

BAB V

KESIMPULAN

Berangkat dari fenomena peneltian ini yang menunjukan bahwa pasca krisis pengungsi di Eropa pada tahun 2015, beberapa negara anggota Uni Eropa yang termasuk ke dalam kelompok *Visegrad* melakukan penolakan terkait kebijakan Uni Eropa untuk menerima masuknya para pengungsi dengan penerapan sistem kuota. Hungaria sebagai negara yang secara geografis berada dalam jalur transit dan tujuan para pengungsi, mengeluarkan kebijakan untuk menolak masuknya pengungsi ke dalam wilayahnya, hal tersebut merupakan hasil dari proses sekuritisasi yang dilakukan oleh para *securitizing actors* dengan cara menunjukan kepada *referent object* bahwa isu krisis pengungsi asal Suriah telah menjadi ancaman terhadap keamanan nasionalnya. Merujuk dari perumusan masalah dalam penelitian ini, yaitu bagaimana pemerintah Hungaria melakukan sekuritisasi terhadap pengungsi asal Suriah sebagai ancaman keamanan nasional pada tahun 2015-2018? Merujuk juga pada kerangka teoritis yang digunakan, yaitu teori sekuritisasi, konsep keamanan non-tradisional, konsep pengungsi, konsep politik identitas, dan konsep kepentingan keamanan nasional, kemudian memperhatikan data-data yang telah diperoleh dari hasil wawancara dan studi literatur, serta melakukan proses analisis dan melihat hasilnya, maka peneliti menemukan kesimpulan bahwa.

Pertama, peneliti menemukan bahwa konstruksi isu krisis pengungsi asal Suriah sebagai sebuah ancaman eksistensial terhadap keamanan nasional Hungaria

dibangung oleh *securitizing actor* yang dalam penelitian ini adalah pemerintah Hungaria, partai *FIDESZ*, dan Perdana Menteri Viktor Orban, dengan cara melakukan *speech act* dengan tujuan untuk menunjukan kepada masyarakat Hungaria sebagai *referent object* bahwa isu krisis pengungsi asal Suriah telah menjadi ancaman eksistensial terhadap keamanan nasional. Peneliti menemukan bahwa ketiga *securitizing actors* tersebut merupakan satu kesatuan atau *unitary actors*, dimana hasil penelitian menemukan bahwa ketiga aktor tersebut memiliki pola yang sama dalam melakukan *speech acts*, dan tidak terlihat adanya upaya dari salah satu aktor untuk melakukan desekuritisasi. Peneliti menyimpulkan bahwa dalam meningkatkan tingkat keberhasilan upaya sekuritisasi kuantitas dari *securitizing actors* dapat mempengaruhi dengan signifikan.

Kedua, dalam upaya untuk menunjukan kepada masyarakat sebagai *refrent object*, bahwa isu krisis pengungsi asal Suriah telah menjadi ancaman terhadap keamanan nasional, para *securitizing actors* melakukan *speech acts* yang merupakan proses deklarasi dan penyampaian pesan oleh para *securitizing actors* kepada masyarakat Hungaria bahwa isu krisis pengungsi telah menjadi ancaman eksistensial terhadap keamanan nasional, *speech act* ini bertujuan agar masyarakat dapat menerima klaim para *securitizing actors*, peneliti menemukan bahwa hasil dari *speech acts* tersebut pemerintah dapat mendorong Parlemen Nasional untuk merealisasi konstitusi No. T/332 dan No. T/333. *Speech act* yang dilakukan oleh para *securitizing actors* berupa deklarasi secara verbal seperti pernyataan resmi, instruksi, dan diskusi publik dari Perdana Menteri Viktor Orban, politisi partai *FIDESZ*, dan otoritas pemerintah, kemudian peneliti menemukan bahwa *speech act*

juga dilakukan melalui propaganda kampanye, regulasi, diskusi politik, dan iklan anti-pengungsi melalui media massa, internet, baliho, dan poster. Peneliti menemukan bahwa *referent object* dalam peneliti ini, yaitu masyarakat tidak melakukan perlawanan terhadap kebijakan-kebijakan pemerintah Hungaria terhadap pengungsi, dan Parlemen Nasional sebagai perwakilan dari masyarakat juga menerima klaim bahwa isu krisis pengungsi telah menjadi ancaman terhadap keamanan nasional Hungaria dengan meloloskan amandemen konstitusi dan kebijakan yang dapat mengkriminalisasi pengungsi.

Ketiga, peneliti melihat bahwa upaya sekuritisasi yang dilakukan para *securitizing actors* berhasil dalam meyakinkan masyarakat bahwa isu krisis pengungsi asal Suriah merupakan sebuah ancaman eksistensial. Hal tersebut dapat dilihat dari pandangan masayarakat Hungaria pada tingkat lokal dan nasional menjadi negatif dan skeptis untuk membantu para pengungsi, peneliti menemukan bahwa keberhasilan upaya sekuritisasi di Hungaria dapat dilihat dari penerimaan kalim oleh Palemen Nasional Hungaria yang memutuskan untuk menloloskan amandemen konstitusi dasar No. T/332 dan mengeluarkan kebijakan No. T/333 yang represif sebagai *extraordinary measures* terhadap isu krisis pengungsi, pemerintah Hungaria menggunakan politik identitas dengan menekankan pada konsepsi “Kami” dan “Liyan” dan *Euroscepticism* untuk membangun urgensi bahwa isu krisis pengungsi telah menjadi ancaman eksistensial terhadap keamanan nasional sehingga perlu adanya *extraordinary measures*. Implementasi dari *extraordinary measures* tersebut dapat dilihat pada keputusan Parmlemen Nasional untuk menyetujui proposal pemerintah terikait keputusan untuk mengamandemen

konstitusi dasarnya dengan meloloskan konstitusi No. T/332 yang berisi regulasi anti-pengungsi dan migran, serta mendorong realisasi konstitusi No. T/333 yang dapat mendiskriminasi pihak-pihak yang membantu pengungsi dalam mengajukan aplikasi suaka ke Hungaria. Hungaria juga mengimplementasikan konstitusi diatas dalam menghalau masuknya pengungsi melalui peningkatan keamanan di perbatasan, melakukan penolakan terhadap Perjanjian Dublin, khususnya pengimplemntsian sistem kuota pengungsi, dan menerapkan sistem penerimaan aplikasi suaka dan kontrol perbatasan yang menyulitkan bagi pengungsi asal Suriah.

Dalam penelitian ini, peneliti mendapatkan pemahaman bahwa kepentingan nasional Hungaria dalam penelitian ini adalah melindungi budaya Kristiani dari ancaman budaya Islam, sehingga membuat Hungaria menolak masuknya pengungsi asal Suriah ke dalam wilayahnya, dan melakukan sekuritisasi dengan tujuan untuk dapat menciptakan *measures* yang dapat mencegah dan mengkriminalisasi para pengungsi asal Suriah yang mencoba masuk ke dalam Hungaria. Peneliti menemukan bahwa sektor budaya dalam keamanan non-tradisional adalah sektor baru dalam lima sektor yang dijelaskan oleh Buzan. Kemudian, berdasarkan kajian literatur yang telah dilakukan, penemuan peneliti terkait adanya persepsi ancaman terhadap budaya Kristiani di Hungaria yang diproyeksikan oleh pengungsi asal Suriah dengan budaya Islam mereka, merupakan pemahaman baru dalam penelitian ini.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Buku

- Achmad Romsan et al., *Pengantar Hukum Internasional dan Prinsip-Prinsip Perlindungan Internasional* (Bandung: Sabic Offset, 2003)
- Barry Buzan, *An Introduction to Strategic Studies: Military Technology and International Relations*, (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 1987)
- Barry Buzan, Ole Wæver, dan Jaap de Wilde, *Security: A New Framework for Analysis*, (Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 1998)
- Barry Buzan, *People, State and Fear: The National Security Problem in International Relations* (London: Wheatsheaf Books, 1983)
- Barry Buzan, *People, States and Fear: An Agenda for International Security Studies in the Post-Cold War Era*, (London: Harvester Wheatsheaf, 1991)
- Burchill, Scott. 2005. *The National Interest in International Relations Theory*. Palgrave Macmillan: New York.
- Calleros-Alarcón, J. (2008). The Unfinished Transition to Democracy in Latin America. Routledge, 2008.
- Citrin, J., and J. Sides. 2004. “Can There Be Europe without Europeans? Problems of Identity in a Multinational Community.” In *Transnational Identities*, Lanham: Rowman & Littlefields.
- Geertz, C., (1973). *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York: Basic Books, Inc.
- Habermas, J. *Legitimation Crisis* (Boston: Beacon Press, 1975).
- Hadiwinata, Bob Sugeng, “*Transformasi Isu dan Aktor di dalam Studi Hubungan Internasional: dari Realisme hingga Konstruktivisme*”. Dalam Julius P. Hermawan (2007). *Transformasi dalam Studi Hubungan Internasional: Aktor, Isu dan Metodologi*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.
- Hadi, Shaumil. *Third Debate dan Kritik Positivisme Ilmu Hubungan Internasional*, (Jalasutra: Yogyakarta & Bandung, 2008)
- Holsti, K.J, 1983. *International Politics: Framework for Analysis*, Prentice- Hall: New Jersey.

John Creswell, *Research Design: Pendekatan Kualitatif, Kuantitatif, dan Mixed*, Terjemahan Achmad Fawaid, (Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar, 2010)

K. M. Fierke, *Constructivism, International Relations Theory: Discipline and Diversity*, ed. Tim Dunne, Milja Kurki dan Steve Smith (New York: Oxford University Press, 2007)

Ken Booth, *Critical Security Studies and World Politics* (Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2005)

Lee, E.S. (1966). A Theory of Migration. *Demography*, 3(1), h. 47-57, ed. European Asylum Support Office, *The Push and Pull Factors of Asylum Migration – Related Migration A Literature Review*, (Belgia: EASO, 2016)

Mely Caballero-Anthony et al., *An Introduction to Non-Traditional Security Studies: A Transnational Approach* (London: SAGE Publications Ltd, 2016)

Nicholas G. Onuf, *World of Our Making: Rules and Rule in Social Theory and International Relations*, South Carolina: University of South Carolina Press, 1989.

Ole Waever, Securitization and Desecuritization (Centre for Peace and Conflict Research, 1993).

Richard W. Jones, *Security, Strategy, and Critical Theory*, (Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 1999)

Robert K. Yin, *Studi Kasus: Desain dan Metode*, Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada, 1996.

Seabury, Paul. 1963. *Power, Freedom and Diplomacy: The Foreign Policy of the United States of America*. Random House: New York.

Tajfel, H. Differentiation between Social Groups. (London: Academic Press, 1978).

Tajfel, H. Human Groups and Social Categories (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981).

Theodora, Kostakopoulou. 2001. Citizenship, Identity, and Immigration in the European Union: Between Past, Manchester, Manchester University Press, 132.

Viotti, Paul R dan Kauppi, Mark V. 1999. *International Relations Theory: Realism, Globalism and Beyond*, London: Allyn and Bacon.

Winterbauer, J & Végh, Z. (2017). ‘Flexible solidarity’ Intergovernmentalism or Differentiated Integration: the way out of the current impasse. Visegrád Insight, 1(10).

Jurnal

- A. Geddes, Immigration and European Integration: Towards FortressEurope? Manchester, Manchester University Press, 2000.
- A. Geddes, “International Migration and State Sovereignty in an Integrating Europe”, International Migration, 39(6), 2001, 21–42.
- Akkermann, T., S. L. de Lange, and M. Rooduijn. “Inclusion and Mainstreaming: Radical Right-Wing Populist Parties in the New Millennium.” In Radical Right-Wing Populist Parties in Western Europe: Into the Mainstream? (New York: Routledge, 2016) h. 1-28.
- András Szalai dan Gabriella Göbl. 2015. *Securitizing Migration in Contemporary Hungary*. Budapest: Central European University.
- Andras Tetenyi, Tamas Barczikay dan Balazs Szent-Ivanyi. (2018). “*Refugees, not Economic Migrants - Why do Asylum-Seekers Register in Hungary?*”, International Migration, h.1-18.
- Barbulescu, R., dan L. Beaudonnet. 2014. “Protecting Us, Protecting Europe? Public Concern about Immigration and Declining Support for European Integration in Italy.” Perspectives on European Politics and Society 15(2):216–37.
- Benkova, Livia. 2017. *Europe`s Response to the Migration Crisis*. AIES: Hainburg.
- Boda, D., & Simonovits, B. (2016). Reasons for flight. Does it make a difference? dalam B. Simonovits dan A. Bernát (Eds.), *The social aspects of the 2015 migration crisis in Hungary* (pp. 48–57). Budapest: TÁRKI., h. 48-56.
- Bodewig, C. (2015). Is the Refugee Crisis an Opportunity for an Aging Europe? <http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/opinion/2015/09/22/is-refugee-crisis-opportunity-foraging-europe>.
- C. Boswell, Migration in Europe, Paper for the Policy Analysis and Research Programme of the Global Commission on International Migration, Hamburg, Migration Research Group Hamburg Institute of International Economics.

- Cantat, Celine dan Rajaram, Prem Kumar. 2018. The Politics of Refugee Crisis in Hungary: Bordering the Nation and Its Others, *Oxford Handbook Online*, h. 1-17.
- Cortes, K., E. (2004). Are refugees different from economic migrants? Some empirical evidence on the heterogeneity of migrant groups in the United States. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 86(2), 465–480.
- Damoc, A. (2016). Fortress Europe breached: political and economic impact of the recent refugee crisis on European states. *Economic Science Series*, 25(1), 20-29.
- Danaj, A., Lazányi, K., & Bilan, S. (2018). Euroscepticism and populism in Hungary: The analysis of the prime minister's discourse. *Journal of International Studies*, 11(1), 240-247.
- de Vreese, C. H., H. G. Boomgaarden, dan H. A. Semetko. 2008. "Hard and Soft: Public Support for Turkish Membership in the EU." *European Union Politics* 9(4):511–30.
- de Vreese, C. H., dan H. G. Boomgaarden. 2005. "Projecting EU Referendums." *European Union Politics* 6(1):59–82.
- European Commission. 2018. "Public Opinion in the European Union." Standard Eurobarometer 90 (2018). h. 35.
- Eurostat. 2016. Migration and Migrant Population Statistics. http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Migration_and_migrant
- Ignatieff, M. (2017). The Refugee as Invasive Other. *Social Research*, 84(1), 223-231.
- Institute of Public Affairs. 2018. The Wages of Fear Attitudes Towards Refugees and Migrants in Hungary. *Foundation of Institute of Public Affairs*. https://www.britishcouncil.pl/sites/default/files/hungary_pop.pdf
- Intezet, Migraciokutato. 2016. *Survey Series on the Social Opinion About Migration* V. ed., Institute of Public Affairs. 2018. The Wages of Fear Attitudes Towards Refugees and Migrants in Hungary. *Foundation of Institute of Public Affairs*. https://www.britishcouncil.pl/sites/default/files/hungary_pop.pdf
- Jelena Hrnjak dan Marija Cvejic, *Violence Against Women and Girls Among Refugee and Migrant Population in Serbia*, (Belgrade: Atina, 2017) h. 13-18

- Kallius, A., Monterescu, D., & Rajaram., P., K. (2016). Immobilising mobility: Border ethnography, illiberal democracy, and the politics of the “refugee crisis” in Hungary. *American Ethnologist*, 43(1). pp. 25-37.
- Kaviraj, Sudipta. 1986. “Indira Gandhi and Indian Politics”. *Economic and Political Weekly* 21 (38/39): h. 1697–1708.
- Kekesi, Z. M. 2017. *Hungary: Migration and the Policy of Closed Borders*. Praha: Association for International Affairs.
- Keogh, G. 2013. “Modelling Asylum Migration Pull-Force Factors in the EU-15”, *The Economic and Social Review*, 44(3): h. 371–399.
- Koeck, H. F. 2019. From a Quantitative to a Qualitative Migration and Refugee System. *La Albolafia: Revista De Humanidades Y Cultura*.
- Lavenex, Sandra. 1998. “Asylum, Immigration, and Central-Eastern Europe: Challenges to EU Enlargement”, *European Foreign Affairs Review*, 3(2), 275–294.
- Lewis, A. William. (1954). Economic development with unlimited supplies of labor. *The Manchester School of Economic and Social Studies*, 22, 139-191.
- Lubbers, M., and P. Scheepers. 2007. “Explanations of Political Euro-Scepticism at the Individual, Regional and National Levels.” *European Societies* 9(4):643–69.
- M. Schieffer, “Readmission and Repatriation of Illegal Residents”, eds. B. Martenczuk & S. van Thiel, *Justice, Liberty and Security: New Challenges for EU External Relations*, Brussels, VUB Press/Brussels University Press, 2008, 89–110; N. Coleman, *European Readmission Policy: Third Country Interests and Refugee Rights*, Leiden, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2009, 73–85.
- Marrion, Panizzon, Readmission Agreements of EU Member States: A Case for EU Subsidiarity or Dualism? *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, 31(4), 2012, 101-133.
- McLaren, L. M. 2002. “Public Support for the European Union.” *The Journal of Politics* 64(2):551–66.
- Menendez, A. J. 2016. “The Refugee Crisis.” *European Law Journal* 22(4):388–416.

- Messing, V., & Sagvari, B. 2018. *Looking behind the culture of fear. Cross-national analysis of attitudes towards migration.* Friedrich Ebert Foundation. <http://library.fes.de/pdf-files/bueros/budapest/14181.pdf>.
- Moore, W., and S. Shellman. 2007. "Whither Will They Go? A Global Study of Refugees' Destinations, 1965–1995", *International Studies Quarterly*, 2007(51): h. 811–834.
- Mudde, C. (2004). The Populist Zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition*, 39(4), 542–563.
- Neumayer, E. 2005. "2005 'Bogus Refugees?", The Determinants of Asylum Migration to Western Europe', *International Studies Quarterly*, 49: h. 389-409.
- Nicholson, F., dan J. Kumin. 2017. A Guide to International Refugee Protection and Building State Asylum Systems: Handbook for Parliamentarians.
- Niemann. A dan N. Zaun. 2018. "EU Refugee Policies and Politics in Times of Crisis." *Journal of Common Market Studies* 56(1):3–22.
- Nurul A. Zayda, Maiza H. Ash-Shafikh, dan Ayusia S. Kusuma. 2019. Securitization and Desecuritization of Migration in Indonesia: Its Implication to Refugee Rights in the Southeast Asian Region, *Journal of Southeast Asian Human Rights*, 3(1) h. 81-100.
- Rotte, R., and M. Vogler. 1999. "The Effects of Development on Migration: Theoretical Issues and New Empirical Evidence", IZA Dp No. 46.
- Pastore, F., and G. Henry. 2016. "Explaining the Crisis of the European Migration and Asylum Regime." *The International Spectator* 51(1):44–57.
- Paul Weis, 1982, The Development of Refugee Law, *Michigan Journal of International Law*, Vol. 3, No. 1.
- Pew Research Center. 2016. "Number of Refugees to Europe Surges to Record 1.3 Million in 2015." <http://www.pewglobal.org/2016/08/02/number-of-refugees-to-europe-surges-torecord-1-3-million-in-2015/>.
- Poddar, S. (2016). European Migrant Crisis: Financial Burden or Economic Opportunity? Social Impact Research Experience.
- Pradana, Aldoreza. 2018. Identitas Nasional: Penggunaan Politik Identitas dalam Gelombang Pengungsi 2015 di Hungaria. ISS Brief Issue 03. h. 1-10.

- Rachmat, N. Angga. 2020. *Realisme Dalam Kebijakan Penolakan Pengungsi dan Migran Oleh Hungaria Tahun 2015-2018*. *Jurnal Dinamika Global*, 5(1)., h.47-64.
- Richard H. Ullman. 1983. Redefining Security, *International Security*, 8(1)., h. 129-153.
- Richard Perruchoud dan Jillyanne Redpath-Cross, Glossary on Migration, *International Migration Law*, 2(25), h. 22
- Simonovits B. (2020). The Public Perception of the Migration Crisis from the Hungarian Point of View: Evidence from the Field. In Glorius B., Doomernik J. (eds) Geographies of Asylum in Europe and the Role of European Localities. IMISCOE Research Series. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25666-1_8
- Sata, Robert & Karolewski, P. Ireneusz. 2019. “Caesarean Politics in Hungary and Poland”. *East European Politics* 36(2): h. 207-225.
- S. Klepp, “Italy and its Libyan Cooperation Program: Pioneer of the European Union’s Refugee Policy?”, ed. J.-P. Cassarino, Unbalanced Reciprocities: Cooperation on Readmission in the Euro-Mediterranean Area, Washington, Middle East Institute Special Edition Viewpoints, 2010, 77–92.
- Stephan, W. G., & Stephan, C. W. (1993). Cognition and affect in stereotyping: Parallel interactive networks. In D. M. Mackie & D. L. Hamilton (Eds.), *Affect, cognition, and stereotyping: Interactive processes in group perception* (pp. 111–136). Orlando: Academic.
- Stockemer, D., Niemann, A., Unger, D., dan Speyer, J. 2019. *The “Refugee Crisis” Immigration Attitudes, and Euroscepticism*. International Migrations Review, SAGE Publications. h. 1-30.
- Theodora, Kostakopoulou. 2000. “The ‘Protective Union’: Change and Continuity in Migration Law and Policy in Post-Amsterdam Europe”, *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 38(3), 497–518.
- Trauner, F. 2016. “Asylum Policy: The EU’s ‘Crises’ and the Looming Policy Regime Failure.” *Journal of European Integration* 38(3): 311–325.
- Vadhanavisa, Onvara. 2019. *Radical Right-Wing Politics and Migrants and Refugees in Hungary*, European Journal of Social Sciences, 2(3): h. 100-108.
- Vrantska, Ivana, et al. 2017. Xenophobia, The Cause of Terrorism in a Democratic Society, Vol.7, No. 4.

Wangke, Humprey. 2016. Krisis Migran dan Masa Depan Integrasi Eropa, Info Singkat Hubungan Internasional, Vol. 8 No.3 (Februari) h. 5-8.

Wendt, Alexander. (1992) “Anarchy is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics”, *International Organization* 46(2): 391–425.

Willame, Jean-Claude. 1971. “Politics and Power in Congo-Kinshasa”. *Africa Report* 16 (1): h. 14-17.

Zaragoza-Cristiani, J. 2015. *Analysing the Causes of the Refugee Crisis and the Key Role of Turkey: Why Now and Why So Many?* working paper no. 2015/95, Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies, European University Institute

Artikel

Agerholm, H. 2018. *Refugees are ‘Muslim invaders’ not running for their lives, says Hungarian PM Viktor Orban.* Independent: <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/refugees-muslim-invaders-hungaryviktor-orban-racism-islamophobia-eu-a8149251.html>

Al Jazeera English. 2017. Peter Szijjarto: Why we fight the EU on refugees | Talk to Al Jazeera, (September 30). <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XIYvU8vnjHE>

Amnesty International. (2016). *Stranded Hope: Hungary’s Sustained Attack on The Rights of Refugees and Migrants.* London: Amnesty International.

Amnesty International (2018), “*Authorities Must Stop New Attempt to Choke Civil Society*”, Amnesty.Org <https://www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/EUR2777852018ENGLISH.pdf>

Amnesty International (2018), “*New Laws that Violate Human Rights, Threaten Civil Society and Undermine the Rule of Law Should be Shelved*”, Amnesty.org

Amnesty International (2018), “*‘We are not afraid’ - Amnesty Hungary reacts to blacklisting of NGO workers*”, amnesty.org.uk <https://www.amnesty.org.uk/press-releases/we-are-not-afraid-amnesty-hungary-reacts-blacklisting-ngo-workers>

Attila, Hunyadi. Bulcsu, Zgut, Edit Juhasz, *Focus on Hungary: Refugees, Asylum and Migration*. Prague: Heinrich-Boll-Stiftung, 2015.

Bernath, G., & Messing, V. (2016). *Infiltration of political meaning-production: Security threat or humanitarian crisis? The coverage of the refugee ‘crisis’ in the Austrian and Hungarian media in early autumn 2015*. <https://cmdss.ceu.edu/sites/cmcs.ceu.hu/files/attachment/article/1041/infiltrationofpoliticalmeaningfinalizedweb.pdf>.

Buergin, R. (2016). Merkel’s Approval Rating Plunges Following Attacks in Germany. Bloomberg.

Cummins, C. 2017. *Hungary's 'border hunters' trained, armed and ready for action*, Euronews, 18 Maret 2017, <https://www.euronews.com/2017/03/18/hungary-s-border-hunters-trained-armed-and-ready-for-action>

Elisabeth Zerofsky, 2019, “*Viktor Orbán’s Far-Right Vision for Europe*”, The New Yorker, <https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2019/01/14/viktor-orbans-far-right-vision-for-europe>

Elżbieta M. Goździak, 2019, “*Using Fear of the “Other,” Orbán Reshapes Migration Policy in a Hungary Built on Cultural Diversity*”, Migration Policy Institute, <https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/orban-reshapes-migration-policy-hungary>

Euractiv. 2015. “Germany Suspends Dublin Agreement for Syrian Refugees.” <https://www.euractiv.com/section/economy-jobs/news/germany-suspends-dublin-agreement-forsyrian-refugees/>

Gallup. 2017. *New index shows least-, most-accepting countries for migrants*. <http://news.gallup.com/poll/216377/new-index-shows-least-accepting-countries-migrants.aspx>

Gouri, Sharma. *Angela Merkel’s Mixed Legacy: Open-door Policy, Rise of Far Right*, Aljazeera, (2018), internet, 6 Agustus 2020, <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2018/12/angela-merkel-mixed-legacy-open-door-policy-rise-181208085144548.html>

Human Rights Watch, *EU Policies Put Refugees At Risk*, Human Rights Watch Org., (2016), internet, <https://www.hrw.org/news/2016/11/23/eu-policies-put-refugees-risk>

Human Rights Watch, *World Report 2019: European Union*, Human Rights Watch Org., (2019), 6 Agustus 2020, internet, <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2019/country-chapters/european-union>

Human Rights Watch (2018), “*Hungary: Bill Makes Aiding Migrants a Crime, Proposed Constitutional Amendment Limits Asylum Access*” HumanRightsWatch.Org, <https://www.hrw.org/news/2018/05/31/hungary-bill-makes-aiding-migrants-crime>

Ishaan, Tharoor. 2015. Far-Right Hungarian Mayor Makes Absurd Anti-Refugee Action Video, (September 18). <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/worldviews/wp/2015/09/17/far-right-hungarian-mayor-makes-absurd-anti-refugee-action-video/>

Juhász, A., Hunyadi, B., & Zgut, E. (2015). Focus on Hungary: Refugees, asylum and migration. *Heinrich Böll-Stiftung*. Diakses dari: https://www.boell.de/sites/default/files/2015-focus-on-hungary_refugees_asylum_migration.pdf.

Kanter, James. 2020. *E.U. Moves Against 3 Countries That Don't Take Refugees*, The New York Times, internet, 6 Agustus 2020, <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/06/13/world/europe/eu-refugees-czech-republic-hungary-poland.html>

Kounalakis, E. (2015). Hungary's Xenophobic Response. *The New York Times*. http://www.nytimes.com/2015/09/07/opinion/hungarys-xenophobic-response.html?_r=0.

Lane, O. (2016). Hungary: Mass migration and terrorism go hand in hand. *Breitbart*. Diakses dari: <http://www.breitbart.com/london/2016/07/19/hungary-mass-migration-terrorism-go-hand-hand/>

Lizzie Dearden, Hungarian border guards 'taking selfies with beaten migrants' as crackdown against refugees intensifies, 4 Maret 2017, diakses dari <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/refugee-crisis-hungary-border-police-guards-fence-beating-asylum-seekers-migrants-serbia-push-back-a7610411.html>

Magyar Midok (2018), “*Government Parties: Amnesty International is an Immigrant Support Organization*”, [magyarmidok.hu https://www.magyaridok.hu/belfold/kormanypartok-az-amnesty-international-egy-bevandorlast-tamogato-szervezet-3189718/](https://www.magyaridok.hu/belfold/kormanypartok-az-amnesty-international-egy-bevandorlast-tamogato-szervezet-3189718/)

Margit Feher, *Hungary Plans To Soon Complete Fence To Limit Migrants, Wall Street Journal*, 5 Agustus 2015, diakses dari https://www.wsj.com/articles/hungary-plans-to-soon-complete-fence-to-limit-migrants-1438804229#comments_sector

Marion MacGregor, *Germany: Refugee Numbers in Context*, Info Migrants, (2019), internet, 6 Agustus 2020, <https://www.infomigrants.net/en/post/17641/germany-refugee-numbers-in-context#:~:text=The%20UN's%20new%20'Global%20Trends,Pakistan%2C%20Uganda%2C%20and%20Sudan>.

Metcalfe-Hough, V. (2015). The migration crisis? Facts, challenges and possible solutions. *Overseas Development Institute*. <https://www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-files/9913.pdf>

Mészöly. 2015. “*FIDESZ*’s National Consultation is No Consultation at All. It is a Shameless Piece of Propaganda”. <http://www.mészöly.com/blog/FIDESZs-nationalconsultation-is-no-consultation-at-all-it-is-a-shameless-piece-of-propaganda-amészöly-commentary>.

Nolan, D. (2015). Hungary condemned over anti-immigration drive. *The Guardian*. <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/jul/02/hungary-government-condemned-over-anti-immigration-drive>

Nolan, D. (2015). Hungary Orders 100-Mile Serbia Border Fence to Keep Out Migrants. *The Telegraph*. <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/hungary/11680840/Hungary-orders-100-mile-Serbia-border-fence-to-keep-outmigrants.html>

Orban, V. 2017. “Hungary and the Crisis of Europe.” Hungarian Review 10(4). http://hungarianreview.com/article/20170124_hungary_and_the_crisis_of_europe

Orla Barry, 2019, “*Orban’s Enemies: In Orbán’s Hungary, refugees are unwelcome — so are those who try to help*”, The World, <https://www.pri.org/stories/2019-02-11/orban-s-hungary-refugees-are-unwelcome-so-are-those-who-try-help>

ORIGO (2018), “*Amnesty International is an immigration support organization*”, origo.hu <https://www.origo.hu/itthon/20180612-az-amnesty-international-egy-bevandorlasparti-szervezet.html>

Papademetriou, D., G. (2012). Rethinking National Identity in the Age of Migration. *Migration Policy Institute*. Diakses dari: <http://www.migrationpolicy.org/research/TCM-rethinking-national-identity-council-statement>

Prandana, A. (2016). ‘We do not want any refugees!’: The perception of identity on migration crisis and the migration crisis implications on Hungary.

University of Gothenburg Student Theses Directory. Diakses dari:
<http://hdl.handle.net/2077/52248>.

Purnama, Crystal Liestia. 2018. *Cegah Migran Ilegal Hungaria Bangun Pagar Canggih Beraliran Lisrik*, Republika (Internet) 22 Juli 2018.
<https://www.republika.co.id/berita/internasional/global/17/03/03/om7xfs359-cegah-migran-illegal-hungaria-bangun-pagar-canggihberaliran-listrik>

Radio Free Europe. (2015). *Hungary's Orban says immigrants threaten Europe*.
<http://www.refworld.org/docid/55ee96076.html>.

Robins-Early, N. (2015). How Hungary's Viktor Orban Became The Villain Of Europe's Refugee Crisis. *The World Post*.
http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/viktor-orban-hungary-refugee-crisis_us_5601b038e4b0fde8b0d021e3.

Rothwell, J., & Foster, P. (2016). Hungary referendum: 98 per cent of voters say 'no' to EU migrant quotas. *Telegraph*. <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/10/02/hungary-votes-no-to-migrant-quotas-polls-suggest---but-what-does/>

Sari, A. P., Eropa Tanah yang Menjanjikan bagi Imigran, CNN, (2015), internet,
<http://www.cnnindonesia.com/internasional/20150908205805-134-77474/eropa-tanah-yang-menjanjikan-kesejahteraan-bagi-imigran/>

Thorpe, Nick. 2016. "Hungary Poster Campaign Pokes Fun at Migrant Referendum." *BBC News*, BBC, 10 Sept. 2016, www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-37310819.

Thorpe, Nick. 2017. *Hungary recruiting 'border-hunters*, BBC, 15 Februari 2017,
[https://www.bbc.com/news/av/world-europe-38977319/hungary-recruiting-border-hunters](http://www.bbc.com/news/av/world-europe-38977319/hungary-recruiting-border-hunters)

Tomkiw, Lydia. 2015. *Hungary Passes Anti-Migration Laws as Refugees Walk Toward Austria from Budapest*. International Business Times (internet) 4 September 2015. [https://www.ibtimes.com/hungary-passes-anti-migration-laws-refugees-walk-toward-austria-budapest-2083602](http://www.ibtimes.com/hungary-passes-anti-migration-laws-refugees-walk-toward-austria-budapest-2083602)

Toth, Dalma (2018), "Amnesty International would make Hungary an immigrant country", 888.hu <https://888.hu/ketharmad/az-amnesty-international-bevandorloorszagot-csinalna-magyarorszagbol-4146907/>

UNHCR (2015). "Operational Refugee Situations: Mediterranean Situation".
<https://data2.unhcr.org/en/situations/mediterranean>

UNHCR (2016). “*Refugee Crisis in Europe: Aid, Statistics and News*”. <https://www.unrefugees.org/emergencies/refugee-crisis-in-europe/>

UNHCR. (2016). *Hungary As A Country of Asylum*. Geneva: United Nations High Commission on Refugees.

Weber, B. 2016. Time for a Plan B: The European Refugee Crisis, the Balkan Route and the EU-Turkey Statement. <http://www.democratizationpolicy.org/pdf/>.

Wikström, C. (n.d.). *The Dublin III Regulation*. ceciliawikstrom.eu: <http://ceceliawikstrom.eu/en/politik/migration-och-asyl/dublinforordningen/>

World Data (2018), “*Asylum Applications and Refugees in Germany*”, <https://www.worlddata.info/europe/germany/asylum.php>

Zack Beauchamp, *Why Hungary is So Awful to Refugees*, Vox, (2015), internet <https://www.vox.com/2015/9/18/9349081/syrian-refugees-hungary-viktor-orban>

Dokumen

Asylum Procedures Directive Article 20 (free legal assistance and representation in appeals procedures); Reception Conditions Directive Article 9.6 (free legal assistance and representation in appeals regarding detention); Return Directive Article 13 (effective remedies against return decisions).

Asylum Procedures Directive Article 8.2 (information and counselling in detention facilities and at border crossing points); Article 12 (right to information on asylum procedure, to interpretation services, to communication with UNHCR and other organisations providing legal advice and counselling).

Council of the European Union, Council Conclusions Defining the European Union the Future Strategy on Readmission, Doc. 11260/11 MIGR 118, 8 Juni 2011, 2.

European Commission. 2015a. Communication from the Commission: A European Agenda on Migration. https://ec.europa.eu/home-affairs/sites/homeaffairs/what-we-do/policies/european-agenda-migration/background-information/docs/communication_on_the_european_agenda_on_migration_en.pdf

European Commission. 2017. “Relocation: Commission Launches Infringement Procedures against the Czech Republic, Hungary and Poland.” http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-17-1607_en.htm

European Union, 2016, “Refugee Crisis in Europe”, European Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations - European Commission, 26 Juli 2016, <https://ec.europa.eu/echo/refugee-crisis>.

General Comment No. 34 on Freedom of Expression, paragraph. 38.

Government of Hungary, Bill No. T/333, Hungarian Criminal Code, Sections 353 (smuggling illegal immigrants); 354 (facilitation of illegal residence); 352/A. <https://www.helsinki.hu/wp-content/uploads/T333-ENG.pdf>

Government of Hungary, Bill No. T/333, at <https://www.helsinki.hu/wp-content/uploads/T333-ENG.pdf> (unofficial English translation of bill and explanatory memorandum titled “General Reasoning”).

The Government of Hungary, Bill No. T/332, Seventh Amendment pf the Basic Law of Hungary, unofficial English translation, <https://www.helsinki.hu/wp-content/uploads/T332-Constitution-Amendment-29-May-2018-ENG.pdf>

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), <https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/ccpr.pdf>

Lingens v Austria, Application no. 9815/82, Judgment of 8 July 1986, para. 42; and Lyashko v Ukraine, Application no. 21040/02, judgment of 10 August 2006, para. 41.

The Government of Hungary, Bill No. T/332, Seventh Amendment pf the Basic Law of Hungary, Op. Cit., Article 6-9.