phenomenon. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp0702_02
98. Erdem, T., Swait, J., & Louviere, J. (2002). The impact of brand credibility on consumer price sensitivity. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116\(01\)00048-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116(01)00048-9)
99. Eva Leiliyanti, Representation and symbolic politics in Indonesia: billboard advertising in the 2009 legislative assembly elections, Volume vi issue 11 2013
100. Falkenberg, A. W. (1996). Marketing and the wealth of firms. *Journal of Macromarketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/027614679601600102>
101. Farquhar, P. H. (1988). Managing Brand Equity. *Journal of Advertising Research*.

102. Fealy, G. And Borgu, A. (2005). Local jihad: radical Islam and terrorism in Indonesia. Australian Strategic Policy Institute.
103. Feldwick, P. (1996). What is brand equity anyway, and how do you measure it? *International Journal of Market Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/147078539603800201>
104. Forehand, M., Gastil, J., & Smith, M. A. (2004). Endorsements as Voting Cues: Heuristic and Systematic Processing in Initiative Elections1. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2004.tb01974.x>
105. Fournier, S. (1998). Consumers and Their Brands: Developing Relationship Theory in Consumer Research. *Journal of Consumer Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209515>
106. Franklin, M. N. (2004). *Voter turnout and the dynamics of electoral competition in established democracies since 1945. Voter Turnout and the Dynamics of Electoral Competition in Established Democracies since 1945*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511616884>
107. Franklin, M. N., Lyons, P., & Marsh, M. (2004). Generational Basis of Turnout Decline in Established Democracies. *Acta Politica*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ap.5500060>
108. French, A., & Smith, G. (2010). Measuring political brand equity: A consumer oriented approach. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561011020534>
109. Fuse, K., & Hanada, N. (2009). Political distrust and gendered attitudes: The Japanese state and women. *Sex Roles*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-009-9591-y>
110. Galston, W. A. (2001). Political knowledge, political engagement and civic education. *Annual Review of Political Science*.
111. García, J. A. M., & Caro, L. M. (2009). Understanding customer loyalty through system dynamics: The case of a public sports service in Spain. *Management Decision*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740910929768>
112. German, D. B. (2014). Political Socialization Background. E-Political Socialization, the Press and Politics. The Media and Government in the USA, Europe and China. ISBN 978-3-653-01971-1 (ebook). Peter Lang GmbH International Academic Publishers Frankfurt am Main 2014
113. Ghazali, Imam.(2011). Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program SPSS. *Jurnal Ilmiah Universitas Pandanaran*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107049659800700202>

114. Gilligan, C., & Wilson, R. M. S. (2012). *Strategic marketing planning. Strategic Marketing Planning*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780080481142>
115. Gladden, J. M., & Funk, D. C. (2001). Understanding Brand Loyalty in Professional Sport: Examining the Link Between Brand Associations and Brand Loyalty. *International Journal of Sports Marketing and Sponsorship*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijssms-03-01-2001-b006>
116. Gordon, B. R., Lovett, M. J., Shachar, R., Arceneaux, K., Moorthy, S., Peress, M., ... Urminsky, O. (2012). Marketing and politics: Models, behavior, and policy implications. *Marketing Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-012-9185-2>
117. Greenstein, F. I. (1965). Personality and Political Socialization: The Theories of Authoritarian and Democratic Character. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000271626536100108>
118. Grunert, K., Juhl, H., & Poulsen, C. (2001). Perception de la qualité en alimentaire et rôles des labels. *Revue Française Du Marketing*.
119. Gurău, C., & Ayadi, N. (2011). Political communication management: The strategy of the two main candidates during the 2007 French presidential elections. *Journal of Communication Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13632541111105222>
120. Guzmán, F., Paswan, A. K., & Van Steenburg, E. (2015). Self-Referencing and Political Candidate Brands: A Congruency Perspective. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2014.990837>
121. Guzmán, F., & Sierra, V. (2009). A political candidate's brand image scale: Are political candidates brands. *Journal of Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2009.19>
122. Ha, H. Y., Janda, S., & Muthaly, S. (2010). Development of brand equity: Evaluation of four alternative models. *Service Industries Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642060802320253>
123. Haigh, D., Knowles, J. (2004). How to Define Your Brand and Determine its Value, ' *Marketing Management*, Vol. 13, No.3, pp. 24-8.
124. Haines, G. H., Howard, J. A., & Sheth, J. N. (1970). The Theory of Buyer Behavior. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2284311>
125. Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). *Sage Publisher*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128>

126. Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & Kuppelwieser, V. G. (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM): An emerging tool in business research. *European Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128>
127. Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Mena, J. A. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-011-0261-6>
128. Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Pieper, T. M., & Ringle, C. M. (2012). The Use of Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling in Strategic Management Research: A Review of Past Practices and Recommendations for Future Applications. *Long Range Planning*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.09.008>
129. Harris, P., & Lock, A. (2010). "Mind the gap": The rise of political marketing and a perspective on its future agenda. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561011020435>
130. Harryadin, Mahardika, The Efficacy of Underdog Brand Strategy in Indonesia's Political Marketing, Indonesian Scholar Journals-Vol.2, September 2014
131. Hayes, D. (2005). Candidate Qualities through a Partisan Lens: A Theory of Trait Ownership. *American Journal of Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3647705>
132. Henneberg, S. C. M. (2006). Leading or Following? *Journal of Political Marketing*. https://doi.org/10.1300/j199v05n03_02
133. Henn, M., & Foard, N. (2012). Young people, political participation and trust in Britain. *Parliamentary Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pa/gsr046>
134. Henn, M., Weinstein, M., & Forrest, S. (2005). Uninterested youth? Young people's attitudes towards party politics in Britain. *Political Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2005.00544.x>
135. Henneberg, S. C. M. (2004). Political Marketing Theory: Hendiadyoin or Oxymoron, 'School of Management Working Papers', University of Bath, UK.
136. Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>

137. Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in International Marketing*. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979\(2009\)0000020014](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)0000020014)
138. Heriyanto¹, A., & Faturrachman³, D. (2015). Political Marketing Strategy As A Struggle Legislative Member Toward The Indonesia Parliament In Jakarta. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management Ver. III*. <https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-17330512>
139. Heryanto, G. G. (1970). MARKETING POLITIK DI MEDIA MASSA DALAM PEMILU 2009. *KOMUNIKA: Jurnal Dakwah Dan Komunikasi*. <https://doi.org/10.24090/komunika.v3i2.127>
140. Hess, R. D., & Torney, J. V. (2017). The development of political attitudes in children. In *Political Socialization*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315126753-5>
141. Hooghe, M., Stolle, D., & Stouthuysen, P. (2004). Head Start In Politics: The Recruitment Function Of Youth Organizations Of Political Parties In Belgium (Flanders). *Party Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068804040503>
142. Houston, F. S. (1986). The Marketing Concept: What It Is and What It Is Not. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251602>
143. Hoyer, W. D., & Brown, S. P. (1990). Effects of Brand Awareness on Choice for a Common, Repeat-Purchase Product. *Journal of Consumer Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/208544>
144. Huang, T., & Shaw, D. (2009). Beyond the battlegrounds? Electoral college strategies in the 2008 presidential election. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377850903263771>
145. Hughes, A., & Dann, S. (2009). Australian political marketing: Substance backed by style. In *Global Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203869338>
146. Hung, K. H., & Li, S. Y. (2007). The influence of eWOM on virtual consumer communities: Social capital, consumer learning, and behavioral outcomes. *Journal of Advertising Research*. <https://doi.org/10.2501/S002184990707050X>
147. Hunt, S. D., & Morgan, R. M. (1995). The Comparative Advantage Theory of Competition. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1252069>
148. Husein Umar, Metode riset perilaku organisasi. Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2003
149. Hutt, M. D., Speh, T. W. (1998) *Organisational Buying Behaviour*, New York: The Dryden Press.

150. Hwang, H., & Takane, Y. (2004). Generalized structured component analysis. *Psychometrika*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02295841>
151. Ihwan Susila, Dianne Dean, David Harness, Peran political marketing mixuntuk menciptakan kepuasan konstituent pada partai politik
152. Jain, V., Pich, C., Ganesh, B. E., & Armannsdottir, G. (2017). Exploring the influences of political branding: a case from the youth in India. *Journal of Indian Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIBR-12-2016-0142>
153. Janiszewski, C., & Van Osselaer, S. M. J. (2000). A connectionist model of brand-quality associations. *Journal of Marketing Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.37.3.331.18780>
154. Johns, N., & Gyimóthy, S. (2008). Assessing the brand position of Danish kros. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356766708090587>
155. Jonas, F. H., & Kelley, S. (1957). Professional Public Relations and Political Power. *The Western Political Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/444276>
156. Jöreskog, K. G. (1971). Simultaneous factor analysis in several populations. *Psychometrika*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02291366>
157. Juditha, C. (2015). Political Marketing dan Media Sosial. *Jurnal Studi Komunikasi Dan Media*.
158. Juntunen, M., Juntunen, J., & Juga, J. (2011). Corporate brand equity and loyalty in B2B markets: A study among logistics service purchasers. *Journal of Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2010.43>
159. Kapferer, J. (2008). *The New Strategic Brand Management: Creating and Sustaining Brand Equity Long Term Kogan Page Series New Strategic Brand Management: Creating and Sustaining Brand Equity Series*. Igarss 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2>
160. Katz, R. S., & Mair, P. (1995). Changing models of party organization and party democracy: The Emergence of the Cartel Party. *Party Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068895001001001>
161. Kavanagh, D. (1995) *Election Campaign: The New Marketing of Politics*. Oxford: Blackwell
162. Kayaman, R., & Arasli, H. (2007). Customer based brand equity: Evidence from the hotel industry. *Managing Service Quality*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09604520710720692>

163. Keith, R. J. (1960). The Marketing Revolution. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1248704>
164. Keller, K.L(2013). Strategic brand management: Building, measuring and managing brand equity (4th edn). Essex: Pearson Education Limited
165. Kelley, C. A. (2001). Brand Leadership: Building Assets in the Information Society. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*.
166. Kerin, R. A., & Sethuraman, R. (1998). Exploring the brand value-shareholder value nexus for consumer goods companies. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070398264001>
167. Kirchheimer, O. (2015). The transformation of the Western European party systems. In *Political Parties and Political Development. (SPD-6)*. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400875337-007>
168. Kish, P., Riskey, D. R., & Kerin, R. A. (2001). Measurement and tracking of brand equity in the global marketplace: The PepsiCo experience. *International Marketing Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02651330110382014>
169. Kitchenham, B. (2004). Procedures for performing systematic reviews. *Keele University, UK and National ICT Australia*. <https://doi.org/10.1.1.122.3308>
170. Kitchenham, B., Pretorius, R., Budgen, D., Brereton, O. P., Turner, M., Niazi, M., & Linkman, S. (2010). Systematic literature reviews in software engineering-A tertiary study. *Information and Software Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2010.03.006>
171. Klink, R. R. (2003). Creating meaningful brands: The relationship between brand name and brand mark. *Marketing Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1027476132607>
172. Kotler, P; Kotler, N. (1999). Political Marketing: Creating Effective Candidates Campaigns and Causes. In Newman, B. I (Ed) *Handbook of Political Marketing*. CA: Sage, Thousand Oaks, Inc.
173. Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2009). Marketing management (13th ed.). In *Prentice Hall*.
174. Kotler, P. (1979). Strategies for Introducing Marketing into Nonprofit Organizations. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1250756>
175. Kotler, P., Armstrong, G., Harris, L. C., & Piercy, N. (2005). *Principles of Marketing European Edition. World Wide Web Internet and Web Information Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1250103>

176. Kotler, P., & Levy, S. J. (1973). Buying Is Marketing Too! *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1250775>
177. Kuada, J., & Buatsi, S. N. (2005). Market Orientation and Management Practices in Ghanaian Firms: Revisiting the Jaworski and Kohli Framework. *Journal of International Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jimk.13.1.58.58539>
178. Kuo, Y. F., Wu, C. M., & Deng, W. J. (2009). The relationships among service quality, perceived value, customer satisfaction, and post-purchase intention in mobile value-added services. *Computers in Human Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.03.003>
179. Larcinese, V. (2007). Does political knowledge increase turnout? Evidence from the 1997 British general election. *Public Choice*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-006-9122-0>
180. Lassen, D. D. (2005). The effect of information on voter turnout: Evidence from a natural experiment. *American Journal of Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0092-5853.2005.00113.x>
181. Lee, G., & Leh, F. (2011). Dimensions of Customer-Based Brand Equity: A Study on Malaysian Brands. *Journal of Marketing Research and Case Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2011.821981>
182. Lee, H., Lee, Y., & Yoo, D. (2000). The determinants of perceived service quality and its relationship with satisfaction. *Journal of Services Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08876040010327220>
183. Lee, N. J., Shah, D. V., & McLeod, J. M. (2013). Processes of Political Socialization: A Communication Mediation Approach to Youth Civic Engagement. *Communication Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650212436712>
184. Lee, Y.-K. (2014). Gender stereotypes as a double-edged sword in political advertising. *International Journal of Advertising*. <https://doi.org/10.2501/ija-33-2-203-234>
185. Leedy, P. D., & Ormrod, J. E. (2005). *Practical research*. Pearson Custom.
186. Lees-Marshment, J. (2001). The marriage of politics and marketing. *Political Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9248.00337>
187. Lees-Marshment, J., Conley, B., Elder, E., Pettitt, R., Raynauld, V., Turcotte, A., & Lees-Marshment, J. (2019). Political branding. In *Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351136907-4>

188. Leonard C. Sebastian, Jonathan Chen and Emirza Adi Syailendra (2014). Pemuda Rising Why Indonesia Should Pay Attention to its Youth. S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies Nanyang Technological University
189. Levitsky, S., & Cameron, M. A. (2003). Democracy without Parties? Political Parties and Regime Change in Fujimori's Peru. *Latin American Politics and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-2456.2003.tb00248.x>
190. Levitt, T. (1984). Marketing myopia. *Journal of Library Administration*. https://doi.org/10.1300/J111V04N04_07
191. Lilleker, D., Lees-Marshment, J. (2005) (Eds) *Political Marketing: A Comparative Perspective*, Manchester: Manchester University Press.
192. Lilleker, D. G. (2003). Interviewing the Political Elite: Navigating a Potential Minefield. *Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9256.00198>
193. Lin, L. Y., & Ching Yuh, C. Y. (2010). The influence of corporate image, relationship marketing, and trust on purchase intention: the moderating effects of word-of-mouth. *Tourism Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/16605371011083503>
194. Lipset, S. M. (2000). The indispensability of political parties. *Journal of Democracy*. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2000.0016>
195. Lipsitz, K. (2009). The consequences of battleground and "spectator" state residency for political participation. *Political Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-008-9068-7>
196. Lloyd, J. (2005). Square peg, round hole can marketing-based concepts such as the product and the marketing mix have a useful role in the political arena. *Journal of Nonprofit and Public Sector Marketing*. https://doi.org/10.1300/J054v14n01_03
197. Mair, P., & Van Biezen, I. (2001). Party membership in twenty European democracies, 1980-2000. *Party Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068801007001001>
198. Lock, A., & Harris, P. (1996). Political marketing - vive la différence!. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090569610149764>
199. Maarek, P. J. (1995) *Political Marketing and Communication*. London: John Libbey and Company Ltd.
200. Macdonald, E. K., & Sharp, B. M. (2000). Brand Awareness Effects on Consumer Decision Making for a Common, Repeat Purchase Product: A Replication. *Journal of Business Research*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963\(98\)00070-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(98)00070-8)

201. MacDonald, E. A., Sherlock, R., & Hogan, J. (2015). Measuring political brand equity in Ireland. *Irish Political Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07907184.2014.942644>
202. Mainwaring, S., & Zoco, E. (2007). Political sequences and the stabilization of interparty competition: Electoral volatility in old and new democracies. *Party Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068807073852>
203. Marty Neumeier *The Brand Gap: How to Bridge the Distance between Business Strategy and Design*, 2003, New Riders Press
204. Maulana Rifai, Marketing politik partai gerindra pada pemilu legislatif 2014 di kabupaten karawang, Jurnal politikom indonesiana, vol.1 no.1, juli 2016
205. Marsh, D., & Fawcett, P. (2011). Branding, politics and democracy. *Policy Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01442872.2011.586498>
206. Marsh, D., & Mcconnell, A. (2010). Towards a framework for establishing policy success. *Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2009.01803.x>
207. Mas, L., Collell, M. R., & Xifra, J. (2017). The Sound of Music or the History of Trump and Clinton Family Singers: Music Branding as Communication Strategy in 2016 Presidential Campaign. *American Behavioral Scientist*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764217701214>
208. Maxham, J. G., & Netemeyer, R. G. (2002). A longitudinal study of complaining customers' evaluations of multiple service failures and recovery efforts. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.66.4.57.18512>
209. McDivitt, J. (2003). Is there a role for branding in social marketing? *Social Marketing Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15245000309111>
210. Menon, S.V. (2008). Political Marketing: A Conceptual Model. ICFAI Business School. Ahmadabad.
211. Mensah, K. (2016). Political brand architecture: Towards a new conceptualisation of political branding in an emerging democracy. *African Journalism Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2016.1220401>
212. Milewicz, C. M., & Milewicz, M. C. (2014). The Branding of Candidates and Parties: The U.S. News Media and the Legitimization of a New Political Term. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2014.958364>

213. Mishra, A. A., & Mishra, A. (2014). National vs. Local Celebrity Endorsement and Politics. *International Journal of Politics, Culture and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10767-014-9174-y>
214. MITCHELL, A., GOTTFRIED, J., & MATSA, K. E. (2015). Facebook Top Source for Political News Among Millennials | Pew Research Center.
215. Moufahim, M., & Lim, M. (2009). Towards a critical political marketing agenda? *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1362/026725709X471613>
216. Mudrajad, K. (2003). Metode Riset untuk bisnis dan ekonomi. *Jakarta: Erlangga*.
217. Muriaas, L. (2013). Party affiliation in new democracies: Local reactions to the split of the ruling party in Malawi. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajpsir10.096>
218. Nah, F. F. H., Eschenbrenner, B., & DeWester, D. (2011). Enhancing brand equity through flow and telepresence: A comparison of 2D and 3D virtual worlds. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/23042806>
219. Needham, C. (2006). Brands and political loyalty. *Journal of Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.bm.2540260>
220. Neill, B. O. (2001). Generational Patterns in the Political Opinions and Behaviour of Canadians. *Policy Matters*.
221. Netemeyer, R. G., Krishnan, B., Pullig, C., Wang, G., Yagci, M., Dean, D., ... Wirth, F. (2004). Developing and validating measures of facets of customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Business Research*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963\(01\)00303-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(01)00303-4)
222. Neuman, W. L. (2013). Metode Penelitian Sosial: Pendekatan Kualitatif dan Kuantitatif Edisi Ketujuh. *Jakarta: PT. Indeks*.
223. Newman, B. I., & Sheth, J. N. (1985). A Model of Primary Voter Behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/208506>
224. Newman, B. (1999). Handbook of Political Marketing. Thousand Oaks, CA. Sage.
225. Nilan, P., Parker, L., Bennett, L., & Robinson, K. (2011). Indonesian youth looking towards the future. *Journal of Youth Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2011.580523>

226. Nilson, T. H., and N. C. L. Surrey. 1998. Competitive Branding: Winning in the Market Place with Value-Added Brand. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.
227. Nimmo, D. (1999). The Permanent Campaign, Marketing as a Governing Tool. In B. I. Newman (Ed), *Handbook of Political Marketing*, pp. 73-86. Sage, Thousand Oaks Ninsin, K. A. (1996). Ghana: Beyond Crisis and Adjustment. *Africa Development* Vol. XXI, Nos. 2-3.
228. Nurfitriani, N., Hamrun, H., & Taufik, A. (2017). Pemasaran Politik Bupati Bima Terpilih Pada Pilkada Kabupaten Bima Tahun 2015. *JPPUMA: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan Dan Sosial Politik Universitas Medan Area*. <https://doi.org/10.31289/jppuma.v5i2.1227>
229. O'Cass, A. (2002). A Micromodel of Voter Choice: Understanding the Dynamics of Australian Voter Characteristics in a Federal Election. *Psychology and Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.10051>
230. O'Cass, A., & Pecotich, A. (2005). The dynamics of voter behavior and influence processes in electoral markets: A consumer behavior perspective. *Journal of Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2003.08.003>
231. O'Cass, A., & Voola, R. (2011). Explications of political market orientation and political brand orientation using the resource-based view of the political party. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2010.489831>
232. O'Shaughnessy, N. (2001). The marketing of political marketing. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560110401956>
233. Oktaviana Purnamasari, Media online dan kebangkitan partisipasi politik kelas menengah di indonesia, sebuah gerakan untuk perubahan sosial, Jurnal Ilmiah Cisoc Volume III No. 01 Juni 2016
234. Owen, Diana. (2008). Political socialization in the twenty-first century: Recommendations for researchers.
235. Pammett, Jon H. 2001. "Youth in the Electoral Process", *Electoral Insight* Vol 3 No 1 (January): 14-17.
236. Pan, S. L., & Leidner, D. E. (2003). Bridging communities of practice with information technology in pursuit of global knowledge sharing. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-8687\(02\)00023-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-8687(02)00023-9)
237. PANAGOPOULOS, C., & WIELHOUWER, P. W. (2008). Polls and Elections The Ground War 2000-2004: Strategic Targeting in Grassroots Campaigns. *Presidential Studies Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-5705.2008.02645.x>

238. Pangemanan, M. (2013). PEMASARAN POLITIK PADA PEMILUKADA (Suatu Studi Pemasaran Politik Pasangan Hanny Sondakh & Maximilian Jonas Lomban, SE, M.Si Pada Pemilukada di Kota Bitung Tahun 2010). *JURNAL POLITICO*.
239. Pappu, R., Cooksey, R. W., & Quester, P. G. (2005). Consumer-based brand equity: improving the measurement – empirical evidence. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420510601012>
240. Parker, B. T. (2012). Candidate Brand Equity Valuation: A Comparison of U.S. Presidential Candidates During the 2008 Primary Election Campaign. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2012.699424>
241. Peng, N., & Hackley, C. (2009). Are voters, consumers?: A qualitative exploration of the voter-consumer analogy in political marketing. *Qualitative Market Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13522750910948770>
242. Percy, L., & Rossiter, J. R. (1992). A model of brand awareness and brand attitude advertising strategies. *Psychology & Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.4220090402>
243. Percy, Larry (2003) “Advertising and Brand Equity in F. Hansen and L. B. Christensens (eds) Branding and Advertising, Copenhagen, Denmark: Copenhagen Business School Press, pp. 12 – 21.
244. Perugini, M., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2001). The role of desires and anticipated emotions in goal-directed behaviours: Broadening and deepening the theory of planned behaviour. *British Journal of Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466601164704>
245. Petersen, K., Feldt, R., Mujtaba, S., & Mattsson, M. (2008). Systematic mapping studies in software engineering. In *12th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering, EASE 2008*. <https://doi.org/10.14236/ewic/ease2008.8>
246. Phipps, M., Brace-Govan, J., & Jevons, C. (2010). The duality of political brand equity. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561011020552>
247. Pich, C., & Armannsdottir, G. (2018). Political brand image: An investigation into the operationalisation of the external orientation of David Cameron’s Conservative brand. *Journal of Marketing Communications*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2015.1072577>
248. Pich, C., Armannsdottir, G., & Dean, D. (2015). The elicitation capabilities of qualitative projective techniques in political brand image research. *International Journal of Market Research*. <https://doi.org/10.2501/IJMR-2015-033>

249. Pich, C., & Dean, D. (2015). Qualitative projective techniques in political brand image research from the perspective of young adults. *Qualitative Market Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QMR-12-2012-0058>
250. Plasser, F., C. Scheucher and C. Senft. 1999. 'Is There a European Style of Political Marketing?: A Survey of Political Managers and Consultants'. In *Handbook of Political Marketing*, ed. B. I. Newman. Thousand Oaks: Sage, 92
251. Plutzer, E. (2002). Becoming a habitual voter: Inertia, resources, and growth in young adulthood. *American Political Science Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055402004227>
252. Purwanto, P., Sutanto, E. M., & Indahingwati, A. (2018). MARKETING ON POLITICS AND PUBLIC LEADERSHIP. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Kewirausahaan*. <https://doi.org/10.9744/jmk.20.1.53-62>
253. Puth, G., Mostert, P., & Ewing, M. (1999). Consumer perceptions of mentioned product and brand attributes in magazine advertising. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10610429910257977>
254. Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*: New York: Simon und Schuster, 2001. ISBN. *Policy Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3089235>
255. Rachmat, M. (2014). The Impact of Political Brand Trust on Voting Intention: Evidence from 2013 North Maluku Governor Election. *The IUP Journal of Brand Management*.
256. Raggio, R. & Leone, R. J Brand Manag (2009) 16: 248 Journal of Brand Management, January 2009, Volume 16, Issue 4, pp 248–263
257. Randall, V., & Svåsand, L. (2002). Party institutionalization in new democracies. *Party Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068802008001001>
258. Rangaswamy, A., Burke, R. R., & Oliva, T. A. (1993). Brand equity and the extendibility of brand names. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8116\(93\)90034-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8116(93)90034-V)
259. Reeves, P., de Chernatony, L., & Carrigan, M. (2006). Building a political brand: Ideology or voter-driven strategy. *Journal of Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.bm.2540283>
260. Reeves, P. and de Chernatony, L. (2003). Political brand choice in Britain', Proceedings of the 6th Annual Political Marketing Conference, House of Commons/Thistle Hotel, London, 18-20 September, 2003.

261. Reid, D. M. (1988). Marketing the Political Product. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000005299>
262. Rheingans, R., & Hollands, R. (2013). “There is no alternative?”: Challenging dominant understandings of youth politics in late modernity through a case study of the 2010 UK student occupation movement.
263. Richey, S. (2008). The Autoregressive Influence of Social Network Political Knowledge on Voting Behaviour. *British Journal of Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123408000264>
264. Ridho Bramulya Ikhsan, Muchsin Saggaff Shihab, Political marketing mix dan pengaruhnya terhadap keputusan mahasiswa universitas lampung, Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis Sriwijaya Vol. 8 No. 16 Desember 2010
265. Ries, A., & Ries, L. (2001). The 22 immutable laws of branding. *Sympfonya. Emerging Issues in Management*. <https://doi.org/10.4468/2001.1.04ries.ries>
266. Rini, E. S. (2012). Peran Pemasaran Politik dalam Mempengaruhi Keputusan Pemilih. *Jurnal Ekonomi*.
267. Robinson, J. 2004. “Repackaging Our Politicians: Marketing MPs in an MMP World.” *New Zealand Marketing Magazine* 23 (5): 12–19
268. Rogowski, J. C. (2014). Electoral Choice, Ideological Conflict, and Political Participation. *American Journal of Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12059>
269. Ross, S. D. (2006). A conceptual framework for understanding spectator-based brand equity. *Journal of Sport Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.20.1.22>
270. Roy, D., & Banerjee, S. (2008). Care-ing strategy for integration of brand identity with brand image. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10569210710776512>
271. Rust, R. T., & Oliver, R. L. (2012). Service Quality: Insights and Managerial Implications from the Frontier. In *Service Quality: New Directions in Theory and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452229102.n1>
272. Rust, R.T., Zeithaml, V.A. and Lemon, K.N. (2000) *Driving Customer Equity*, Free Press, NY.
273. Saleem, B. A., Ghafar, A., Ibrahim, M., Yousuf, M., & Ahmed, N. (2015). Product Perceived Quality and Purchase Intention withConsumer Satisfaction. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research: E Marketing*.

274. Salvatore Simarmata, The Modernization of Election Campaigns and Its Research Opportunities in Indonesia's Direct Elections Era, Interact: Vol.4, No.2, Hal. 98-113. November 2015
275. Sandra, L. J. (2013). Political Branding Jokowi Selama Masa Kampanye Pemilu Gubernur Dki Jakarta 2012 Di Media Sosial Twitter. *Jurnal E-Komunikasi*.
276. Sapiro, V. (2004). NOT YOUR PARENTS' POLITICAL SOCIALIZATION: Introduction for a New Generation. *Annual Review of Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.7.012003.104840>
277. Saputra, M. I., Haryono, B. S., & Rozikin, M. (2013). Marketing Politik Pasangan Kepala Daerah Dalam PEMILUKADA (Studi Kasus Tim Sukses Pemenangan Pasangan Abah Anton dan Sutiaji dalam Pemilukada Kota Malang)
278. Savigny, H. (2007). Focus groups and political marketing: Science and democracy as axiomatic? *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2007.00253.x>
279. Scammell, M. (1995). *Designer Politics. Designer Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-23942-9>
280. Schiffman, L. G., & Kanuk, L. L. (2010). *Consumer Behavior 10th Edition*. Pearson Education.
281. Schmitt, B. (2012). The consumer psychology of brands. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2011.09.005>
282. Schneider, H., & Schneider, H. (2004). Branding in politics—manifestations, relevance and identity-oriented management. *Journal of Political Marketing*. https://doi.org/10.1300/J199v03n03_03
283. Schneider, H., & Ferié, F. (2015). How to Manage a Party Brand: Empirical Perspectives on Electoral Probability and Internal Conflict. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2014.990832>
284. Schweiger, G. and Adami, M. (1999), "The Non-verbal Image of Politicians & Political Parties", in B. Newman (ed.) *Handbook of Political Marketing*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA, pp. 347–64.
285. Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research and Markets: Research Methods for Business - A Skill Building Approach*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/17506200710779521>
286. Shama, A. (1973), "Applications of the marketing concept to candidate marketing", in Proceedings of the Fourth Conference of the Association for Consumer Research, pp. 793-801

287. Shapiro, R. Y., Miller, W. E., & Shanks, J. M. (1997). The New American Voter. *Political Science Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2657948>
288. Shapiro, R. Y., Verba, S., Schlozman, K. L., & Brady, H. E. (1996). Voice and Equality: Civic Voluntarism in American Politics. *Political Science Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2152095>
289. Shaw, E. (2002). New Labour in Britain: New democratic centralism? *West European Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713601618>
290. Shukla, P. (2011). Impact of interpersonal influences, brand origin and brand image on luxury purchase intentions: Measuring interfunctional interactions and a cross-national comparison. *Journal of World Business*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2010.11.002>
291. Simbolon, F. (2016). Political Marketing Mix in Indonesia Parties. *Binus Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.21512/bbr.v7i1.1490>
292. Simmons, G., Thomas, B., & Truong, Y. (2010). Managing i-branding to create brand equity. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561011062835>
293. Singer, C. (2002), “Bringing Brand Savvy to Politics”, *Brandweek*, Vol. 43 No. 34, p. 19.
294. Smith, E. R. A. N., Carpin, M. X. D., & Keeter, S. (1997). What Americans Know about Politics and Why It Matters. *Political Science Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2657949>
295. Smith, I. G. (2003), “Assessing the Brand Personality of UK Political Parties: An Empirical Approach”, Proceedings of the Political Marketing Conference, September, Middlesex University Business School, London.
296. Smith, G. (2001). The 2001 General Election: Factors Influencing the Brand Image of Political Parties and their Leaders. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1362/026725701323366719>
297. Smith, G. (2005). Politically Significant Events and Their Effect on the Image of Political Parties: A Conceptual Approach. *Journal of Political Marketing*. https://doi.org/10.1300/J199v04n02_05
298. Smith, G., & French, A. (2009). The political brand: A consumer perspective. *Marketing Theory*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593109103068>

299. Smith, G., & French, A. (2011). Measuring the changes to leader brand associations during the 2010 election campaign. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2011.587825>
300. Smith, G., & Hirst, A. (2001). Strategic political segmentation - A new approach for a new era of political marketing. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eum0000000005958>
301. Smith, G., & Saunders, J. (1990). The application of marketing to british politics. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.1990.9964106>
302. Smith, G., & Speed, R. (2011). Cultural branding and political marketing: An exploratory analysis. *Journal of Marketing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2011.628449>
303. Smith, G., & Spotswood, F. (2013). The Brand Equity of the Liberal Democrats in the 2010 General Election: A National and Local Perspective. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2013.781478>
304. Sniderman, P. M., Brody, R. A., & Tetlock, P. E. (1991). *Reasoning and Choice. Reasoning and Choice*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511720468>
305. Solomon, M., Bamossy, G., Askegaard, S., & Hogg, M. (2009). *Consumer Behaviour : A European perspective Fourth Edition. Consumer behaviour*.
306. Spears, N., & Singh, S. N. (2004). Measuring attitude toward the brand and purchase intentions. *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10641734.2004.10505164>
307. Speed, R., Butler, P., & Collins, N. (2015). Human Branding in Political Marketing: Applying Contemporary Branding Thought to Political Parties and Their Leaders. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2014.990833>
308. Srinivasan, V., Park, C. S., & Chang, D. R. (2005). An approach to the measurement, analysis, and prediction of brand equity and its sources. *Management Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1050.0405>
309. Srivastava, R. K. (2011). Understanding brand identity confusion. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02634501111138527>
310. Srivastava, R. K., Shervani, T. A., & Fahey, L. (1998). Market-based assets and shareholder value: A framework for analysis. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251799>
311. Srull, T. K., & Wyer, R. S. (1989). Person memory and judgment. *Psychological Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.96.1.58>

312. Stahl, F., Heitmann, M., Lehmann, D. R., & Neslin, S. A. (2012). The impact of brand equity on customer acquisition, retention, and profit margin. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.10.0522>
313. Sternthal, B., & Tybout, A. M. (2001). Segmentation and Targeting. In D. Iacobucci (Ed.), *Kellogg on Marketing: The Kellogg Marketing Faculty, Northwestern University* (pp. 3-30). John Wiley & Sons.
314. Sugiyono. (2017). *Statistika untuk Penelitian*. Bandung: Penerbit Alfabeta. *Jurnal Akuntansi*. <https://doi.org/10.24912/ja.v21i1.137>
315. Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, R&D. Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif Dan R&D*.
316. Sulistyaningtyas, T., Suganda, D., Sobarna, C., & Wahya, W. (2014). Representation of Legislative Member Candidates of Partai Demokrat on Their Outdoor Political Advertising. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v6i2.5553>
317. Supranto, J., & Limakrisna, N. (2012). *Petunjuk Praktis Penelitian Ilmiah untuk menyusun skripsi, tesis dan disertasi*. Jakarta: Mitra Wacana Media.
318. Supranto dan Nandan Limakrisna, Perilaku Konsumen dan Strategi Pemasaran Untuk Memenangkan Persaingan Bisnis, Jakarta: Mitra Wacana Media, 2011.
319. Suryadinata, L. (2007). The Decline of the Hegemonic Party System in Indonesia: Golkar after the Fall of Soeharto. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*. <https://doi.org/10.1355/cs29-2f>
320. Sutarso, J. (2011). *PENDEKATAN PEMASARAN POLITIK (POLITICAL MARKETING) DALAM PEMILIHAN UMUM. III No.1 Juli*.
321. Sutisna, A. (2017). PERSPEKTIF MARKETING POLITIK: KEGAGALAN PDIP DAN PARTAI DEMOKRAT DALAM MEMPERTAHANKAN POSISI SEBAGAI PARTAI PEMENANG PEMILU 1999 DAN PEMILU 2009. *CosmoGov*. <https://doi.org/10.24198/cosmogov.v2i1.11853>
322. Suyanto, A., & Arwiyah, M. Y. (2015). Marketing Analysis of Political Positioning in Legislatif Election. *Management and Organizational Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.5430/mos.v2n4p16>
323. Suyanto, A., & Machfiroh, R. (2017). Political Marketing Activity In Simultaneous Regional Elections 2015. *MIMBAR, Jurnal Sosial Dan Pembangunan*. <https://doi.org/10.29313/mimbar.v33i1.2096>
324. Suwignyo Widagdo, Armanu, Noermijati, Rofiaty, Effect of Political Marketing Mix on Constituents Behavior in Selecting Candidates and Political Parties:

Theoretical Studies in Legislative Elections, International Journal of Business and Management Invention Volume 3 Issue 10 || October, 2014 || PP.47-54

325. Tan, P. J. (2006). Indonesia Seven Years after Soeharto: Party System Institutionalization in a New Democracy. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*. <https://doi.org/10.1355/cs28-1e>
326. Tan, E. D. (2006) The Politics of Terrorism: A Survey. London: Routledge, 2006, 268 pp., ISBN 1857433475
327. Tashakkori, A., Teddlie, C., & Creswell, J. W. (2015). Mapping the Developing Landscape of Mixed Methods Research. In *SAGE Handbook of Mixed Methods in Social & Behavioral Research*. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781506335193.n2>
328. Taylor, G. R. (1997) Labour's Renewals? The Policy Review and Beyond. London: Macmillan Press Ltd.
329. Teddlie, C., & Yu, F. (2007). Mixed methods sampling: A typology with examples. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(1), 77–100.
330. Thomassen, J. (2005). *The European Voter: A Comparative Study Of Modern Democracies*. *The European Voter: A Comparative Study Of Modern Democracies*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/0199273219.001.0001>
331. Tomsa, D. (2007). Party Politics and the Media in Indonesia: Creating a New Dual Identity for Golkar. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*. <https://doi.org/10.1355/cs29-1d>
332. Tong, X., & Hawley, J. M. (2009). Measuring customer-based brand equity: Empirical evidence from the sportswear market in China. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420910972783>
333. Triwardani, R., & Wiendijarti, I. (2016). Reception Analysis of Beginner Voter toward the Political Party Advertising of the Winning in the Election 2014. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijssh.2016.v6.748>
334. Tsai, H. T., & Huang, H. C. (2007). Determinants of e-repurchase intentions: An integrative model of quadruple retention drivers. *Information and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2006.11.006>
335. Ufen, A. (2008). From aliran to dealignment: Political parties in post-Suharto Indonesia. *South East Asia Research*. <https://doi.org/10.5367/000000008784108149>

336. van Osselaer, S. M. J., & Janiszewski, C. (2001). Two Ways of Learning Brand Associations. *Journal of Consumer Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/322898>
337. Wallström, Å., Karlsson, T., & Salehi-Sangari, E. (2008). Building a corporate brand: The internal brand building process in swedish service firms. *Journal of Brand Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2008.18>
338. Wang, X., & Yang, Z. (2010). The effect of brand credibility on consumers' brand purchase intention in emerging economies: The moderating role of brand awareness and brand image. *Journal of Global Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08911762.2010.487419>
339. Wang, Y. C., Hsu, K. C., Hsu, S. H., & Hsieh, P. A. J. J. (2011). Constructing an index for brand equity: A hospital example. *Service Industries Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642060902759145>
340. Washburn, J. H., & Plank, R. E. (2002). Measuring Brand Equity: An Evaluation of a Consumer-Based Brand Equity Scale. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2002.11501909>
341. Wass, H. (2007). Generations and socialization into electoral participation in Finland. *Scandinavian Political Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9477.2007.00170.x>
342. Wattenberg, M. P. (2015). *Is voting for young people? Is Voting for Young People?* <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315663746>
343. Webb, P., & Kolodny, R. (2006). Professional staff in political parties. In *Handbook of Party Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781848608047.n28>
344. Webster, F. E. (2005). A Perspective on the evolution of marketing management. *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jppm.24.1.121.63888>
345. Wenger, E. (1998). Communities of Practice: Learning, Meaning, and Identity. *Systems Thinker*. <https://doi.org/10.2277/0521663636>
346. Wensley, R. (1990). "The Voice of the Consumer?": Speculations on the Limits to the Marketing Analogy. *European Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03090569010002131>
347. Wheeler, A. (2017). *Designing brand identity: an essential guide for the whole branding team*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

348. White, J., & Chernatony, L. De. (2002). New labour: A study of the creation, development and demise of a political brand. *Journal of Political Marketing*. https://doi.org/10.1300/J199v01n02_04
349. Wilson, R. M. S., Fook, N.Y.M (1990). Improving Market Orientation. *Marketing Business*, Issue 11 (June), pp. 22-23.
350. Winchester, T. M., Hall, J., & Binney, W. (2014). Young adult voting decision-making: Studying the effect of usage from a consumer behaviour perspective. *Australasian Marketing Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2014.01.001>
351. Winther Nielsen, S. (2016). Measuring Political Brands: An Art and a Science of Mapping the Mind. *Journal of Political Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2014.959682>
352. Winther Nielsen, S., & Vinæs Larsen, M. (2014). Party brands and voting. *Electoral Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2013.08.001>
353. Wollenschläger, F. (2011). A new fundamental freedom beyond market integration: Union citizenship and its dynamics for shifting the economic paradigm of European integration. *European Law Journal*, 17(1), 1–34.
354. Worcester, R. M., & Baines, P. R. (2012). Voter research and market positioning: Triangulation and its implications for policy development. In *Winning Elections with Political Marketing*. https://doi.org/10.1300/5879_02
355. Wring, D. (2002). Images of Labour. *Journal of Political Marketing*. https://doi.org/10.1300/j199v01n01_03
356. Yesi Elsandra dan Yofina Mulyati, Peran political marketing mixuntuk menciptakan kepuasan konstituent pada partai politik, *Jurnal Kajian Manajemen Bisnis* Volume 2, Nomor 2, September 2013
357. Yoo, B., & Donthu, N. (2001). Developing and validating a multidimensional consumer-based brand equity scale. *Journal of Business Research*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963\(99\)00098-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(99)00098-3)
358. Yoo, B., Donthu, N., & Lee, S. (2000). An examination of selected marketing mix elements and brand equity. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300282002>
359. Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer Perceptions of Price, Quality, and Value: A Means-End Model and Synthesis of Evidence. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251446>
360. Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1996). The behavioral consequences of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251929>

361. Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., & Griffin, M. (2013). Business research methods. Cengage Learning. *Business Research Methods*.
362. Zikmund, W. G., McLeod, R., & Gilbert, F. W. (2003). *Customer relationship management: Integrating marketing strategy and information technology*. Wiley.