

## **BAB IX**

### **KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN**

#### **9.1 Kesimpulan**

Hasil penelitian eksperimen dengan pemodelan numerik pada sambungan ESO yang menerima beban tarik langsung dapat dibuktikan menghasilkan kurva beban-regangan yang relatif sama, ini berarti pendekatan dan asumsi model sudah sesuai. Pengujian sambungan ESO secara individu memiliki sifat yang tidak sama dengan ketika diterapkan pada suatu komponen struktur. Di dalam komponen struktur sambungan terkekang oleh beton dan sengkang yang mampu memberikan kekangan sehingga dapat meningkatkan kekuatan dan kekakuan sambungan tersebut.

Secara individu sambungan ESO yang diuji pullout menghasilkan kurva beban-regangan yang lebih rendah dibanding tulangan tanpa sambungan. Dengan panjang penyaluran 15D, kegagalan sambungan sudah mencapai kondisi inelastis, tulangan sudah leleh, terjadi *strain hardening*, namun tulangan belum sampai putus, sebab sudah terjadi kegagalan pada epoksi dan selongsong terlebih dahulu. Rasio  $P_{maks}$  terhadap  $P_y$  rata-rata sebesar 1.15, nilai ini masih lebih kecil dari 1.25 yang merupakan syarat minimal sambungan mekanis tipe 1. Pengujian tarik sambungan secara individu memerlukan ketebalan epoksi dan selongsong yang lebih besar agar dapat menahan beban yang gaya aksial yang lebih besar pula.

Sambungan ESO ini ketika diuji tarik langsung memiliki rasio tegangan maksimum terhadap tegangan leleh lebih kecil dari kuat tarik tulangan tanpa sambungan. Namun ketika sambungan ini diterapkan pada komponen balok memiliki kinerja yang lebih baik dibanding balok tanpa sambungan. Kurva momen-kurvatur, nilai daktilitas displacement, dan daktilitas kurvatur menunjukkan balok dengan sambungan relatif lebih tinggi dibanding balok tanpa sambungan. Hal ini terjadi karena sambungan ESO yang terpasang di dalam beton terjadi interaksi lekatan antara selongsong dengan beton dan terjadi penambahan kekangan dengan adanya sengkang sehingga kapasitasnya meningkat. Hal ini menunjukkan kinerja yang baik sehingga sambungan ESO ini dapat digunakan untuk menyambung tulangan pada komponen struktur.

Pemanfaatan sambungan ini dapat diterapkan untuk penyambungan tulangan pada struktur beton konvensional atau pracetak, antara lain pada komponen balok, kolom, atau pada hubungan balok kolom. Pada ujung sambungan yang merupakan peralihan antara sambungan dengan tulangan yang tidak tersambung merupakan letak perlemahan, letak ini cocok menjadi lokasi sendi plastis. Pemasangan sambungan ESO pada pertemuan balok kolom juga perlu diperhatikan agar bagian ujung sambungan yang merupakan titik terlemah terletak pada daerah sendi plastis.

## 9.2 Saran

Penelitian ini agar dapat dikembangkan lagi dengan mengujicobakan panjang penjangkaran yang lebih pendek dan penerapannya pada komponen struktur balok, kolom, atau pertemuan balok kolom sehingga diperoleh kinerja komponen struktur yang menggunakan sambungan ESO. Selain itu juga perlu dilakukan eksperimen menggunakan diameter tulangan yang lebih besar lagi. Adapun beban yang diberikan selain monotonik juga dapat menggunakan beban siklik. Model sambungan ESO ini perlu pula dikembangkan agar dapat diterapkan pada proyek konstruksi.

## REFERENSI

- [1] Z. Lu, Z. Wang, J. Li, and B. Huang, “Studies on seismic performance of precast concrete columns with grouted splice sleeve,” *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 6, 2017.
- [2] N. Tullini and F. Minghini, “Grouted sleeve connections used in precast reinforced concrete construction – Experimental investigation of a column-to-column joint,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 127, pp. 784–803, 2016.
- [3] C. Han, Q. Li, X. Wang, W. Jiang, and W. Li, “Research on rotation capacity of the new precast concrete assemble beam-column joints,” *Steel Compos. Struct.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 613–625, 2016.
- [4] Q. Yan, T. Chen, and Z. Xie, “Seismic experimental study on a precast concrete beam-column connection with grout sleeves,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 155, pp. 330–344, 2018.
- [5] E. E. Matsumoto, M. C. Waggoner, M. E. Kreger, J. Vogel, and L. Wolf, “Development of a Precast Concrete Bent-Cap System,” *PCI J.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 74–99, 2008.
- [6] Y. Ou, H. Alrasyid, Z. B. Haber, and H. Lee, “Cyclic Behavior of Precast High-Strength Reinforced Concrete Columns,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 6, no. 112, pp. 839–850, 2015.
- [7] Z. B. Haber, M. S. Saiidi, and D. H. Sanders, “Seismic performance of precast columns with mechanically spliced column-footing connections,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 639–650, 2014.
- [8] E. Østby, M. Hauge, A. M. Horn, O. M. Akselsen, and S. Asa, “Fracture Mechanics Design Criteria for Low Temperature Applications of Steel Weldments,” vol. 9, pp. 315–321, 2013.
- [9] I. Choi and K. Chung, “Residual Strength of Structural Steels: Sn400, Sm520 and Sm570,” *Appl. Struct. Fire Eng.*, vol. 400, no. October, pp. 15–16, 2015.
- [10] S. J. A. Hosseini and A. B. Ahmad, “Analysis of Spiral Reinforcement in Grouted Pipe Splice Connectors Tensile,” *Gradjevinar*, vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 537–546, 2013.
- [11] H. K. Choi, Y. C. Choi, and C. S. Choi, “Development and testing of precast concrete beam-to-column connections,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 56, pp. 1820–1835, 2013.
- [12] A. Azizinamini, R. Pavel, E. Hatfield, and S. Ghosh, “Behavior of Lap-Spliced Reinforcing Bars Embedded in High-Strength Concrete,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 96, no. 5, pp. 826–835, 1999.

- [13] D. V. Bompa and A. Y. Elghazouli, “Inelastic cyclic behaviour of RC members incorporating threaded reinforcement couplers,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 180, pp. 468–483, 2019.
- [14] ACI 439.3R-07, *Types of Mechanical Splices for Reinforcing Bars*. 2007.
- [15] D. V. Bompa and A. Y. Elghazouli, “Monotonic and cyclic performance of threaded reinforcement splices,” *Structures*, vol. 16, no. November, pp. 358–372, 2018.
- [16] ACI 318-14, *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete*. 2014.
- [17] AASHTO, *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*, Fifth Edit. Washington, DC 20001, 2010.
- [18] Badan Standardisasi Nasional, *SNI 2847: 2019 Persyaratan Beton Struktural untuk Bangunan Gedung dan Penjelasan*. 2019.
- [19] D. V. Bompa and A. Y. Elghazouli, “Ductility Considerations for Mechanical Reinforcement Couplers,” *Structures*, vol. 12, no. Nov, pp. 115–119, 2017.
- [20] D. P. Nguyen and H. Mutsuyoshi, “INFLUENCE OF QUALITY OF MECHANICAL SPLICES ON BEHAVIOR OF REINFORCED CONCRETE MEMBERS,” 2015.
- [21] Z. Lu *et al.*, “Mechanical Behaviour of Grouted Sleeve Splice Under Uniaxial Tensile Loading,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 186, pp. 421–435, 2019.
- [22] Q. Yu, J. Sun, Z. Xu, L. Li, Z. Zhang, and S. Yu, “Mechanical Analysis of Grouted Sleeve Lapping Connector,” *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 4867, no. 9, pp. 1–21, 2019.
- [23] Y. Qiong and X. Zhiyuan, “Experimental Study of Grouted Sleeve Lapping Connector Under Tensile Load,” *Gradjevinar*, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 453–465, 2017.
- [24] S. J. A. Hosseini and A. B. A. Rahman, “Effects of spiral confinement to the bond behavior of deformed reinforcement bars subjected to axial tension,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 112, pp. 1–13, 2016.
- [25] S. Jamal, A. Hosseini, A. Baharuddin, A. Rahman, M. H. Osman, and A. Saim, “Bond Behavior of Spirally Confined Splice of Deformed Bars in Grout,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 80, pp. 180–194, 2015.
- [26] Y. Zheng, Z. Guo, J. Liu, X. Chen, and Q. Xiao, “Performance and Confining Mechanism of Grouted Deformed Pipe Splice under Tensile Load,” *Adv. Struct. Eng.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 86–103, 2016.
- [27] Zhiping Kuang and G. Zheng, “Computational and Experimental Mechanical Modelling of a Composite Grouted Splice Sleeve Connector

- System," *Materials (Basel)*., vol. 306, no. 11, pp. 1–12, 2018.
- [28] F. Lin and X. Wu, "Mechanical Performance and Stress – Strain Relationships for Grouted Splices Under Tensile and Cyclic Loadings," *Int. J. Concr. Struct. Mater.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 435–450, 2016.
  - [29] H. Liu, Q. Han, Y. Bai, C. Xu, and X. Du, "Connection Performance of Restrained Deformed Grouted Sleeve Splice," *Adv. Struct. Eng.*, no. 100, pp. 1–13, 2017.
  - [30] A. A. Sayadi, A. B. A. Rahman, M. Z. Bin Jumaat, U. Johnson Alengaram, and S. Ahmad, "The Relationship Between Interlocking Mechanism and Bond Strength in Elastic and Inelastic Segment of Splice Sleeve," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 55, pp. 227–237, 2014.
  - [31] M. Elsayed and M. L. Nehdi, "Experimental and Analytical Study on Grouted Duct Connections in Precast Concrete Construction," *Mater. Struct.*, vol. 50, no. 198, 2017.
  - [32] K. P. Steuck, M. O. Eberhard, and J. F. Stanton, "Anchorage of Large-Diameter Reinforcing Bars in Ducts," *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. 506–513, 2009.
  - [33] J. H. Ling, A. B. Ahmad, and I. S. Ibrahim, "Feasibility Study of Grouted Splice Connector Under Tensile Load," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 50, pp. 530–539, 2014.
  - [34] Y. Zheng, Z. Zhu, Z. Guo, and P. Liu, "Behavior and Splice Length of Deformed Bars Lapping in Spirally Confined Grout-Filled Corrugated Duct," *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 2019, 2019.
  - [35] J. H. Ling, A. B. Ahmad, I. S. Ibrahim, and Z. Abdul Hamid, "Behaviour of Grouted Pipe Splice Under Incremental Tensile Load," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 33, pp. 90–98, 2012.
  - [36] A. Windisch, "A modified Pull-out Test and New Evaluation Methods for a More Real Local Bond-Slip Relationship," *Mater. Constr.*, vol. 18, no. 105, pp. 181–184, 1985.
  - [37] W. Zhao and B. Zhu, "Theoretical Model for the Bond-Slip Relationship Between Ribbed Steel Bars and Confined Concrete," *Struct. Concr.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 548–558, 2017.
  - [38] T. Park, R. & Paulay, *Reinforced Concrete Structures*. 1975.
  - [39] P. Dahal, M. Tazarv, and N. Wehbe, *Mechanical Bar Splices for Accelerated Construction of Bridge Columns Mechanical Bar Splices for Accelerated*. 2019.
  - [40] H. Hwang, "Local Bond Strength Based Lap Splice Length Model of

- Reinforcing Bars,” in *Advances in Civil, Structural and Mechanical Engineering*, 2015, pp. 25–29.
- [41] Z. B. Haber, M. S. Saiidi, and D. H. Sanders, “Behavior and Simplified Modeling of Mechanical Reinforcing Bar Splices,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 179–188, 2015.
  - [42] 2019 SNI 2847, “Penetapan Standar Nasional Indonesia 2847 : 2019 Persyaratan Beton Struktural Untuk Bangunan Gedung Dan Penjelasan Sebagai Revisi Dari Standar Nasional Indonesia 2847 : 2013,” no. 8, 2019.
  - [43] A. B. Abd Rahman, M. Mahdinezhad, I. S. Ibrahim, and R. N. Mohamed, “Bond stress in grouted spiral connectors,” *J. Teknol.*, vol. 77, no. 16, pp. 49–57, 2015.
  - [44] M. Tazary and M. S. Saiidi, “Seismic Design of Bridge Columns Incorporating Mechanical Bar Splices in Plastic Hinge Regions,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 124, pp. 507–520, 2016.
  - [45] W. Zhang, X. Deng, J. Zhang, and W. Yi, “Tensile behavior of half grouted sleeve connection at elevated temperatures,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 176, pp. 259–270, 2018.
  - [46] Y. Zheng, Z. Guo, D. Guan, and X. Zhang, “Parametric study on a novel grouted rolling pipe splice for precast concrete construction,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 166, pp. 452–463, 2018.
  - [47] H. Yuan, Z. Zhenggeng, C. J. Naito, and Y. Weijian, “Tensile behavior of half grouted sleeve connections: Experimental study and analytical modeling,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 152, pp. 96–104, 2017.
  - [48] M. J. Ameli and C. P. Pantelides, “Seismic Analysis of Precast Concrete Bridge Columns Connected with Grouted Splice Sleeve Connectors,” *J. Struct. Eng.*, vol. 143, no. 2, p. 04016176, 2017.
  - [49] Y. J. Liu, Q. H. Zeng, H. R. Liu, and S. X. Wang, “Experimental Study on Post Fire Tensile Properties of Reinforcing Rebars Connected by Grout-Filled Splice Sleeves,” *Key Eng. Mater.*, vol. 773, no. March 2011, pp. 305–310, 2018.
  - [50] A. Belleri and P. Riva, “Seismic performance and retrofit of precast concrete grouted sleeve connections,” *PCI J.*, no. Winter, pp. 97–109, 2012.
  - [51] D. J. Raynor, D. E. Lehman, and J. F. Stanton, “Bond-Slip Response of Reinforcing Bars Grouted in Ducts,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 99, no. 5, pp. 568–576, 2002.
  - [52] M. Tazary and M. S. Saiidi, “Design and Construction of Bridge Columns Incorporating Mechanical Bar Splices in Plastic Hinge Zones,” Virginia, 2015.

- [53] W. R. Lloyd, *Qualification of the Bar-Lock Rebar Coupler For Use in Nuclear Safety-Related Applications Mechanical Testing Program and Performance Analysis*. 2001.
- [54] S. P. Rowell, C. E. Grey, S. C. Woodson, K. P. Hager, S. P. Rowell, and S. C. Woodson, “High Strain-Rate Testing of Mechanical Couplers,” 2009.
- [55] M. S. Alam, M. A. Youssef, and M. L. Nehdi, “CYCLIC BEHAVIOUR OF MECHANICALLY SPLICED SHAPE MEMORY ALLOY AND,” no. January, 2010.
- [56] Z. B. Haber, “Precast Column-Footing Connections for Accelerated Bridge Construction in Seismic Zones. (Ph.D. Theses),” University of Nevada, Reno, 2013.
- [57] M. J. Ameli, J. E. Parks, D. N. Brown, and C. P. Pantelides, “Seismic evaluation of grouted splice sleeve connections for reinforced precast concrete column-to-cap beam joints in accelerated bridge construction,” *PCI J.*, no. March-April, pp. 80–103, 2015.
- [58] V. G. Chiari and A. L. M. Junior, “Experimental Evaluation of Coupler Behavior for Mechanical Rebar Splices in Reinforced Concrete Structures,” *IBRACON Struct. Mater. JOURNALACON*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 1326–1339, 2018.
- [59] J. Chen *et al.*, “Mechanical Performance of the Grouted Lapped Double Reinforcements Anchored in Embedded Corrugated Sleeves,” *Structures*, vol. 28, no. August, pp. 1354–1365, 2020.
- [60] ACI Committee 318, *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete*. 2014.
- [61] AASHTO, *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. Washington, DC 20001, 2012.
- [62] SNI 2847:2019, *Persyaratan Beton Struktural untuk Bangunan Gedung dan Penjelasan*. Bandung: Badan Standardisasi Indonesia, 2019.
- [63] SNI 03-2847, *Persyaratan Beton Struktural untuk Bangunan Gedung*. 2013.
- [64] G. Xing, C. Zhou, T. Wu, and B. Liu, “Experimental study on bond behavior between Plain Reinforcing Bars and concrete,” *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 745–752, 2015.
- [65] ACI Committee 408, “ACI 408R-03 Bond and Development of Straight Reinforcing Bars in Tension,” in *American Concrete Institute*, 2003, pp. 1–49.
- [66] E. Canbay and R. J. Frosch, “Bond strength of lap-spliced bars,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 102, no. 4, pp. 605–614, 2005.

- [67] S. P. Tastani and S. J. Pantazopoulou, “Direct Tension Pullout Bond Test: Experimental Results,” *J. Struct. Eng. ASCE*, vol. 136, no. 6, pp. 731–743, 2010.
- [68] M. K. Thompson, “The Anchorage Behavior of Headed Reinforcement in CCT Nodes and Lap Splices,” University of Texas at Austin, 2002.
- [69] S. P. Tastani and S. J. Pantazopoulou, “Reinforcement and Concrete Bond : State Determination along the Development Length,” *J. Struct. Eng. ASCE*, vol. 139, no. 9, pp. 1567–1581, 2013.
- [70] M. Teresa, G. Barbosa, E. De Souza, and S. Filho, “Analysis of the Relative Rib Area of Reinforcing Bars Pull Out Tests 4 . Experimental Results and Discussion,” *Mater. Res.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 453–457, 2008.
- [71] H. Shima, L. L. Chou, and H. Okamura, “Micro and macro models for bond in reinforced concrete,” *Journal of the Faculty of Engineering, The University of Tokyo*, vol. XXXIX, no. 2. pp. 133–194, 1987.
- [72] S. Hong and S. K. Park, “Uniaxial bond stress-slip relationship of reinforcing bars in concrete,” *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 2012.
- [73] S. P. Tastani, E. Brokalaki, and S. J. Pantazopoulou, “State of Bond along Lap Splices,” *J. Struct. Eng. ASCE*, vol. 141, no. 10, pp. 1–14, 2015.
- [74] B. S. Hamad and M. Y. Mansour, “Bond Strength of Noncontact Tension Lap Splice,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 316–326, 1996.
- [75] K. Lundgren, “Pull-out tests of steel-encased specimens subjected to reversed cyclic loading,” *Mater. Struct. Constr.*, vol. 33, no. 1549, pp. 450–456, 2000.
- [76] A. Al Hashib, “Effects of Mechanical Bar Splices on Seismic Performance of Reinforced Concrete Buildings (Thesis),” South Dakota State University, 2017.
- [77] B. Ellis, *Chemistry and Technology of Epoxy Resins*. Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht, 1993.
- [78] M. Dornbusch, U. Christ, and R. Rob, *Epoxy Resins (Fundamentals and Applications)*. VincentNetwork, Hanover, Germany, 2016.
- [79] L. Tang, H. Zhang, S. Sprenger, L. Ye, and Z. Zhang, “Fracture Mechanisms of Epoxy-Based Ternary Composites Filled with Rigid-Soft Particles,” *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 72, no. 5, pp. 558–565, 2012.
- [80] M. A. Meyers and K. K. Chawla, *Mechanical Behavior of Materials*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [81] ASTM D638-14, “Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics.”

p. 17, 2015.

- [82] T. Paulay and M. J. N. Priestley, *Seismic design of reinforced concrete and masonry buildings*, vol. 25, no. 4. 1992.
- [83] ASTM D5379/D5379M, *Standard Test Method for Shear Properties of Composite Materials by the V-Notched*. 2012.
- [84] ASTM C900 - 01, *Standard Test Method for Pullout Strength of Hardened Concrete*. 2001.
- [85] ASTM E8/E8M – 15a, *Standard Test Methods for Tension Testing of Metallic Materials*. 2015.
- [86] ASTM A955/A955M – 04a, *Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Stainless Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement*. 2005.
- [87] ASTM A370 – 20, *Standard Test Methods and Definitions for Mechanical Testing of Steel Products*. 2020.
- [88] ASTM A1034 - 04, “Standard Test Methods for Testing Mechanical Splices for Steel Reinforcing Bars,” in *ASTM*, 2004, pp. 1–5.
- [89] ASTM C579 - 18, *Standard Test Methods for Compressive Strength of Chemical-Resistant Mortars , Grouts , Monolithic Surfacings , and Polymer Concretes*, vol. 01, no. 2012. 2018.
- [90] ASTM C580-02, *Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength and Modulus of Elasticity of Chemical- Resistant Mortars, Grouts, Monolithic Surfacings, and Polymer Concretes*, vol. 02, no. Reapproved 2008. 2002.
- [91] ASTM C39/C39M – 16, *Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens*. 2016.
- [92] ASTM C496/C496M-04, *Standard Test Method for Splitting Tensile Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens*. 2011.
- [93] ASTM C307 – 99, *Standard Test Method for Tensile Strength of Chemical-Resistant Mortar, Grouts, and Monolithic Surfacings*. 1999.
- [94] ASTM D 1635 – 00, *Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Soil-Cement Using Simple Beam with Third-Point Loading*. 2010.
- [95] ASTM C78-02, *Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete ( Using Simple Beam with Third-Point Loading)*. 2002.
- [96] A. A. R. M.H.Harajli, B.S.Hamad, “Effect of Cofinement of Bond Strength between Steel Bars and Concrete,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 101, no. September-October, pp. 595–603, 2004.

- [97] Y.-F. Wu and X.-M. Zhao, “Unified bond stress–slip model for reinforced concrete,” *J. Struct. Eng.*, vol. 139, no. 11, pp. 1951–1962, 2012.
- [98] SNI 2052:2017, “Baja tulangan beton,” *Baja Tulangan Beton*. p. 15, 2017.
- [99] J. Chen, X. Chen, P. Xiang, Y. Yilong, and L. Fu, “Mechanical Performance of Overlap Connections With Grout - Filled Anchor Reinforcements in Embedded Metal Corrugated Pipe,” *Arch. Civ. Mech. Eng.*, vol. 128, no. 20, 2020.
- [100] ASTM C469-02, *Standard Test Method for Static Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson’s Ratio of Concrete in Compression*. 2002.
- [101] D. V. BOMPA and A. Y. ELGHAZOULI, “Ductility of Reinforced Concrete Members Incorporating Mechanical Splices,” in *16th European Conference on Earthquake Engineering, 18-21 June 2018*, 2018.
- [102] G. I., A. S. Debaiky, M. H. Mansour, and M. I. Badawi, “Ductility of Strengthened R.C Beams with Lap Splices,” *Eng. Res. J.*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 285–300, 2016.
- [103] M. I. Mousa, “Flexural Behaviour and Ductility of High Strength Concrete (HSC) Beams with Tension Lap Splice,” *ALEXANDRIA Eng. J.*, vol. 54, no. 3, 2015.
- [104] A. Azizinamini, D. Darwin, R. Elieghausen, R. Pavel, and S. K. Ghosh, “Proposed modifications to ACI 318-95 tension development and lap splice for high-strength concrete,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 96, no. 6, pp. 922–926, 1999.
- [105] K. Ahmed and L. R. Feldman, “Evaluation of contact and noncontact lap splices in concrete block masonry construction,” *Can. J. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 515–525, 2012.
- [106] D. S. S. Contreras, “The Effect of Splice Length and Distance between Lapped Reinforcing Bars in Concrete Block Specimens (Thesis),” University of Saskatchewan, Canada, 2014.
- [107] R. Park, “Evaluation of Ductility of Structures and Structural Assemblages From Laboratory Testing,” *Bull. NEW Zeal. Natl. Soc. Earthq. Eng.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 155–166, 1989.
- [108] D. Feng, G. Wu, and Y. Lu, “Finite Element Modelling Approach for Precast Reinforced Concrete Beam-to-Column Connections Under Cyclic Loading,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 174, no. May, pp. 49–66, 2018.
- [109] LS-DYNA, *KEYWORD USER ’S MANUAL VOLUME II*, vol. II. 2018.
- [110] P. Grassl, “Damage-Plastic Model for Concrete Failure,” *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, vol. 43, pp. 7166–7196, 2006.

- [111] I. Zreid and M. Kaliske, “A Gradient Enhanced Plasticity – Damage Microplane Model for Concrete,” *Comput. Mech.*, 2018.
- [112] M. Moharrami and I. Koutromanos, “Finite Element Analysis of Damage and Failure of Reinforced Concrete Members Under Earthquake Loading,” *Earthq. Eng. Struct. Dyn.*, no. May, pp. 1–19, 2017.
- [113] LSTC. Hughes-Liu, *LS-DYNA Theory Manual*, no. March. California: Livermore Software and Technology Corporation, Livermore, CA, 2006.
- [114] W. Rust, *Non-Linear Finite Element Analysis in Structural Mechanics*. Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2015.
- [115] A. Kottari, M. Mavros, J. Murcia-delso, and P. B. Shing, “Interface Model for Bond-Slip and Dowel-Action Behavior,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 1043–1054, 2017.
- [116] L. Zhu, “Development of Guidelines for Deformable And Rigid Switch in LS-DYNA Simulation,” University of Nebraska, 2009.
- [117] J. Murcia-Delso, A. Stavridis, and P. B. Shing, “Bond strength and cyclic bond deterioration of large-diameter bars,” *ACI Struct. J.*, vol. 110, no. 4, pp. 659–669, 2013.
- [118] A. K. Agrawal, M. Ettouney, and S. Alampalli, “Finite Element Simulation of Blast Loads on Reinforced Concrete Structures using LS-DYNA,” in *2007 ASCE Structures Congress: New Horizons and Better Practices*, 2007.
- [119] J. Murcia-delso *et al.*, “MODELING THE BOND-SLIP BEHAVIOR OF CONFINED LARGE-,” no. May, 2011.