CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results carried out in this study, several conclusions can be made as follows:

- 1. The use of smaller grid sizes in hydraulic simulations increases the accuracy and vice versa. However, the use of smaller grid sizes increases the computational cost exponentially.
- The exponential increase of the computational cost is due to the exponential increase of the total number of cells, as the computational domain is of 2D area.
- 3. The grid size of 30 m was found to be the cost-effective size for the hydraulic computations using the ALOS with GVUF and MERIT-Hydro with both GVUF and RVUF. The grid size of 50 m was found to be the cost-effective size for the hydraulic computations using MERIT-Hydro with GVUF. These grid sizes were found effective because their computational cost can be reduced more significantly compared with the decrease of the total number of cells.
- 4. For the hydraulic computations using ALOS and MERIT-Hydro, the optimum grid size was found to be 30 m based on the cost effectiveness and the accuracy of the results. Using the 30 m grid size, the computational cost reduced significantly without significantly reducing accuracy of the results.

References

- Azizian, A., & Brocca, L. (2019). Determining the best remotely sensed DEM for flood inundation mapping in data sparse regions. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 1884-1906.
- Badan Informasi Geospasial. (2018). *DEMNAS*. Retrieved from DEMNAS: http://tides.big.go.id/DEMNAS/
- Baker, A. J. (2012). Finite Elements: Computational Engineering Sciences. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Brunner, G. W. (2016). *HEC-RAS River Analysis System User's Manual Version* 5.0. Davis: US Army Corps of Engineers.
- Brunner, G. W. (2016). *HEC-RAS, River Analysis System Hydraulic Reference Manual.* Davis: US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.
- Casulli, V. (2008). A high-resolution wetting and drying algorithm for free-surface hydrodynamics. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids, 391-408.
- Çengel, Y. A., & Cimbala, J. M. (2010). Fluid Mechanics: Fundamentals and Applications. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Dyhouse, G., Hatchett, J., & Benn, J. (2007). Floodplain Modeling Using HEC-RAS. Exton, Pennsylvania: Bentley Institute Press.
- Gabl, R., Gems, B., Plörer, M., Klar, R., Gschnitzer, T., Achleitner, S., & Aufleger,
 M. (2014). Numerical Simulations in Hydraulic Engineering.
 Computational Engineering, 195-224.
- German Aerospace Center (DLR). (2006). *TanDEM-X Science Server*. Retrieved from TanDEM-X Science Server: https://tandemx-science.dlr.de/
- Ginting, B. M., Yudianto, D., Willy, & Ginting, A. H. (2021). Finding an Optimum Grid Size for Numerical Simulation of Dam-Break Flow Using Open-Access Digital Elevation Models. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*.

- Hawker, L., Bates, P., Jeffrey, N., & Rougier, J. (2018). Perspectives on Digital Elevation Model (DEM) Simulation for Flood Modeling in the Absence of a High-Accuracy Open Access Global DEM. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 1-9.
- JAXA. (1997). ALOS Global Digital Surface Model "ALOS World 3D 30m (AW3D30)". Retrieved from ALOS Global Digital Surface Model "ALOS World 3D - 30m (AW3D30)": https://www.eorc.jaxa.jp/ALOS/en/aw3d30/index.htm
- JAXA. (1997). *AW3D30 DSM data map*. Retrieved from AW3D30 DSM data map: https://www.eorc.jaxa.jp/ALOS/en/aw3d30/data/index.htm
- Lodhi, M. S., & Agrawal, D. K. (2012). Dam-break Flood Simulation under Various Likely Scenarios and Mapping Using GIS: Case of a Proposed Dam on River Yamuna, India. *Journal of Mountain Science*, 214-220.
- Moglen, G. E. (2015). *Fundamentals of OPEN CHANNEL FLOW*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- NASA JPL. (2004, 97). ASTER. Retrieved from ASTER Global Digital Elevation Map: https://asterweb.jpl.nasa.gov/gdem.asp
- NASA JPL. (2015). *Shuttle Radar Topography Mission*. Retrieved from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission: https://www2.jpl.nasa.gov/srtm/
- Pilotti, M. (2016). Extraction of cross sections from digital elevation model for onedimensional dam-break wave propagation in mountain valleys. *Water Resources Research*, 52-68.
- PT. Aditya Engineering Consultant. (2020). LAPORAN PEMERIKSAAN BESAR BENDUNGAN PARANGJOHO. Bandung.
- Rahman, M., & Chaudhry, M. H. (1998). Simulation of dam-break flow with grid adaptation. Advances in Water Resources, 1-9.
- Rai, M. M., & Anderson, D. A. (1981). Application of Adaptive Grids to Fluid-Flow Problems with Asymptotic Solutions. *AIAA Journal*, 496-502.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). (2002). HEC-RAS, River Analysis System User's Manual (CPD-68). Davis, CA: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).
- USGS. (2018). USGS EROS Archive Digital Elevation Global 30 Arc-Second Elevation (GTOPO30). Retrieved from USGS EROS Archive - Digital Elevation - Global 30 Arc-Second Elevation (GTOPO30): https://www.usgs.gov/centers/eros/science/usgs-eros-archive-digitalelevation-global-30-arc-second-elevation-gtopo30?qtscience center objects=0#qt-science center objects
- Versteeg, H. K., & Malalasekera, W. (2007). An Introduction to Computational Fluid Dynamics. Essex: Pearson Education Limited.
- Yamazaki, D. (2019). *MERIT Hydro: global hydrography datasets*. Retrieved from MERIT Hydro: global hydrography datasets: http://hydro.iis.utokyo.ac.jp/~yamadai/MERIT_Hydro/
- Zhang, K., Gann, D., Ross, M., Robertson, Q., Sarmiento, J., Santana, S., ... Fritz,
 C. (2019). Accuracy assessment of ASTER, SRTM, ALOS, and TDX
 DEMs for Hispaniola and implications for mapping vulnerability to coastal
 flooding. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 290-306.
- Zhang, S., Xia, Z., Yuan, R., & Jiang, X. (2014). Parallel computation of a dambreak flow model using OpenMP on a multi-core computer. *Journal of Hydrology*, 126-133.